FIGURE 1: MAP INDICATING VEGETATION THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN PRESENT AT THE PROPOSED DAM SITE BUT HAS MOSTLY BEEN TRANSFORMED DUE TO INTENSIVE AGRICULTURE



FIGURE 2: OVERBERG CRITICAL BIODIVERSITY AREAS MAP, INDICATING THE POSITION OF THE PROPOSED DAM AND RELATIVE LAYOUT IF THE PIPELINE EXTENSION IN RELATION TO ESAS REPRESENTED IN YELLOW.




FIGURE 3: PROPOSED PIPELINE ROUTE IN RELATION TO A WETLAND/ STREAM IDENTIFIED TO THE RIGHT OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT WITH BUFFER ZONES (YELLOW)






FIGURE 4: MAP FROM THE FRESHWATER IMPACT REPORT, INDICATING WETLANDS AND RIVERS IN RELATION TO THE PROPOSED DAM







ensitivity Maps	
5: Map taken from the Freshwater Impact Report, Indicating the ESAs (as indicated by the WCBSP form Swellendam ality, in relation to the Proposed Dam)	




FIGURE 6: MAP TAKIN FROM THE FRESHWATER IMPACT REPORT INDICATING THE UNCHANNELLED VALLEY BOTTOM WETLAND IN RELATION TO THE PROPOSED DAM

App B2 Sensitivity Maps Legend Unchannelled valley bottom Google Earth 92016 AMSIS (RIV) LIU 92016 Google Inage 9/2016 CHES /Astrain