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<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACRONYMS</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BGIS</td>
<td>Biodiversity Geographic Information System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBA</td>
<td>Critical Biodiversity Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEA</td>
<td>Department of Environmental Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEAT</td>
<td>Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DENC</td>
<td>Department of Environment and Nature Conservation (Northern Cape)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWS</td>
<td>Department of Water and Sanitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAP</td>
<td>Environmental Assessment Practitioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECA</td>
<td>Environment Conservation Act (Act No. 73 of 1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIA</td>
<td>Environmental Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIR</td>
<td>Environmental Impact Assessment Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP</td>
<td>Environmental Management Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIA</td>
<td>Heritage Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I&amp;APs</td>
<td>Interested and Affected Parties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEMA</td>
<td>National Environmental Management Act (Act No. 107 of 1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEMBA</td>
<td>National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (Act No. 10 of 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHRA</td>
<td>National Heritage Resources Act (Act No. 25 of 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NID</td>
<td>Notice of Intent to Develop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NWA</td>
<td>National Water Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OESA</td>
<td>Other Ecological Support Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIA</td>
<td>Palaeontological Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAHRA</td>
<td>South African Heritage Resources Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANBI</td>
<td>South African National Biodiversity Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIA</td>
<td>Traffic Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

Consideration is being given to the construction of a housing development, and associated infrastructure, on Portion 1 of the Farm Uitkoms No. 463, Kuruman RD., Kathu, Northern Cape. It is estimated that up to 172 properties will be developed, which includes 163 residential properties, open spaces, roads and associated infrastructure.

The applicant is Sishen Iron Ore Company (Pty) Ltd who will undertake the activity should it be approved. EnviroAfrica CC has been appointed as the independent environmental assessment practitioner (EAP) responsible for undertaking the relevant EIA and the Public Participation Process required in terms of the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998) (NEMA).

The Final Scoping Report and Plan of Study for EIA were submitted to the Department of Environment and Nature Conservation (DENC). The Scoping Report and Plan of Study for EIA were approved by DENC on the 23 August 2016 and EnviroAfrica were advised to proceed with the EIA process (Appendix 1B).

1.2 SCOPE OF WORK

There has been no particular brief given to the consultants to undertake this study. However, the scope of the study has been determined with reference to the requirements of the relevant legislation and undertaken in terms of the Integrated Environmental Management Information Series on Environmental Impact Reporting (2004) issued by DEAT and the Information Document on Requirements with respect to the EIA Process (January 2003), issued by the Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning of the Western Cape.

The basic scope of work will include the following:

- Review of all information.
- Participating in the progress of the development proposal.
- Scoping (identification of significant issues).
- Assessment of anticipated impacts.
- Identification of suitable mitigation measures to reduce negative impacts and enhance positive impacts.
- Submission for decision.

One of the crucial aims of an EIA is to ensure that the demands of sustainable development are met on a project level, within the context of the greater area. The most common definition of sustainable development is development that meets the needs of the present while not compromising the needs of future generations.

This EIA is therefore being undertaken with sustainable development as a goal. The assessment will look at the impacts of the proposals on the environment and assess the significance of these, as well as propose mitigation measures, as required, to reduce anticipated impacts to acceptable levels.
1.3 ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

The assumption is made that the information on which the report is based (i.e. specialist studies and project information) is correct.

Future management of the site is essential and the assumption is made that the mitigation measures recommended by the specialists will be implemented on a long-term basis. This has a major bearing on the reliability of the predictions of significance of impact.

1.4 DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED ACTIVITY

It is proposed that a portion of Farm Uitkoms No. 463, Portion 1, Kuruman RD, Kathu be rezoned and subdivided from Agricultural Zone I and Special Zone (Mining Area) for the development of a low density residential development.

The property is located to the north-east of the town of Kathu, west of the Sishen Golf and Country Club. The site is bordered by the N14 to the east, and Frikkie Meyer Street to the south, with access to the site from Frikkie Meyer Street.

Approximately 172 properties will be created, of which 163 Residential I erven will be developed, as well as one erf for Residential II use. This will be in the form of sectional title blocks, which will be placed at random to accommodate and preserve the existing Camel Thorn trees on the development.

The proposed activity will also include the construction of internal roads, and associated services infrastructure.

The total area of the property development is 112ha.

The property is largely undeveloped, with only the Kathu Equestrian Club on the property. The Kathu Equestrian Club will be incorporated within the layout and theme of the development.
Figure 1: Locality Map. Google Earth view of the proposed site (green polygon)

Figure 2: Locality Map. Close-up Google Earth view of the proposed property (red polygon)
2. NEED AND DESIRABILITY

In terms of the National Environmental Management Act, as amended, EIA 2014 regulations the Scoping/EIA report must provide a description of the need and desirability of the proposed activity. The consideration of “need and desirability” in EIA decision-making requires the consideration of the strategic context of the development proposal along with the broader societal needs and the public interest.

While the concept of need and desirability relates to the type of development being proposed, essentially, the concept of need and desirability can be explained in terms of the general meaning of its two components in which need refers to time and desirability to place – i.e. is this the right time and is it the right place for locating the type of land-use/activity being proposed? Need and desirability can be equated to wise use of land – i.e. the question of what is the most sustainable use of land. The impact of development on people’s health and well-being, as well as its impact on natural and cultural areas, and therefore its desirability, will also be assessed during the Environmental Impact Report phase.

2.1 NEED

Kathu falls within the jurisdiction of the Gamagara Local Municipality and within the John Taolo Gaetsewe District Municipality in the Northern Cape Province.

Currently, there is a significant housing need in Kathu, due to the population growth and mining activities in the town. The Applicant, as a major housing supplier in Kathu, has considered the development in-line with their need estimations in-line with the current expansion plans of the mine.

According to the Socio-economic Impact Assessment (Appendix 6D), the Gamagara Local Municipality Spatial Development Framework (SDF) notes that Kathu is the largest urban centre within Gamagara Municipality. The town is still expanding, and is expected to persist in its growth, as the mining operations continue to expand and intensify. Kathu can therefore be viewed as the Primary Urban Node within the Gamagara Municipality and should be considered the preferred growth point in the area.

As stated above, the town is expected to experience significant growth over the next few years due to the expansion of the mining sector in the area. However, due to the decrease in the price of iron ore there is likely to be a delay in this future growth. The SDF also notes that expansion to the east has been relatively stagnant, due to the difficulty of providing services. The majority of the future growth of the town will take place towards the west, further enforcing the integration of Kathu and Sesheng as part of the spatial objectives in the area.
2.2 DESIRABILITY

The following factors determine the desirability of the area for the proposed Uitkoms residential development.

2.2.1 LOCATION AND ACCESSIBILITY

The proposed housing development site is located on Farm Uitkoms No. 463, Portion 1, Kuruman RD, Kathu, Northern Cape, which is located north of the town.

The site is located in close proximity to major transport arteries, including the N14, and has direct access from Frikkie Meyer Street. No constraints with regards to accessibility have therefore been identified. The Traffic Impact Assessment concluded that the development will not have a noticeable impact on any of the analysed intersections, although some improvements are required at the relevant intersections.

The site is also relatively near the mine, as well as other employment opportunities such as commercial developments and malls. According to the Socio-economic Impact Assessment (Appendix 6D), the proposed Uitkoms Residential Development is located in close proximity to the business node that is associated with the Kameeldoring and Heritage Square shopping centres located near the intersection with the N14 to the north east of Kathu’s original CBD.

The development is located within the urban edge of Kathu, and can therefore also be considered to be infill development. Infill planning are contemporary principles to promote integration and to ensure optimum utilisation of available land. The desirability of the proposed development is further founded on the principle that it will ensure feasible residential development that could effectively be linked to the existing services infrastructure.

According to the Socio-economic Impact Assessment (Appendix 6D), the Gamagaga Local Municipality SDF indicates that the urban edge can be defined as the urban growth boundary, which indicates the interface between urban and rural environments. In effect, the urban edge indicates the boundary beyond which urban growth should not be allowed. The urban edge has significance as it curbs the uncontrolled expansion of urban settlements into surrounding rural areas (urban sprawl). The proposed Uitkoms Residential Development is located within the urban edge (please refer to Appendix 5 and Figure 2.4 of the Appendix 6D). The site has therefore been identified as suitable for development.

The area is thus deemed to be ideally situated within the local context for the envisaged housing project. The criteria that determined the desirability of the applicable location are based on the principles of integration by means of infill planning and the optimum utilisation of available land and resources, availability of bulk services, accessibility and proximity of employment opportunities.

There are no constraints with regards to the availability of services to the proposed development (please refer to Section 6 below for more details on the services for the development.)
2.2.2 COMPATIBILITY WITH THE SURROUNDING AREA

Although the site is classified for “Sports and Recreation” in the Kathu Spatial Development Framework (Appendix 5), the existing Kathu Equestrian Club will remain and the proposed development layout and theme will be integrated into the equestrian club.

The site is also bound by a low density residential development with a sport characteristic (golf club) to the west, and a medium density residential development to the south-east.

The overall character of the area will be maintained and the use proposal of the Kathu Spatial Development Framework will be maintained.
3. LEGAL REQUIREMENTS

The current assessment is being undertaken in terms of the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998, NEMA), to be read with section 24 (5): NEMA EIA Regulations 2010. However, the provisions of various other Acts must also be considered within this EIA.

The legislation that is relevant to this study is briefly outlined below.

3.1 THE CONSTITUTION OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTHERN AFRICA

The Constitution of the Republic of South Africa (Act 108 of 1996) states that everyone has a right to a non-threatening environment and that reasonable measure are applied to protect the environment. This includes preventing pollution and promoting conservation and environmentally sustainable development, while promoting justifiable social and economic development.

3.2 NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ACT (ACT 107 OF 1998)

The National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998) (NEMA), as amended, makes provision for the identification and assessment of activities that are potentially detrimental to the environment and which require authorisation from the relevant authorities based on the findings of an environmental assessment. NEMA is a national act, which is enforced by the Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA). These powers are delegated in the Northern Cape to the Department of Environment and Nature Conservation (DE&NC).

On the 18 June 2010 the Minister of Water and Environmental Affairs promulgated regulations in terms of Chapter 5 of the NEMA, namely the EIA Regulations 2010 (GN No. R. 543, R. 544 (Listing Notice 1), R. 545 (Listing Notice 2), R. 546 (Listing Notice 3) and R. 547 in Government Gazette No. 33306 of 18 June 2010). These regulations came into effect on the 2 August 2010. Listing Notice 1 and 3 are for a Basic Assessment and Listing Notice 2 for a full Environmental Impact Assessment.

According to the regulations of Section 24(5) of NEMA, authorisation is required for the following listed activities for the proposed housing development on Farm Uitkoms:

Government Notice R544 (Listing Notice 1) listed activities:

9 The construction of facilities or infrastructure exceeding 1000 meters in length for the bulk transportation of water, sewage or storm water –
   (i) With internal diameter of 0.36 meters or more; or
   (ii) With a peak throughput of 120 liters per second or more,

22 The construction of a road, outside urban areas,
   (i) With a reserve wider than 13.5 meters or,
   (ii) Where no reserve exists where the road is wider than 8 meters, or
   (iii) For which an environmental authorization was obtained for the route determination in terms of activity 5 in Government Notice 387 of 2006 or activity 18 in Notice 545 of 2010.
Government Notice R545 (Listing notice 2) listed activities:

15 Physical alteration of undeveloped, vacant or derelict land for residential, retail, commercial, recreational, industrial or institutional use where the total area to be transformed is 20 hectares or more:

Government Notice R546 (Listing notice 3) listed activities:

4 The construction of a road wider than 4 metres with a reserve less than 13.5 metres.

13 The clearance of an area of 1 hectare or more of vegetation where 75% or more of the vegetative cover constitutes indigenous vegetation.

In terms of the NEMA EIA Regulations 2014, and the Transitional Arrangements in Regulation 53 of GN No. R 326 of 07 April 2017, it must be noted that the following listed activities will be triggered:

Government Notice R327 (Listing Notice 1) listed activities:

24: The development of;
(i) a road for which an environmental authorisation was obtained for the route determination in terms of activity 5 in Government Notice 387 of 2006 or activity 18 in Government Notice 545 of 2010; or
(ii) a road with a reserve wider than 13.5 meters, or where no reserve exists where the road is wider than 8 metres;

but excluding:
(a) which are identified and included in activity 27 in Listing Notice 2 of 2014; or
(b) where the entire road falls within an urban area.
(c) which is 1 kilometer or shorter.

27: The clearance of an area of 1 hectares or more, but less than 20 hectares of indigenous vegetation, except where such clearance of indigenous vegetation is required for;
(i) the undertaking of a linear activity; or
(ii) maintenance purposes undertaken in accordance with a maintenance management plan.

Government Notice R325 (Listing Notice 2) listed activities:

15: The clearance of an area of 20 hectares or more of indigenous vegetation, excluding where such clearance of indigenous vegetation is required for;
(i) the undertaking of a linear activity; or
(ii) maintenance purposes undertaken in accordance with a maintenance management plan.

Government Notice R324 (Listing Notice 3) listed activities:

12: The clearance of an area of 300 square metres or more of indigenous vegetation except where such clearance of vegetation is required for maintenance purposes undertaken in accordance with a maintenance management plan.

The environmental process is being undertaken in distinct phases, refer to Figure 3.
An Application Form has been submitted to Department of Environment and Nature Conservation (DE&NC). On acknowledgment from DE&NC (Appendix 1A), the Scoping Process was undertaken to identify potential issues.

The Final Scoping Report and Plan of Study for EIA were submitted to the Department of Environment and Nature Conservation (DE&NC). The Scoping Report and Plan of Study for EIA were approved by DE&NC and EnviroAfrica was advised to proceed with the EIA process (Appendix 1B).

The principles of environmental management as set out in section 2 of NEMA have been taken into account. The principles pertinent to this activity include:

- People and their needs will be placed at the forefront while serving their physical, psychological, developmental, cultural and social interests. The activity seeks to provide additional employment and economic development opportunities, which are a local and national need – the proposed activity is expected to have a beneficial impact on people, especially developmental and social benefits, as well providing additional employment and economic development opportunities.

- Development will be socially, environmentally and economically sustainable. Where disturbance of ecosystems, loss of biodiversity, pollution and degradation, and landscapes and sites that constitute the nation’s cultural heritage cannot be avoided, are minimised and remedied. The impact that the activity will potentially have on these will be considered, and mitigation measures will be put in place - potential impacts have been identified and considered, and any further potential impacts will be identified during the public participation process. Mitigation measures have been recommended by the various specialist assessment, and are included in the EMP.

- Where waste cannot be avoided, it will be minimised and remedied through the implementation and adherence of the Environmental Management Programme (EMP) – the EMP is included in the EIR.

- The use of non-renewable natural resources will be responsible and equitable.

- The negative impacts on the environment and on people’s environmental rights will be anticipated, investigated and prevented, and where they cannot be prevented, will be minimised and remedied – potential negative impacts have been identified and considered, and any further potential impacts will be identified during the public participation process. Mitigation measures have been recommended by the various specialist assessment, and are included in the EMP.

- The interests, needs and values of all interested and affected parties will be taken into account in any decisions through the Public Participation Process – refer to Section 7.2 below and Appendix 2.

- The social, economic and environmental impacts of the activity will be considered, assessed and evaluated, including the disadvantages and benefits - refer to Section 10 below

- The effects of decisions on all aspects of the environment and all people in the environment will be taken into account, by pursuing what is considered the best practicable environmental option.
Figure 3: The EIA Process. Currently, this process is in the ‘EIA Phase – Compile draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) and draft CEMP and OEMP’, as indicated in red.
3.3 NATIONAL HERITAGE RESOURCES ACT

The protection and management of South Africa’s heritage resources are controlled by the National Heritage Resources Act (Act No. 25 of 1999). South African National Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA) is the enforcing authority.

In terms of Section 38 of the National Heritage Resources Act, SAHRA will require a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) where certain categories of development are proposed. Section 38(8) also makes provision for the assessment of heritage impacts as part of an EIA process and indicates that if such an assessment is found to be adequate, a separate HIA is not required.

The National Heritage Resources Act requires relevant authorities to be notified regarding this proposed development, as the following activities are relevant:
- any development or other activity which will change the character of a site exceeding 5 000 m² in extent;
- the construction of a road, wall, powerline, pipeline, canal or other similar form of linear development or barrier exceeding 300m in length

Furthermore, in terms of Section 34(1), no person may alter or demolish any structure or part of a structure, which is older than 60 years without a permit issued by the SAHRA, or the responsible resources authority. Nor may anyone destroy, damage, alter, exhume or remove from its original position, or otherwise disturb, any grave or burial ground older than 60 years, which is situated outside a formal cemetery administered by a local authority, without a permit issued by the SAHRA, or a provincial heritage authority, in terms of Section 36 (3). In terms of Section 35 (4), no person may destroy, damage, excavate, alter or remove from its original position, or collect, any archaeological material or object, without a permit issued by the SAHRA, or the responsible resources authority.

3.4 EIA GUIDELINE AND INFORMATION DOCUMENT SERIES

The following are the latest guidelines and information Documents that have been consulted:
- Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning’s (DEA&DP) Environmental Impact Assessment Guideline and Information Document Series (Dated: March 2013):
  ✓ Guideline on Transitional Arrangements
  ✓ Generic Terms of Reference for EAPs and Project Schedules
  ✓ Guideline on Alternatives
  ✓ Guideline on Public Participation
  ✓ Guideline on Exemption Applications
  ✓ Guideline on Appeals
  ✓ Guideline on Need and Desirability
- Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism (DEAT) Integrated Environmental Management Information Series.
3.5 NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT: BIODIVERSITY ACT

The National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No. 10 of 2004) (NEMBA) is part of a suite of legislation falling under NEMA, which includes the Protected Areas Act, the Air Quality Act, the Integrated Coastal Management Act and the Waste Act. Chapter 4 of NEMBA deals with threatened and protected ecosystems and species and related threatened processes and restricted activities. The need to protect listed ecosystems is addressed (Section 54).

3.6 NATIONAL FORESTS ACT


The Kathu Forest was declared as a Protected Woodland under Section 12(1)(c) of the National Forest Act, 1998 (Act No. 84 of 1998).

In terms of section 15(1) of the National Forests Act, 1998, no person may -

(a) cut, disturb, damage or destroy any protected tree; or
(b) posses, collect, remove, transport, export, purchase, sell, donate or in any other manner acquire or dispose of any protected tree, or any forest product derived from a protected tree, except-
   (i) under a license granted by the Minister; or
   (ii) in terms of an exemption from the provisions of this subsection published by the Minister in the Gazette.

A portion of the proposed property forms part of the Kathu Forest. However, the development layout has taken this into consideration and no development will take place within the Kathu Forest.

3.7 NORTHERN CAPE CONSERVATION ACT, ACT 09 OF 2009

On the 12th of December 2011, the new Northern Cape Nature Conservation Act 9 of 2009 (NCNCA) came into effect, which provides for the sustainable utilization of wild animals, aquatic biota and plants. Schedule 1 and 2 of the act give extensive lists of specially protected and protected fauna and flora species in accordance with this act. The NCNCA is a very important Act in that it put a whole new emphasis on a number of species not previously protected in terms of legislation.

It also put a new emphasis on the importance of species, even within vegetation classified as “Least Threatened” (in accordance with GN 1002 of 9 December 20011, promulgated in terms of the National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act 10 of 2004). Thus, even though a project may be located within a vegetation type or habitat previously not considered under immediate threat, special care must still be taken to ensure that listed species (fauna & flora) are managed correctly.
4. ALTERNATIVES

Various layout alternatives were proposed and have been considered during the Scoping phase and these are described below.

4.1 ALTERNATIVE 1

Alternative 1 (Appendix 2A) is the first concept layout proposed. This layout included 450 erven, which included:
- 438 residential properties (Residential Zone I)
- 2 residential properties (Residential Zone II)
- 8 Open Space I properties
- 1 Institutional Zone I property
- Public Streets (Transport Zone II)

This alternative is considered a viable option as it provides a sufficient number of housing opportunities, and importantly, has taken the sensitive archaeological area to the south-west of the site, and the protected Kathu Forest into account.

4.2 ALTERNATIVE 2

Alternative 2 (Appendix 2B) is the second concept layout proposed. This layout included 440 erven, which included:
- 416 residential properties (Residential Zone I)
- 2 residential properties (Residential Zone II)
- 20 Open Space I properties
- 1 Institutional Zone I property
- Public Streets (Transport Zone II)

This alternative is also considered a viable option, and as with Alternative 2 above, it provides a sufficient number of housing opportunities, and importantly, has taken the sensitive archaeological area to the south-west of the site, and the protected Kathu Forest and some of thick stands of Camelthorn Trees into account. Compared to Alternative 1, it provides more Open Spaces, and allowing for more natural vegetation and potentially more Camelthorn trees to be protected.

However, it is not preferred, as this layout (as well as Alternative 1) covered too much of the natural vegetation in the area. The area is also used by the horses at the Kathu Equestrian Club for grazing. It was then decided to include the majority of the area in the recreational zoning which includes the equestrian club.
4.3 ALTERNATIVE 3 – PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE

Alternative 3 (Appendix 2C) is the final concept layout proposed during the Scoping Phase. This layout included 172 erven, which included:
- 163 residential properties (Residential Zone I)
- 1 residential properties (Residential Zone II)
- Open Space I (Park) properties
- 1 Open Space II (Recreation) properties
- Open Space III (Conservation) properties
- 1 Institutional I (Worship) property
- Public Streets (Transport Zone II)

This alternative is also considered as a viable option, and is the Applicants preferred layout. Although it does not provide as many housing opportunities as Alternatives 1 and 2, it conforms more to the low-density development envisaged.

It importantly has still taken the sensitive archaeological area to the south-west of the site, and the protected Kathu Forest and some of thick stands of Camelthorn Trees into account, but has more land included in the Open Space Zone II units, therefore limiting development in those areas, and minimizing the natural vegetation disturbance as well as potential disturbance on Camelthorn Trees.

As can be seen in Table 1 below, the Open Space zoning will account for 78% of the property.

Final placement of the buildings on the Residential I and II properties will be done taking any Camelthorn trees into account, to avoid damaging or having to remove them.

Table 1: Breakdown of the proposed land-uses on the development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zoning</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Land Units</th>
<th>Total Area (m²)</th>
<th>Avg.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RES I</td>
<td>Single Home</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>152261.3</td>
<td>934.1</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RES II</td>
<td>Group Housing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21413.7</td>
<td>21413.7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPEN I</td>
<td>Park</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14029.8</td>
<td>7014.9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPEN II</td>
<td>Recreation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>738018.7</td>
<td>738018.7</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPEN III</td>
<td>Conservation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>119976.1</td>
<td>39992.0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INST II</td>
<td>Worship</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8213.2</td>
<td>8213.2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANS I</td>
<td>Public Street</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>65746.6</td>
<td>65746.6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1119659.4</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The various zones mentioned above are described below.

Residential Zone I - a building consisting of only one residential unit – a self-contained interlinking group of rooms for the accommodation and housing of a single family, or a maximum of four persons who do not satisfy the definition of a “family”, together with such outbuildings as are ordinarily used therewith.

Residential Zone II - a group of separate and/or connected individual residential units which were planned, designed and built as a harmonious architectural entity with a medium density character and with units that may vary between single and double storeys and of which each unit has a ground floor, irrespective whether such units are cadastrally divided or not.

Open Space Zone I - any land which falls under, or is intended to come under the ownership of the local authority, which is not leased or intended to be leased on a long-term basis, and which is utilised by the public as an open space, park, garden, picnic site, square, playground or recreational site, whether it appears on an approved general plan or not. Restrictions - No structure shall be erected or use practised other than those included in the definition of a “public open space”.

Open Space Zone II - any land which has been set aside in this scheme for use as a private site for sport, playing, rest and recreation facilities or as an ornamental garden or pleasure-garden, provided that the land is under the long-term management of a private person or authority, and also a cemetery or show grounds, whether public or private. Consent uses – racecourses.

Open Space Zone III - a premise or area indicated in this scheme to be used by Council, a person or an institution, exclusively for the conservation of the natural environment, land, historical buildings, fauna and/or flora and include tourist facilities that forms an integral part. Restrictions - No structure shall be erected or use practised else than those included in the definition of a “conservation area” or as specifically approved by Council. The land use restrictions and additional provisions relevant to this zone are applicable as for each site or use or type of building approved by the Council.

Institutional Zone II – Place of Worship. A church, synagogue, mosque, temple, chapel or other place for practising religion. It also includes any building in connection therewith, for instance a hall, Sunday school classes, creche or parsonage, but does not include funeral parlours, including chapels forming part of such parlours.

Transport Zone II – Public street. Any land indicated on a plan or diagram or is specified within this zoning scheme, reserved for street purposes and where the ownership as such vests in a competent authority and includes facilities for public transport.
### 4.4 OTHER ALTERNATIVES

**Site Alternatives**

This is the only site alternative considered. Property within the urban edge of Kathu is becoming a scarce commodity, especially tracts of land large enough for township expansion. The Applicant is the owner of the land, and if alternative sites suitable in terms of the Spatial Development Framework were to be considered, this property would need to be purchased or acquired from other land owners.

The proposed site is situated within the urban edge of Kathu, and the choice of this site for development, whilst still maintaining the primary use of recreation as envisioned in the SDF, as well as motivating zonings which will discourage development within proclaimed woodland, is adequately reasonable and justified.

**Activity Alternatives**

No Activity Alternatives have been considered. The Applicant wants to develop the property to provide housing for its employees. Due to the need for housing in the Kathu area, the housing development and associated infrastructure on the property is therefore the only activity considered.

### 4.5 NO-GO ALTERNATIVE

This is the option of not developing the proposed residential development.

Currently no Agricultural activities are taking place on this site although it is zoned as Agricultural Zone I. However, the site is located adjacent to established residential developments.

Although the no-go development might result in no potential negative environmental impacts, especially on the vegetation on the development site, the direct and indirect socio-economic benefits of not constructing the residential development will not be realised. The need for additional housing opportunities in Kathu will not be realised.

According to the Socio-economic Impact Assessment (Appendix 6D), the no-development alternative would result in a lost opportunity for Sishen Iron Ore to provide quality accommodation required to attract well qualified, senior employees. The no-development option would also result in a lost opportunity for Sishen Iron Ore employees to purchase houses at a discounted price. The employment and business opportunities associated with the construction and operational phase would also be forgone, as would the rates and taxes generated for the Gamagara Local Municipality. The no-development option is therefore not supported.

According to the Botanical Impact Assessment (Appendix 6A), in the case of the ‘No Go’ option the residential development would not be pursued and the *status quo* would persist. The vegetation would remain much as it is. The No-Go alternative would result in a **Low negative** impact; it cannot be **Neutral** because there is a low to medium level of negative impact due to the equestrian use of the property and the grazing of horses.
5. SITE DESCRIPTION

5.1 LOCATION

The site of the proposed development is located on Farm Uitkoms No. 463, Portion 1, Kuruman RD, Kathu in the Northern Cape.

The property is located to the north-east of the town of Kathu, west of the Sishen Golf and Country Club. The site is bordered by the N14 to the east, and Frikkie Meyer Street to the south, with access to the site from Frikkie Meyer Street.

The site coordinates of the property are as follows (refer to map below):

- Point 1 - S 27° 40' 32.84", E 23° 03' 45.20"
- Point 2 - S 27° 40' 28.24", E 23° 03' 55.09"
- Point 3 - S 27° 40' 56.53", E 23° 04' 16.64"
- Point 4 - S 27° 40' 56.53", E 23° 04' 24.18"
- Point 5 - S 27° 41' 03.99", E 23° 04' 24.25"
- Point 6 - S 27° 41' 27.49", E 23° 04' 03.82"
- Point 7 - S 27° 41' 26.40", E 23° 03' 46.67"
- Point 8 - S 27° 41' 14.82", E 23° 03' 59.06"

Figure 4: Google Earth image of the site showing co-ordinate locations.
5.2 VEGETATION

Kathu has become known as the “town under the trees” due to location within the Kathu Forest, a unique woodland of exceptionally large Camelthorn Trees (*Acacia erioloba*), north of the town. The Kathu woodland is approximately 4 000ha.

In 2009, the Kathu Forest was declared as the first Protected Woodland in the country in terms of Section 12 (1) (c) of the National Forests Act, Act 84 of 1998 as amended.

The proposed development is adjacent to the declared Kathu Forest, and as such, Camelthorn Trees are common. Please also refer to Figure 5 above and Figure 6 below. Parts of the Kathu Forest are within the proposed property; however, these areas have been excluded from the development footprint.

From the vegetation map (Figure 7 - SANBI BGIS), the site is located within the Kathu Bushveld, which is characterised by a medium-tall tree layer with *Acacia erioloba* in places, but mostly open and including Boscia albitrunca as the prominent trees.

According to the National list of ecosystems that are threatened and in need of protection (GN. 1002 of 9 Dec. 2011), this vegetation type is classified as Least Threatened. However, the Camelthorn (*Acacia erioloba*) is a protected tree in terms of the National Forest Act of 1998.
**Figure 6:** Google Earth image showing the site (red polygon) in relation to the Kathu Forest.

**Figure 7:** SANBI Vegetation map of the area. The proposed site is indicated by the red polygon.
5.3 FRESHWATER

No above ground freshwater resources were found or identified on the site. No vegetation associated with freshwater ecosystems were identified on the site. This is also evident in the National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas (NFEPA) layer on the SANBI BGIS maps (see Figure 7 above).

5.4 GEOLOGY

The Sishen Iron Ore Mine (including the town of Kathu) is situated on the Maremane Dome. The Dome consists of carbonate rocks (predominantly stromatolitic and crinkle laminated dolomite and limestone) of the Campbell Rand Subgroup, Ghaap Group, Transvaal Supergroup.

Existing information indicates that the Uitkoms site is underlain by Banded Iron Formation at shallow (10m or less) and to great depth (in excess of 142m). The site is located in the area not impacted by large scale groundwater abstraction. The site is not judged to be on dolomite land.

According to the Geo-technical Assessment (Appendix 6E), a gravity survey was commissioned for the already formalised portion of Kathu town which indicates a major gravity high flanking the western side of the site. Drilling data indicates that this gravity high probably extends over, onto the Uitkoms site, in particular in the area of proposed housing. The entire northern portion of the development is to remain as open parkland. Boreholes immediately west and south of the site indicate Banded Iron Formation at shallow depth (0m to 10m) to depths in excess of 142m. The BIF is overlain by Kalahari Group deposits. Borehole KBH04 intercepts 36m of Kalahari deposits mantling BIF to at least 90m depth.

According to the Sishen Mine structural data set no faults transect the site. As this site is predominantly located in the area not impacted by groundwater drawdown the 60m depth to dolomite rock cut off could apply. In the interest of dolomite risk management, and as it cannot be stated with certainty that this situation would prevail in perpetuity the 100m cut off is considered. Notwithstanding this, dolomite rock is anticipated at depths in excess of 142m, and as no major faults of significance are indicated to transect the site, the site is not judged to be on dolomite land.

According to the Botanical Impact Assessment (Appendix 6A), the soils at Uitkoms are generally described as red and yellow well-drained sandy soils with high base status.

5.5 GEOHYDROLOGY

According to the Geo-technical Assessment (Appendix 6E) across the town of Kathu the original groundwater level elevation (OWL) varies by about 10m, from 1200m to 1210m AMSL. Based on current piezometric and groundwater level information, three water level conditions have been identified:

1. Areas where the groundwater conditions have not yet been impacted by the large-scale groundwater abstraction.
2. A triangular shaped area between the Kathu and Sekgame dykes where groundwater conditions have been partially impacted by groundwater abstraction activities. This condition is present below the north-eastern part of the original town development and to the south of the golf course.
3. An area in the southern and south-western portion where groundwater conditions have been significantly impacted by the groundwater abstraction.

The Uitkoms site is located in the area not impacted by large scale groundwater abstraction.

**5.6 CLIMATE**

Kathu normally receives about 240mm of rain per year, with most of the rainfall occurring mainly during the summer. It receives the lowest rainfall (0mm) in June and the highest (55mm) in February.

The monthly distribution of average daily maximum temperatures shows that the average midday temperatures for Kathu range from 18°C in June to 33°C in January.

The region is the coldest during July when temperatures drop to 0.2°C on average during the night.

Wind is generally North north-westerly to Northerly in direction, with wind speeds averaging between 8 and 23 knots through the year. The strongest winds occur between August and December (between 21 and 23 knots).

**5.7 SOCIO-ECONOMIC CONTEXT**

Kathu is the largest town within the Gamagara Local Municipality and is also the administrative centre of the Gamagara Municipality. The town was developed because of the iron ore mining activity in the area.

According to StatsSA, the single largest factor that has guided the development of the Gamagara area is the iron ore mine at Sishen. Not only does the mine provide jobs to thousands of people, but it was also the reason for the establishment of the town of Kathu.

According to the Socio-economic Impact Assessment (Appendix 6D), the mining sector is the largest contributor to the provincial GDP, contributing 28.9% to the GDP in 2002 and 27.6% in 2008. The mining sector is also important at a national level. In this regard the Northern Cape produces approximately 37% of South Africa’s diamond output, 44% of its zinc, 70% of its silver, 140% of its iron-ore, 93% of its lead and 99% of its manganese.

Mining is also the dominant economic sector in Gamagara Local Municipality and contributed to more than 83.20% of the GVA of the municipality. The sector also employs more than 46.69% of the economically active population in the Gamagara Local Municipality.

The population of Kathu is 11,510 (2011 census), with a growth rate of 5.84% between 2001 and 2011.

The unemployment rate for Gamagara Municipality is 17.7%. 71.9% of the population of Gamagara Municipality is within the ‘working age’ group (15-64). According to the Socio-economic Impact Assessment (Appendix 6D), the official unemployment rate in both the John Taolo Gaetsewe District Municipality (JTGDM) and Gamagara Local Municipality decreased for the ten year period between 2001 and 2011. In the JTGDM the rate fell from 42.5% to 29.7%, a decrease of 12.8%. In the Gamagara Local Municipality the unemployment rate decreased from 27.1% to 17.7%, a decrease of
9.4%. Youth unemployment in both the JTGDM and Gamagara Local Municipality also dropped over the same period. Youth unemployment in the both the JTGDM is still high however (~ 37.2%) compared to the Gamagara Local Municipality (22.4%). However, the 2015-2016 unemployment levels in the JTGDM and Gamagara Local Municipality are likely to be higher than the figure recorded in the 2011 Census. This is due to the significant decrease in the iron ore price over the last 6 months and the associated job losses in the mining sector.

According to the Gamagara Local Municipality 4th Reviewed Integrated Development Plan (IDP) Review 2011/12 - 2013/14, unskilled occupations account for the largest share of employment (54%) followed by skilled (26%) and semi-skilled (20%) in Gamagara.

According to the Socio-economic Assessment (Appendix 6D), the municipal service levels in the JTGDM and Gamagara Local Municipality all improved over the period 2001 to 2011. This represents a socio-economic improvement. However, the service levels in the JTGDM are significantly lower than both the national and provincial averages. The national averages for each of the relevant indicators are 57% (access to flush toilet), 62% (weekly waste removal), 46.3% (piped water inside dwelling) and 84.7% for electricity. The figures for the Gamagara Local Municipality are all higher than the national and provincial averages. This reflects the contribution of the mining sector to the provision of services and socio-economic development of the area.

5.8 HERITAGE FEATURES

According to the Heritage Impact Assessment (Appendix 6B), limited numbers of ESA, MSA and LSA lithics of low (Grade 3C) significance were recorded across the proposed development site.

An extremely high density of Acheulean ESA implements, comprising mainly large and smaller modified and unmodified flakes, large angular and flaked chunks, occasional retouched/utilized blades, round cores and incomplete bifaces (handaxes), in fine grained ironstone were recorded in the south western corner of the site alongside Frikkie Meyer Street.

This open-air workshop site, located partly on an outcropping of ironstone, has been shown to be an extension of the highly significant archaeological site known as Kathu Townlands. Blocks and slabs of heavily flaked ironstone and flake debris were also noted scattered about, indicating that the site was repeatedly visited by early hominins and utilised as a source of raw material for the manufacture of stone tools. The artefacts are in good condition with no apparent rolling, abrading or weathering, indicating that the majority of the tools are most likely situated in-situ. The sheer number of tools and flake debris on the site also suggests a quarrying or procurement site.

Few formal tools such as choppers or handaxes were noted, suggesting that complete tools were most likely removed from the site. The archaeological landscape likely extends beyond the gravel entrance road at Frikkie Meyer Street, and is visible in the powerline servitude that services the Kathu Horse Club.

The protected Open Space Area is a little degraded, but otherwise the archaeological site appears to be relatively undisturbed, well hidden among the tall grasslands, trees and thicket vegetation. An old tarred road cuts across the site, and many tools are exposed on the compact Pleistocene sands, below the superficial top soils.
No visible graves or typical grave markers were observed on the proposed development site.

There are no old buildings, structures or historical features that will be impacted by the proposed development. Piles of (modern) building rubble from several ancillary buildings occur in the south-eastern portion of the property, close to the Kathu Horse Club obstacle course.

According to the Palaeontological Impact Assessment (Appendix 6C), the flat-lying Uitkoms housing study area is underlain by a considerable thickness of Plio-Pleistocene to Recent sediments of the Kalahari Group.

The Kalahari Group succession near Kathu mainly comprises well-developed calcretes or surface limestones (Mokolanen Formation) together with a thin surface veneer of aeolian sands (Gordonia Formation), alluvial deposits and sparse near-surface gravels. In general, the Kalahari Group calcretes and sands are of low palaeontological sensitivity, mainly featuring widely-occurring plant and animal trace fossils.

According to the Palaeontological Impact Assessment (Appendix 6C), a very important fossil assemblage of Pleistocene to Holocene mammal remains is recorded from unconsolidated doline (solution hollow) sediments at the well-known Kathu Pan site, located some 5.5 km northwest of Kathu. There are at present no obvious indications of comparable fossiliferous, tool-bearing solution hollow infills exposed at present within the study area but such sediments might conceivably be present but hidden beneath cover sands and calcretes along the Vlermuisleegte drainage line that runs along the north-eastern edge of the present study area. However, according to the proposed layout for the Uitkoms housing development, this potentially sensitive zone is unlikely to be directly impacted by the proposed development.
6. SERVICES

Due to the level of development that is occurring within Kathu, the availability of bulk services for the development will need to be investigated. Gamagara Municipality has however provided confirmation for the provision of bulk services (Appendix 4C).

MVD Kalahari Consulting Engineers prepared the preliminary Engineering Services Reports (attached as Appendix 4A) on the external services for the proposed development.

According to the preliminary Bulk Services and Infrastructure Status Report (Appendix 5), there are no constraints other than permission to be given for connections to the existing Kathu East water tower and the sewer network in the existing Rooisands development.

A brief description of the bulk services is given below.

6.1 WATER

According to the Bulk Services and Infrastructure Status Report (Appendix 4A), an additional storage capacity of 1.8MI per day is required.

The main water supply line required to the reservoir will be a 110mm uPVC Class 12 pipe (based on a maximum flow velocity of 1.2m/s).

There are two existing boreholes that may possibly be used. However, the one is used by the Kathu Golf Club, and is therefore utilisation of this borehole is not advised. The other borehole has insufficient capacity to provide the development with a sustainable supply.

The proposed Uitkoms development will therefore be able to utilise the Kathu East Reservoir and tower (which are in close proximity to the site), due to the relatively small nature of the development.

6.2 SEWER

According to the Bulk Services and Infrastructure Status Report (Appendix 4A), an additional 0.21MI per day of additional Waste Water Treatment Capacity will be required by the development.

The minimum diameter outfall sewer required is 160mm Class 34 uPVC pipe with a design capacity of 0.820m/s.

Additional sewer pump station capacity of 6.85l/s will also be required. It is assumed that the current infrastructure can accommodate this flow.

According to the Bulk Services and Infrastructure Status Report (Appendix 4A), the Average Annual Dry Weather Flow (AADWF) of 0.21MI/day can be accommodated within the existing WWTW.
6.3 ROADS

Access to the site will primarily be from Frikkie Meyer Street on the southern boundary. According to the Bulk Services and Infrastructure Status Report (Appendix 4A), slip lanes and a bell mouthed T-intersection have to be provided for.

The Traffic Impact Assessment (Appendix 6F), has been conducted, and assesses this main intersection (refer to Section 6.1 of Appendix 6F). Turning lanes as a minimum should be provided at this intersection.

The entrance to the development will be a 6.0m wide tarred road with 1m wide gravel shoulders.

6.4 STORMWATER

According to the Bulk Services and Infrastructure Status Report (Appendix 4A), traditionally all storm water in the greater Kathu area has been allowed to run off road surfaces and to drain into the highly permeable sands.

Little or no formal bulk storm water infrastructure is in place and all storm water run-off eventually finds its way towards the mining area via small localised channels, open shallow trenches and the odd box culvert.

Therefore there are no plans for any bulk storm water infrastructure. However, the Gamagara Municipality is busy with the procurement of consultants to do a complete Storm Water Master Plan for the greater Kathu.

6.5 SOLID WASTE (REFUSE) REMOVAL

Refuse removal will be via the Municipal waste stream and disposed of at the nearest municipal bulk solid waste disposal site.

6.6 ELECTRICITY

The proposed development can be accommodated on the existing Main Sub. However, a new 11kV will need to be installed from the Main Sub to the development.

Please refer to the Electrical Services Report (Appendix 4B) for more details.
7. PROCESS TO DATE

The section below outlines the various tasks undertaken to date, the members of the team involved in the project, as well as the Public Participation Process.

7.1 TASKS UNDERTAKEN TO DATE

Table 2: Tasks undertaken in the EIA to date

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>TASK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCOPING PHASE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 January 2014</td>
<td>Submit Application Form to DE&amp;NC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 January 2014</td>
<td>Received acknowledgement from DE&amp;NC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 February 2014 – 16</td>
<td>Initial public participation, including newspaper advertisements,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2014</td>
<td>posters, letter drops and notification letters to identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>interested and affected parties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 March 2015 to 04 May</td>
<td>Distribution of notification letters and the Draft Scoping Report to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015.</td>
<td>Registered Interested and Affected Parties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 May 2015</td>
<td>40-day comment period ends.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compile the Final Scoping Report and make available for comment to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>registered Interested and Affected Parties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 May 2016 to 10 June</td>
<td>Distribution of Notification Letter and Comments and Response Report to all registered I&amp;APs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 June 2016</td>
<td>21-day comment period ends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2016</td>
<td>Submit Final Scoping Report to DE&amp;NC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 August 2016</td>
<td>Acceptance of Scoping report and Plan of Study for EIA (Appendix 1B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undertake Specialist Studies where required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 7.2 TASKS TO BE UNDERTAKEN DURING THE EIA PHASE

The following tasks must still be undertaken during the EIA phase of the process:

- Compile Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for public comment based on specialist information (THIS DOCUMENT).
- Advertise Draft EIR for public comment
- Distribute and/or make the Draft EIR available for viewing and comment
- Receive comments on Draft EIR. All comments received and responses to the comments will be incorporated into the Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR).
- Preparation of a FINAL EIR for submission to DE&NC for consideration and decision-making.

---

**Figure 8.** Summary of the EIA process and public participation process. The red indicates the stages where the competent authority will be consulted during the process.
Please refer to Figure 8 to see where the public participation process is present in the environmental impact assessment. The Interested and Affected Parties will have a chance to view and comment on all the reports that are submitted. The figures also indicated what timeframes are applicable to what stage in the process. If required, meetings with key stakeholders will be held.

At the end of the comment period, the EIR will be revised in response to feedback received from I&APs. All comments received and responses to the comments will be incorporated into the Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR). The Final EIR will then be submitted to DE&NC for consideration and decision-making.

Correspondence with I&APs will be via post, fax, telephone, email and newspaper advertisements.

Should it be required, this process may be adapted depending on input received during the on-going process and as a result of public input. DE&NC will be informed of any changes in the process.

### 7.3 PROFESSIONAL TEAM

The following professionals are part of the project team.

Table 3: Members of the professional team

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DISCIPLINE</th>
<th>SPECIALIST</th>
<th>ORGANISATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Consultants</td>
<td>Clinton Geyser / Bernard de Witt</td>
<td>EnviroAfrica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulting Engineers</td>
<td></td>
<td>MVD Kalahari Consulting Engineers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town Planners</td>
<td>Len Fourie</td>
<td>MacroPlan Town and Regional Planners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botanist</td>
<td>Dr David McDonald</td>
<td>Bergwind Botanical Surveys and Tours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heritage</td>
<td>Jonathan Kaplin</td>
<td>Agency for Cultural Resource Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palaeontology</td>
<td>Dr John Almond</td>
<td>Natura Viva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-economic</td>
<td>Tony Barbour</td>
<td>Tony Barbour Environmental Consulting and Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geo-technical</td>
<td></td>
<td>VGIconsult Projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic</td>
<td>Koot Marais</td>
<td>KMA Consulting Engineers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.4 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

A Public Participation Process was undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the NEMA Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations: Guideline and Information Document Series. *Guidelines on Public Participation 2013* and the NEMA EIA Regulations 2014 (amended). Issues and concerns raised during the Scoping phase are dealt within this report.

### 7.4.1 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION UNDERTAKEN DURING SCOPING PHASE:

Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) have been and will be identified throughout the process. Landowners/occupiers adjacent to the proposed site, relevant organs of state, organizations, ward councillors and the Local and District Municipality were added to this database. A complete list of organisations and individual groups identified to date is shown in Appendix 3A.

Public Participation will be conducted for this proposed residential development in accordance with the requirements outlined in Regulation 54 and 55 and 56 of the NEMA EIA Regulations. The issues and concerns raised during the scoping phase will be dealt with in the EIA phase of this application.

As such each subsection of Regulation 54 contained in Chapter 6 of the NEMA EIA Regulations will be addressed separately to thereby demonstrate that all potential Interested and Affected Parties (I&AP’s) were notified of the proposed development.

**R54 (2) (a):**

**R54 (2) (a) (i):** A poster was displayed on the property fence at the main entrance of the proposed site. Posters were also placed at conspicuous sites, such as shopping centres, petrol stations and other such sites in and around Kathu (Proof of posters will be included as Appendix 3D).

The posters contained all details as prescribed by R56 (3) (a) & (b) and the size of the on-site poster was 60cm by 42cm as prescribed by section 56 (4) (a).

**R54 (2) (a) (ii):** N/A. There is no alternative site.

**R54 (2) b):**

**R54 (2) (b) (i):** N/A. The Applicant is the land-owner.

**R54 (2) (b) (iii):** Initial notification letters were hand-delivered to landowners and occupiers adjacent to the site were possible.

**R54 (2) (b) (iv):** An initial notification letter was sent to the municipal Ward councillor at the Gamagara Local Municipality, for the ward in which the site is situated (please refer to Appendix 3C for proof of notification letters sent).

**R54 (2) (b) (v):** An initial notification letter was sent to the Municipal Manager of John Taolo Gaetsewe District Municipality and Gamagara Local Municipality (please refer to Appendix 3C for proof of notification letters sent).
R54 (2) (b) (vi): An initial notification letter, as well as the Draft and Final Scoping Reports (please refer to Appendix 3C), was sent to the following organs of state having jurisdiction in respect of any aspect of the activity:
- Department of Water and Sanitation
- South African Heritage Resources Agency
- Department of Agriculture and Land Reform
- Department of Roads and Public Works
- Department of Cooperative Governance, Human Settlements and Traditional Affairs
- Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries
- SANRAL

R54 (2) (c) (i): An advertisement was placed in the local newspaper, Kathu Gazette, on 15 February 2014 (please refer to Appendix 3B of the Scoping Report for proof of advertisement).

R54 (2) (d): N/A

R54 (7):
R54 (7) (a): All relevant facts in respect of the application were made available to potential I&AP’s.

R54 (7) (b): Registered I&AP’s were given a 40-day comment period on the proposed application during the first round of public participation for the Draft Scoping Report and a 21-day comment period on the Final Scoping Report.

R55 (1) (a), (b), (c) and R56(2): A register of interested and affected parties was opened, maintained and is available to any person requesting access to the register in writing (please refer to Appendix 3A for the list of Interested and Affected Parties).

Please find attached in Appendix 3:
- Proof of Notice boards, advertisements and notices that were sent out
- List of potential interested and affected parties
- Summary of issues raised by interested and affected parties

7.4.2 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION UNDERTAKEN DURING THE EIA PHASE:

A number of groups and individuals were identified as Interested and Affected Parties during the initial Public Participation Process. A complete list of organisations and individual groups identified to date, as well as those I&APs that have registered are shown in Appendix 3A.

Full copies of the Environmental Impact Assessment Report (EIR) will be sent to all Registered I&APs, and will be notified of the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) by means of notification letters (via preferred method of communication), informing them of the availability of the Draft EIR and will be invited to comment. The EIR will be made available for a 40-day comment period.

At the end of the comment period, the EIR will be revised in response to feedback received from I&APs. All comments received and responses to the comments will be incorporated into the Final
Environmental Impact Report (Final EIR) in the form of a Comments and Response Table. The Final EIR will be made available for a further 30-day comment period. The Final EIR will then be submitted to DEA&DP for decision.

Should it be required, this process may be adapted depending on input received during the ongoing process and as a result of public input. Both DENC and registered I&APs will be informed of any changes in the process.

### 7.4.3 INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES

Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) have been notified by means of advertisements in regional and local newspapers, site notices and letters and/or emails to registered I&APs on the project database.

A list of I&APs is included as Appendix 3A.
8. ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES AND POTENTIAL IMPACTS

Environmental issues were raised through informal discussions with the project team, specialists and authorities, as well as by Interested and Affected Parties during the public participation period of the Scoping Report. All issues raised will be assessed in the specialist reports and will form part of the Environmental Impact Report. Any additional issues raised during the public participation will be listed in the Final Environmental Impact Report.

The following potential issues have been identified:

8.1 BIODIVERSITY

8.1.1 BOTANICAL

Due to the size of the development and the expectant loss of vegetation during the construction phase of the project, the sites close proximity to the Kathu Forest, and the presence of Camelthorn Trees (*Acacia erioloba*) on the property, a Botanical Impact Assessment (*Appendix 6A*), has been conducted. This will also determine if there is any sensitive or endangered vegetation on the proposed site, beside *Acacia erioloba*.

The botanical assessment will include the following:

- The significance of the potential impact of the proposed project, alternatives and related activities - with and without mitigation – on biodiversity pattern and process at the site, landscape and regional scales.
- Recommended actions that should be taken to prevent or, if prevention is not feasible, to mitigate impacts.

8.1.2 FAUNA

Mammal and bird species was not regarded as the proposed activity is not expected to have any significant, permanent impact on these species. Most fauna and avi-fauna species of importance will be associated with the Camelthorns on the property, and as such, the impact of the proposed development on the Camelthorn trees will be assessed in the Botanical Impact Assessment.

8.2 HERITAGE

The possible impact on heritage resources has been identified as a possible environmental impact as a result of the construction of the residential development and associated infrastructure.

A Heritage Impact Assessment (*Appendix 6B*) and a Palaeontological Impact Assessment (*Appendix 6C*) has been conducted on the site.

The terms of reference for the Heritage and Palaeontological studies are as follows:

- To determine whether there are likely to be any important archaeological and palaeontological sites or remains that might be impacted by the proposed development;
- To identify and map archaeological sites/remains that might be impacted by the proposed development;
- To assess the sensitivity and conservation significance of archaeological sites/remains in the inundation area;
- To assess the status and significance of any impacts resulting from the proposed development, and;
- To identify measures to protect any valuable archaeological sites/remains that may exist within the estimated area.

8.3 SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Due to the scale and location of the proposed development, a socio-economic impact assessment (SIA) will need to be conducted.

The terms of reference for the SIA are as follows:
- A description of the environment that may be affected by the activity and the manner in which the environment may be affected by the proposed facility.
- A description and assessment of the potential social issues associated with the proposed facility.
- Identification of enhancement and mitigation aimed at maximizing opportunities and avoiding and or reducing negative impacts.

8.4 GEO-TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

A Geo-technical assessment will be required to provide information related to the soil types, soil potential, soil stability, subsoil structure, suitability of the area to support the proposed structures and recommendation for foundations.

The Geo-technical assessment is included as Appendix 6E.

8.5 TRAFFIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The potential impact of the new development on the current and projected traffic levels of the surrounding road network will need to be assessed, and recommendations made on external road upgrades and suggested mitigation regarding the proposed access route.

A Traffic Impact Assessment (Appendix 6F) has been conducted.

8.6 VISUAL IMPACT

The potential impact on the sense of place of the proposed residential development has also been considered. However, due to the nature of the activity, the surrounding land-uses and the proximity to other residential developments, and that the sense of place is not expected to be significantly altered by the proposed residential development, no further studies were suggested.
8.7 DUST IMPACT

The potential impact of dust, especially during the construction phase of the development, has also been considered. Wind direction is generally North north-westerly to Northerly in direction during the year (except during September when the average wind is Southerly. Wind speeds average between 8 and 23 knots through the year. The strongest winds occur between August and December (between 21 and 23 knots).

There is therefore a potential impact on the housing development to the South-east of the proposed site, as well as to the commercial area (mall) to the south across Frikkie Meyer Street, especially between July and December. This is also towards the end of the dry season and beginning of the rainy season.

The dust impact is not considered to be significant, and can be mitigated through specific dust mitigation measures in the construction phase EMP.

8.8 OTHER ISSUES AND IMPACTS

The proposed Uitkoms housing development has the following additional impacts:

8.8.1 ENERGY REQUIREMENTS

Construction energy requirements:
The proposed development involves the construction of approximately 170 residential properties. Subsequently, the initial energy requirements of the project will basically be limited to the use of small power tools typically to be powered by a portable on-site generator. Typically, the size of such a generator would not exceed 15KVA.

Operational phase energy requirements:
According to the Electrical Services Report (Appendix 4B), the After Diversity Maximum Load (ADMD) is approximately 1128kVA.

The development will adhere to the latest applicable version of SANS 204 – Energy Efficiency in Buildings with Par 4.5.2 Hot Water Services as a specific example:
- A minimum of 50% by volume of the annual average hot water heating requirement shall be provided by means other than electrical resistance heating, including, but not limited to, solar heating, heat pumps, heat recovery from other systems or processes.

The development will adhere to the latest applicable version of SANS 10142 Part 1 & 2.

8.8.2 WATER REQUIREMENTS

Construction water requirements:

It is estimated that an amount in the order of 10 - 15 kiloliter per day will be required for construction purposes.
8.8.3 NATURE AND QUANTITY OF RAW MATERIALS

This project comprises the construction of approximately 170 residential structures. Subsequently several hundreds of cubic meters of crushed stone, sand and cement will be utilized together with reinforcing steel, wood and other material used in the construction of residential homes, as input materials during construction.

Exact quantities can only be determined once detailed designs of the structures have been completed.

This development is not expected to utilize any raw materials during the operational phase.

8.8.4 WASTE TYPES, QUANTITIES AND DISPOSAL METHODS

Construction Phase
As this is a “greefields” project, there are no existing structures to be demolished. It is therefore envisaged that very little building rubble and waste will be generated during construction. Typically, losses of raw materials due to transport, stockpiling on site and conveyance losses amount to approximately 5% of the volumes required. It is not known how much solid waste will be generated during the construction period. This waste will typically be builder’s rubble, concrete debris, timber from used shutters, etc. The waste will be stockpiled on site and periodically disposed of at the nearest licensed landfill site by the contractor.

Operational Phase
Since the development is a residential development, general residential household waste will be generated. Refuse removal will be via the Municipal waste stream and disposed of at the nearest municipal bulk solid waste disposal site.

8.8.5 EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES

Construction Phase
According to the Socio-economic Impact Assessment (Appendix 6D), the total number of employment opportunities created by the construction phase of the Uitkoms Residential Development would be approximately 792. Of this total, approximately 317 (40%) would be available to low skilled workers, 317 (40%) to semi-skilled workers and 158 (20%) to skilled workers. The majority of the employment opportunities are likely to benefit local Historically Disadvantaged (HD) members of the community. This would represent a significant opportunity for members of the local community.

The total wage bill over the five year period would be in the region of R 540 million (rand values). This is based on a monthly wage of R 6 000 for low-skilled workers, R 10 000 for semi-skilled workers and R 25 000 for skilled workers. Of this total, approximately R 114 million (21%) would be earned by low skilled workers, R 190 (35%) million by semi-skilled workers, and R 235 million (44%) by skilled
workers. Low and semi-skilled workers would therefore earn ~ R 304 million (2016 rand values) (56%) of the total wage bill over the assumed five year construction phase.

As indicated above, the majority of the employment opportunities are likely to benefit local Historically Disadvantaged (HD) members of the local community. A significant portion of the total wage bill will therefore be earned by HD members from the local area. The majority of the wage bill will also be spent in the local economy and will create significant opportunities for local businesses in Kathu and surrounding towns. This benefit will extend over the assumed ~ 5 year construction phase.

Operational Phase
According to the Socio-economic Impact Assessment (Appendix 6D), the operational phase will create in the region of 80 employment opportunities. These opportunities will be linked to cleaners and gardeners. The operational phase will also create opportunities for local businesses, such as local maintenance and building companies, garden services and security companies. Local estate agencies in the area and legal firms will also benefit from the sale and re-sale of properties associated with the new development.
9. SPECIALIST STUDIES

Based on the issues raised by the I&APs and the project team, specialist studies were undertaken to provide information to address the concerns and assess the impacts of the proposed development alternatives on the environment.

The specialists are provided with set criteria for undertaking their assessments, to allow for comparative assessment of all issues. These criteria are detailed in the Terms of Reference to each specialist and summarised below.

9.1 CRITERIA FOR SPECIALIST ASSESSMENT OF IMPACTS

These criteria are based on the EIA Regulations, published by the Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism (April 1998) in terms of the Environmental Conservation Act No. 73 of 1989.

These criteria include:

- **Nature of the impact**
  This is an appraisal of the type of effect the construction, operation and maintenance of a development would have on the affected environment. This description should include what is to be affected and how.

- **Extent of the impact**
  Describe whether the impact will be: local extending only as far as the development site area; or limited to the site and its immediate surroundings; or will have an impact on the region, or will have an impact on a national scale or across international borders.

- **Duration of the impact**
  The specialist should indicate whether the lifespan of the impact would be short term (0-5 years), medium term (5-15 years), long term (16-30 years) or permanent.

- **Intensity**
  The specialist should establish whether the impact is destructive or benign and should be qualified as low, medium or high. The specialist study must attempt to quantify the magnitude of the impacts and outline the rationale used.

- **Probability of occurrence**
  The specialist should describe the probability of the impact actually occurring and should be described as improbable (low likelihood), probable (distinct possibility), highly probable (most likely) or definite (impact will occur regardless of any prevention measures).

The impacts should also be assessed in terms of the following aspects:

- **Status of the impact**
  The specialist should determine whether the impacts are negative, positive or neutral ("cost – benefit" analysis). The impacts are to be assessed in terms of their effect on the project and the environment. For example, an impact that is positive for the proposed development may be negative for the environment. It is important that this distinction is made in the analysis.
• **Accumulative impact**

Consideration must be given to the extent of any accumulative impact that may occur due to the proposed development. Such impacts must be evaluated with an assessment of similar developments already in the environment. Such impacts will be either positive or negative, and will be graded as being of negligible, low, medium or high impact.

• **Degree of confidence in predictions**

The specialist should state what degree of confidence (low, medium or high) is there in the predictions based on the available information and level of knowledge and expertise.

Based on a synthesis of the information contained in the above-described procedure, the specialist is required to assess the potential impacts in terms of the following significance criteria:

- **No significance**: the impacts do not influence the proposed development and/or environment in any way.
- **Low significance**: the impacts will have a minor influence on the proposed development and/or environment. These impacts require some attention to modification of the project design where possible, or alternative mitigation.
- **Moderate significance**: the impacts will have a moderate influence on the proposed development and/or environment. The impact can be ameliorated by a modification in the project design or implementation of effective mitigation measures.
- **High significance**: the impacts will have a major influence on the proposed development and/or environment.

The final impact assessment report should at least include the following sections:

- Executive Summary
- Introduction and Description of Study
- Methodology
- Results
- Assessment of Impacts (including mitigation measures to reduce negative impacts and measures to enhance positive impacts and the completion of impact tables)
- Discussion
- Recommendations (Pre-Construction, Construction and Operational Phases)
- Conclusion

### 9.2 BRIEFS FOR SPECIALIST STUDIES TO BE UNDERTAKEN AS PART OF THE EIA

#### 9.2.1 BOTANICAL ASSESSMENT

Dr David McDonald (Bergwind Botanical Surveys) was appointed and undertook the Botanical Assessment on the proposed site – Appendix 6A.

The terms of reference for this study include the following:

- Undertake the requisite field work and compile a report that considers the following:
- The local and regional context of the vegetation communities within the affected areas, taking the relevant biodiversity plans and bioregional planning documents into consideration;
- The vegetation communities occurring on the proposed development site;
- species of special concern (rare or endangered species), endemic to the area or threatened species encountered or likely to be present;
- Investigate ecological / biodiversity processes that could be affected (positively and/or negatively) by the proposed project.
- Evaluate and assess the impact the development will have on ecological corridor functioning (patterns & processes) and ecological management of fire

9.2.2 HERITAGE IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Jonathan Kaplan of the Agency of Cultural Resource Management was appointed to compile the Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) – Appendix 6B.

The terms of reference for the heritage impact study were:
- Determine whether there are likely to be any archaeological resources that may be impacted by the proposed development;
- Indicate any constraints that would need to be taken into account in considering the development proposal;
- Identify sensitive archaeological areas, and
- Recommend any further mitigation action.

Dr John Almond has been appointed to conduct the Desktop Palaeontological Assessment (Appendix 6C), which forms part of the Heritage Impact Assessment.

The approach to this desktop palaeontological heritage study is briefly as follows:
- Determination of fossil bearing rock units occurring within the broader study area from geological maps and satellite images;
- Known fossil heritage in each rock unit is inventoried from scientific literature, previous assessments of the broader study region, and the author’s field experience and palaeontological database;
- Based on this data as well as field examination of representative exposures of all major sedimentary rock units present, the impact significance of the proposed development is assessed,
- Recommendations for any further studies or mitigation are made.

9.2.3 SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Tony Barbour (Tony Barbour Environmental Consulting and Research) has been appointed to undertake the Socio-economic Impact Assessment (SIA) for the proposed development – Appendix 6D.

The terms of reference for the SIA require:
- A description of the environment that may be affected by the activity and the manner in which the environment may be affected by the proposed facility.
- A description and assessment of the potential social issues associated with the proposed facility.
- Identification of enhancement and mitigation aimed at maximizing opportunities and avoiding and or reducing negative impacts.

### 9.2.4 GEO-TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

VGIconsult Projects was appointed to conduct a Feasibility Stage Geo-technical Assessment of the proposed site - Appendix 6E.

The primary objective of this study is to provide information related to the soil types, soil potential, soil stability, subsoil structure, suitability of the area to support the proposed structures and recommendation for foundations.

### 9.2.5 TRAFFIC ASSESSMENT

KMA Consulting Engineers have been appointed to conduct the Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) for the proposed development. This is included as Appendix 6F.

The aim of this study was to determine the traffic impact of a proposed development located in Kathu. This will include:
- An assessment of the potential impact of the new development on the current and projected traffic levels of the surrounding road network, and
- recommendations made on external road upgrades and suggested mitigation regarding the proposed access route.
10. ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

The specialist studies detailed in Section 8 were undertaken to determine significance of the impacts that may arise from the proposed development. The findings of the specialist studies are summarised here. Full copies of the studies are included in Appendices 6A – 6F.

The following specialist studies were undertaken:

10.1 BOTANICAL ASSESSMENT

Dr David McDonald (Bergwind Botanical Surveys) undertook the Botanical Impact Assessment – The Botanical Impact Assessment is included as Appendix 6A.

10.1.1 KEY FINDINGS

According to the Botanical Impact Assessment (Appendix 6A), the vegetation map of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland (Mucina, Rutherford & Powrie, 2005 and updated in 2009) indicates that the entire area of the study site falls within the widespread vegetation type known as Kathu Bushveld, a Least Threatened vegetation type (Government Gazette, 2011; Driver et al. 2011)

Observations during the field investigation at the Uitkoms study site verified the classification of the vegetation as Kathu Bushveld and revealed that this area is a mosaic of open grassland with thickets dominated by Tarchonanthus camphoratus and scattered or clustered camel thorn trees (Acacia erioloba) of varying age. The vegetation is not forest-like.

The vegetation is Least Threatened and does not harbour any endemic species. However, Acacia erioloba (camel thorn) trees are scattered over the site and where possible these trees should be preserved. Where removal of the camel thorn trees would be necessary, a permit would be required from the Department of Agriculture Fisheries and Forestry, since these trees are protected under the National Forests Act, 1998 (Act No. 84 of 1998).

Please refer to Section 7.3 of the Botanical Impact Assessment (Appendix 6A) for a more detailed description of the vegetation found on the site.

10.1.2 IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Direct impacts
According to the Botanical Impact Assessment (Appendix 6A), the impacts on the vegetation and habitat for the proposed housing development at Uitkoms, Kathu are considered according for two identified potential impacts that are:
- Loss of vegetation type and habitat including plant species due to construction and operational activities.
- Loss of ecological processes found within the original or currently existing habitat
- **Loss of Kathu Bushveld and habitat including plant species**
  At the local scale of the Uitkoms site, the impact would be restricted to the area south and west of the racetrack and main entrance road. Not all the vegetation would be removed and many of the *Acacia erioloba* (camelthorn) trees would remain although some would be lost. The result would be a **High negative** impact at a local scale for both Alternative 1 and Alternative 2 both during the construction and operational phases although Alternative 2, the preferred alternative would have a lesser impact overall because it would affect a smaller area of the site. Regionally, however, the impact of either alternative would be **Low negative**.

It is important to note therefore that Preferred Alternative layout would be the most desirable option despite the fact that in the area where it would affect the vegetation it would have a High Negative impact.

- **Loss of Ecological Processes**
  Ecological processes vary in condition across the study site largely in relation to the condition of the habitat. The habitat at Uitkoms is ecologically functional across the site and this functionality would be kept intact if enough of the area is maintained as undeveloped space. If Alternative 1 is implemented the impact on ecological processes would be **High Negative**. If the Preferred Layout Alternative is implemented the impact would be **Medium to Low Negative** on ecological processes.

**Indirect impacts**
According to the Botanical Impact Assessment ([Appendix 6A](#)), no indirect impacts were identified for the proposed development.

**Cumulative impacts**
The proposed development of the Uitkoms residential area at Kathu would contribute to the loss of Kathu Bushveld in the local area around the town of Kathu. However, Kathu Bushveld is regionally widespread and Least Threatened so the cumulative impact of the loss of natural vegetation and habitat, as well as ecological processes would be limited and is rated as **Low negative**.

### 10.1.3 MITIGATION MEASURES

The following mitigation measures have been proposed by the Botanical Impact Assessment:
- The first important mitigation measure would be to attempt to design the final layouts of the residential area at Uitkoms to cater for the loss of as few *Acacia erioloba* (camelthorn) trees as possible. In addition it would be important to advise the architects of the houses that they should take these trees into consideration when planning houses for each individual erf.
- Further mitigation in this respect would be to plant *Acacia erioloba* trees in the green open spaces to offset any trees that would be lost during construction.
- *Boophone disticha* (gifbol) is not an uncommon geophyte and not a threatened species but there is a high concentration of this species in the areas where the residential development is planned. It is therefore recommended that these plants that are easily identified should be located, rescued and replanted in areas of similar habitat where there will be no development.
- No mitigation would be possible for the loss of ecological processes in the area developed for housing due to construction and operational activities at Uitkoms.
10.1.4 CONCLUSION

The Botanical Impact Assessment concluded that the investigation of the proposed area for the Uitkoms housing development at Kathu revealed that viable, well-developed Kathu Bushveld vegetation occurs over a significant part of the site but is also existing development in the form of horse stables, a racetrack etc.

Where natural vegetation occurs it is generally a mosaic of grassland with shrub thickets dominated by *Tarchonanthus camphoratus*. Scattered or clustered trees occur with numerous of them being the protected species *Acacia erioloba* (camelthorn). Development of the site would result in local **High negative** impacts in terms of loss of vegetation and habitat at a local scale. However, at a regional scale the impact would be limited and so cumulative impacts are rated as **Low negative**. Loss of ecological processes would be Medium to Low Negative if the preferred alternative is implemented.

No plant species of conservation concern (Red List species) (Raimondo *et al.* 2009) were found during the study. However, the *Acacia erioloba* (camelthorn) trees should be observed as a protected tree species. A permit would be required for any disturbance of these trees. A permit from the Department of Environment and Nature Conservation, Northern Cape Province would be required for the destruction of any natural vegetation.

The Kathu Bushveld in the Uitkoms study area is Least Threatened and although there would be local loss of intact natural veld due to the proposed development, the housing development is supported without major constraints or need for cumbersome mitigation measures. The only mitigation measures recommended are firstly the planting of *Acacia erioloba* trees in ‘green spaces’ to compensate for any lost to the development and construction of houses and secondly, the ‘Search & Rescue’ of *Boophone disticha* (gifbol) plants that can be planted in the conservation area or any other area not earmarked for housing.

10.2 HERITAGE IMPACT ASSESSMENT

**Jonathan Kaplan** of the Agency of Cultural Resource Management was appointed to undertake a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) of the proposed site. The HIA is included as **Appendix 6B**.

10.2.1 KEY FINDINGS

According to the Heritage Impact Assessment (**Appendix 6B**), limited numbers of ESA, MSA and LSA lithics of low (Grade 3C) significance were recorded across the proposed development site.

These comprise mostly single, isolated finds that are spread very thinly and unevenly over the surrounding landscape. They appear on the surface of the superficial red sands, in old tracks and gravel roads, on scraped and eroded gravel and clay surfaces and among piles of ironstone gravels alongside old diggings.

The majority of the tools are in fine grained banded ironstone, but several smaller tools in quartzite, chalcedony and indurated shale were also noted. They comprise mostly large and smaller, modified and unmodified flakes, triangular shaped MSA flakes with prepared platforms, cores, and chunks. The occasional biface was also found. Some of the tools are weathered and rolled, indicating some fluvial
activity. Indications are that most of the tools recorded are probably discarded flakes and flake debris. No organic remains such as pottery or ostrich eggshell were found.

An extremely high density of Acheulean ESA implements, comprising mainly large and smaller modified and unmodified flakes, large angular and flaked chunks, occasional retouched/utilized blades, round cores and incomplete bifaces (handaxes), in fine grained ironstone were recorded in the south western corner of the site alongside Frikkie Meyer Street.

Surrounding what appears to be a pan (or depression), this open-air workshop site, located partly on an outcropping of ironstone, has been shown to be an extension of the highly significant archaeological site known as Kathu Townlands. Blocks and slabs of heavily flaked ironstone and flake debris were also noted scattered about, indicating that the site was repeatedly visited by early hominins and utilised as a source of raw material for the manufacture of stone tools. The artefacts are in good condition with no apparent rolling, abrading or weathering, indicating that the majority of the tools are most likely situated in-situ. The sheer number of tools and flake debris on the site also suggests a quarrying or procurement site.

Few formal tools such as choppers or handaxes were noted, suggesting that complete tools were most likely removed from the site. The extent of the archaeological deposit was not determined by the archaeologist, but it is clear that the archaeological landscape extends beyond the gravel entrance road at Frikkie Meyer Street, and is visible in the powerline servitude that services the Kathu Horse Club. The protected Open Space Area is a little degraded, but otherwise the archaeological site appears to be relatively undisturbed, well hidden among the tall grasslands, trees and thicket vegetation. An old tarred road cuts across the site, and many tools are exposed on the compact Pleistocene sands, below the superficial top soils.

No visible graves or typical grave markers were observed on the proposed development site.

There are no old buildings, structures or historical features that will be impacted by the proposed development. Piles of (modern) building rubble from several ancillary buildings occur in the south-eastern portion of the property, close to the Kathu Horse Club obstacle course.

### 10.2.2 IMPACT ASSESSMENT

According to the Heritage Impact Assessment (Appendix 6B), the proposed housing development will not have an impact of great significance on archaeological resources. A low-density scatter of ESA and MSA tools were recorded in the proposed footprint area, but these are spread very unevenly over the surrounding landscape, and appear to be mostly discarded flakes and flake debris. The overall impact significance of the proposed development is, therefore rated as being Low.

### 10.2.3 MITIGATION MEASURES

With regard to the proposed housing development, the following recommendations are made, which must be approved by the South Africa Heritage Resources Agency:

- No development must take place in the south-western corner of the property alongside Frikkie Meyer Street, which has been set aside as Private Open Space. This Open Space area has
been recognised by the applicant as an archaeological site of high significance and is a nominated Grade 1 National Heritage Site. The protected open space area is also acknowledged in the Gamagara Local Municipality Spatial Development Framework Plan for Kathu, as a ‘No-Go’ development area (my emphasis).

- An information board must be erected near the protected archaeological site.
- Excavations for building foundations and services (e.g. water, sewerage & septic tanks) must be monitored by an appropriately qualified Early Stone Age archaeologist.
- Funds must be made available by the applicant to cover all costs of fieldwork and any sampling and dating of archaeological deposits that might be required.
- A Heritage Management Plan must be prepared and implemented to manage the activities and phases of the development that may impact heritage resources, particularly in the Open Space area. This will ensure no accidental or indirect impacts occur during all phases of the proposed development.
- It is recommended that SAHRA sign a Heritage Agreement with the Sishen Iron Ore Company, to ensure the long-term conservation and management of the site. This agreement should be signed before development activities commence, and should include the location details of the artefact scatter. It is further recommended that the Heritage Management Plan for the site form part of this Heritage Agreement. The Heritage Management Plan must cover issues relating to appropriate behaviour around the archaeological resource, as well as appropriate signage.
- In order to ensure that heritage resources are not negatively impacted by proposed development activities, ongoing management and monitoring must be undertaken.
- Any future proposals to ‘develop’ the protected Private Open Space area alongside Frikkie Meyer Street as an open-air educational facility, for example, must be undertaken in close consultation with SAHRA, and must include all Interested and Affected Parties such as the McGregor Museum in Kimberley, as well as professional archaeologists who have been working on nearby related sites in Kathu.
- Should any unmarked human burials or ostrich eggshell water flask caches, for example, be uncovered during construction activities, these must immediately be reported to the archaeologist (Jonathan Kaplan 082 321 0172), or Ms Natasha Higgit at the South African Heritage Resources Agency (021 462 4502). Burials must not be removed or disturbed until inspected by the archaeologist.
- The above recommendations must be included in the Environmental Management Plan (EMP) for the proposed development.

10.2.4 CONCLUSION

As stated above, the proposed housing development will not have an impact of great significance on archaeological resources.

The Private Open Space area in the south-western corner of the property alongside Frikkie Meyer Street has, however, been identified as an archaeological site of high significance. According to the Gamagara Local Municipality Spatial Development Framework Plan for Kathu, this Open Space area has been set aside as a ‘No-Go’ development area. The archaeological resource has been identified as an extension of the ESA Acheleun site known as Khatu Townlands, which has been nominated as a Grade 1 National Heritage Site (NHS). The site will therefore be protected.
Measures to ensure the long protection and conservation of Kathu Townlands Extension are proposed. Mitigation proposals include the preparation and implementation of a Heritage Management Plan (HMP) to manage the activities and all phases of the proposed development that may impact heritage resources, identification and establishment of a buffer zone around sensitive archaeological areas, and monitoring of development activities during the construction phase of the project.

10.3 PALAEONTOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Dr John Almond (Natura Viva cc) has been appointed to undertake the Palaeontological Impact Assessment (PIA) of the proposed site – The PIA is included as Appendix 6C.

10.3.1 KEY FINDINGS

According to the Palaeontological Impact Assessment, according to geological maps, satellite images and recent palaeontological assessments in the Kathu area the flat-lying Uitkoms housing study area is underlain by a considerable thickness of Plio-Pleistocene to Recent sediments of the Kalahari Group. The underlying Precambrian bedrocks – viz. dolomites, cherts and iron formations of the Transvaal Supergroup – are too deeply buried to be directly affected by the proposed development.

The Kalahari Group succession near Kathu mainly comprises well-developed calcretes or surface limestones (Mokolanen Formation) that may total 30 m or more in thickness in the region, together with a thin (probably < 1 m) surface veneer of aeolian sands (Gordonia Formation), alluvial deposits and sparse near-surface gravels. In general the Kalahari Group calcretes and sands are of low palaeontological sensitivity, mainly featuring widely-occurring plant and animal trace fossils (e.g. invertebrate burrows, plant root casts). Recent palaeontological field assessments in the Sishen – Hotazel region by the author have not recorded significant fossil material within these near-surface Kalahari sediments.

A very important fossil assemblage of Pleistocene to Holocene mammal remains - predominantly teeth with scarce bone material associated with Earlier, Middle and Later Stone Age artefacts, well-preserved peats and pollens - is recorded from unconsolidated doline (solution hollow) sediments at the well-known Kathu Pan site, located some 5.5 km northwest of Kathu. There are at present no obvious indications of comparable fossiliferous, tool-bearing solution hollow infills exposed at present within the study area but such sediments might conceivably be present but hidden beneath cover sands and calcretes along the Vlermuisleegte drainage line that runs along the north-eastern edge of the present study area. However, according to the proposed layout for the Uitkoms housing development, this potentially sensitive zone is unlikely to be directly impacted by the proposed development.

10.3.2 IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The overall impact significance of the proposed Uitkoms housing development is therefore rated as LOW as far as palaeontological heritage is concerned. Likewise, cumulative impacts are likely to be of LOW significance, given the scarcity of important fossils (especially vertebrate remains) within the sedimentary rock units concerned as well as the huge outcrop area of the Kalahari Group as a whole.
The degree of confidence for this assessment is rated as medium because of the uncertainty surrounding the presence or absence of potentially fossiliferous buried doline infill deposits within the study area.

10.3.3 MITIGATION MEASURES

The following mitigation measures to safeguard fossils exposed on site during the construction phase of the development are recommended:

- The ECO and / or the Site Engineer responsible for the development must remain aware that all sedimentary deposits have the potential to contain fossils and he / she should thus monitor all substantial excavations into sedimentary bedrock for fossil remains. This applies especially to excavations close to the Vlermuisleegte drainage line on the north-eastern edge of farm Uitkoms No. 462, Portion 1. If any substantial fossil remains (e.g. vertebrae bones, teeth, horn cores) are found during construction SAHRA should be notified immediately (Contact details: SAHRA, 111 Harrington Street, Cape Town. PO Box 4637, Cape Town 8000, South Africa. Phone: +27 (0)21 462 4502. Fax: +27 (0)21 462 4509. Web: www.sahra.org.za) so that appropriate mitigation (i.e. recording, sampling or collection) by a palaeontological specialist can be considered and implemented, at the developer’s expense.

- A chance-find procedure should be implemented so that, in the event of fossils being uncovered, the ECO / Site Engineer will take the appropriate action, which includes:
  ❖ Stopping work in the immediate vicinity and fencing off the area with tape to prevent further access;
  ❖ Reporting the discovery to the provincial heritage agency and/or SAHRA;
  ❖ Appointing a palaeontological specialist to inspect, record and (if warranted) sample or collect the fossil remains;
  ❖ Implementing further mitigation measures proposed by the palaeontologist; and
  ❖ Allowing work to resume only once clearance is given in writing by the relevant authorities.

The mitigation measures proposed here should be incorporated into the Environmental Management Programme (EMPPr) for the Uitkoms housing project. The palaeontologist concerned with mitigation work will need a valid collection permit from SAHRA. All work would have to conform to international best practice for palaeontological fieldwork and the study (e.g. data recording fossil collection and curation, final report) should adhere to the minimum standards for Phase 2 palaeontological studies recently published by SAHRA (2013).

10.3.4 CONCLUSION

Due to the inferred low impact significance of the proposed housing development, as far as fossil heritage resources are concerned, no further specialist palaeontological studies or monitoring are recommended at this stage.
10.4 SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Tony Barbour of Tony Barbour Environmental Consulting and Research was appointed to undertake a Socio-economic Impact Assessment as part of the EIA process, and is included as Appendix 6D.

10.4.1 KEY FINDINGS AND IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The Socio-economic Impact Assessment (SIA) (Appendix 6D) has identified the following key social issues associated with the construction and operational phases of the development.

Construction phase:

Potential Positive Impacts:

❖ Creation of local business and employment and business opportunities

The total number of employment opportunities created by the construction phase of the Uitkoms Residential Development would be ~ 792. Of this total ~ 317 (40%) would be available to low skilled workers, ~ 317 (40%) to semi-skilled workers and 158 (20%) to skilled workers. The majority of the employment opportunities are likely to benefit local Historically Disadvantaged (HD) members of the community. This would represent a significant opportunity for members of the local community.

The total wage bill over the five year period would be in the region of R 540 million (rand values). This is based on a monthly wage of R 6 000 for low-skilled workers, R 10 000 for semi-skilled workers and R 25 000 for skilled workers. Of this total ~ R 114 million (21%) would be earned by low skilled workers, R 190 (35%) million by semi-skilled workers, and R 235 million (44%) by skilled workers. Low and semi-skilled workers would therefore earn ~ R 304 million (2016 rand values)(56%) of the total wage bill over the assumed five year construction phase.

As indicated above, the majority of the employment opportunities are likely to benefit local Historically Disadvantaged (HD) members of the local community. A significant portion of the total wage bill will therefore be earned by HD members from the local area. The majority of the wage bill will also be spent in the local economy and will create significant opportunities for local businesses in Kathu and surrounding towns. This benefit will extend over the assumed ~ 5 year construction phase.

The capital expenditure associated with the proposed Residential Development would be ~ R600 million (2016 rand values). The majority of work during the construction phase is likely to be undertaken by local contractors and builders. The majority of the building materials associated with the construction phase will be sourced from locally based suppliers. The proposed development will therefore represent a significant positive benefit for the local construction and building sector in the GLM and surrounding areas.

The proposed development will have a Medium Positive impact (without mitigation/enhancement measures) and a High Positive impact (with enhancement measures) on the creation of employment and business opportunities during the construction phase.
Potential Negative Impacts:

❖ Risk posed to family and social networks
According to the SIA, experience for other, typically large construction projects is that the presence of construction workers can pose a potential risk to local communities located in the vicinity of the site. While the presence of construction workers does not in itself constitute a social impact, the manner in which construction workers conduct themselves can affect the local community. In the case of local communities the most significant negative impact is associated with the disruption of existing family structures and social networks. This risk is linked to the potential behaviour of male construction workers, including:

- An increase in alcohol and drug use;
- An increase in crime levels;
- An increase in teenage and unwanted pregnancies;
- An increase in prostitution; and
- An increase in sexually transmitted diseases (STDs).

The impact on individual members of the community who are affected by the behavior of construction workers has the potential to be high, specifically if they are affected by crime and STDs etc. The potential risk posed by construction workers to individuals cannot be completely eliminated. The focus of the assessment is therefore on the potential impact on the community as a whole.

Based on the experience of the consultants the potential impacts on local communities associated with construction workers are typically associated with projects located in rural areas or small towns where large numbers of construction workers from outside the area are employed. Given the location of the proposed development the majority, if not all, of the low and semi-skilled workers are likely to reside locally. As such they will return to their houses on a daily basis. Based on this the overall impact of construction workers on the local community with mitigation is likely to be low. In addition, the presence of construction and contract workers in Kathu is not a new phenomenon. Local communities in the area are therefore used to the presence of workers from outside the town. While the potential threat posed by construction workers to the community as a whole is likely to be low, the impact on individual members who are affected by the behavior of construction workers has the potential to be high, specifically if they are affected by STDs etc.

The proposed development will have a Low Negative impact (without mitigation) and a Low Negative impact (with mitigation) on family structures and social networks associated with the presence of construction workers.

❖ Safety, security and potential for increased crime
The presence of construction workers in the area has the potential to impact on the safety and security of local residents in the area, specifically the residents of Khuduyane Security Complex and the Kalahari Golf and Hunting Estate, located to the south and west of the proposed Uitkoms Residential Development respectively. The Kathu Equestrian Club, located to the north of the site will also be at risk. Based on experience the presence of construction workers can result in an increase in petty crime and theft. This is linked to the ability of the construction workers to monitor the movements of local residents and take advantage of their absence from the property. The majority of the crime is therefore opportunistic and linked to theft and house break-ins.
Access to the site for workers and construction vehicles is likely to be from the south off Frikkie Meyer Street via the existing road that provides access to the Kathu Equestrian Club. The members of the Kathu Equestrian Club identified the potential risk posed by construction workers as a key concern that needed to be addressed. The presence of construction workers also poses a threat to horses that graze in the vicinity of the construction area. Based on the findings of the SIA the significance of the potential safety and security risks with mitigation is rated as low negative.

The proposed development will have a Low Negative impact (without mitigation) and a **Low Negative impact** (with mitigation) with regards to safety and security risk posed by presence of construction workers on site.

❖ **Impact of construction related activities**

Construction related activities can impact negatively on adjacent landowners and communities. The typical impacts include dust, noise and safety. As indicated above access to the site for workers and construction vehicles will be via Frikkie Meyer Street and the existing gravel access road to the Kathu Equestrian Club. The movement of construction vehicles along Frikkie Meyer Street will create potential safety risks for other road users, specifically given that Frikkie Meyer is the main access to Kathu from the N14. The proximity of the Kameeldoring and Heritage Square shopping centres to the access road to the site may also exacerbate potential traffic related issues, such as congestion, dust and safety. The movement of construction vehicles along the gravel access road will also create potential dust impacts for the residents of the Khuduyane Security Complex, Kalahari Golf and Hunting Estate and members of the Kathu Equestrian Club.

Site clearing for the development will also increase the risk of dust, specifically during dry, windy summer months, for the residents of the Khuduyane Security Complex and Kalahari Golf and Hunting Estate. The recommended mitigation measures listed below should be implemented to address these impacts. With mitigation the impact is rated as **low negative**.

**Operational Phase:**

**Potential Positive Impacts:**

❖ **Provision of housing for employees**

Sishen Iron Ore are committed to providing quality, affordable housing for its staff and has developed 1 793 houses over the last 5 years (Jimmy Walker, pers. comm). Mr Walker also indicated that due to accommodation shortages and the high property prices and rental costs in Kathu in recent years many employees have been forced to commute on a daily basis from towns in the area, including as far afield as Kuruman. Kuruman is located ~ 50 km north east of Kathu.

Despite the recent down turn in the mining sector and the associated retrenchments, Sishen Iron Ore have indicated that the future expansion of mining activities in the area will create the need for additional housing. The aim of the Uitkoms Residential Development is to ensure that adequate accommodation is available in Kathu for senior employees when the need arises. Sishen Iron Ore also indicted that appropriate accommodation must be available in order to attract highly qualified, senior staff to fill key positions.

The company is also committed to maximising the opportunity for employees to become homeowners as opposed to renting. To achieve this, houses are made available to employees at below cost. The
housing developed by Sishen Iron Ore that is made available to employees to purchase is referred to as Facilitated Stock. Based on the information provided all of the 163 units will be made available for employees to purchase at discounted costs.

This creation of an opportunity for Sishen Iron Ore employees to become homeowners at a discounted cost represents a significant socio-economic benefit. In so doing employees can become owners of a fixed asset that will increase in value over time, as opposed to renting a property. International and local research indicates that psychological and socio-economic well-being is closely linked to the stability provided by owning one's home. Political stability is also closely linked to home ownership. People that have something of value to lose are more inclined to work towards looking for peaceful, constructive solutions as opposed to resorting to social unrest and protests.

The provision of housing and community facilities by Sishen Iron Ore therefore represents a long term investment in the well-being of its employees and the community of Kathu as a whole. This represents a significant socio-economic benefit for the employees, the town of Kathu and the GLM. The provision of affordable housing in Kathu also ensures that workers do not have to commute over long distances in order to access their place of work. This represents a positive social benefit for both the workers and their families.

In addition, the proposed development area is located within the Kathu Urban Edge. The area has therefore been identified as suitable for urban development.

The proposed development will have a Medium Positive impact (without mitigation/enhancement measures) and a Medium Positive impact (with enhancement measures) on the provision of housing opportunities.

❖ Creation of employment and business opportunities
The establishment of 163 middle-to-upper income units will create employment opportunities for local residents, specifically domestic workers and gardeners etc. Based on the assumption that every second house will employ a domestic worker and or gardener the proposed development will create ~ 80 employment opportunities for members from the local community. The majority, it not all of these community members are likely to be Historically Disadvantaged Individuals (HDIs). Given the high unemployment levels in the surrounding areas, coupled with the low income and education levels, this would represent a positive social impact.

The residential development will also create opportunities for local businesses, such as local maintenance and building companies, garden services and security companies etc. and create opportunities for new businesses to develop. This in turn will create additional employment opportunities. The local estate agencies in the area and legal firms would also benefit from the sale and resale of properties associated with the new development.

The proposed development will have a Low Positive impact (without mitigation/enhancement measures) and a Medium Positive impact (with enhancement measures) on the creation of employment and business opportunities during the operational phase.
❖ **Increase rates and tax revenue for the GLM which can be used to address some of the socio-economic challenges facing the GLM**

Based on the information provided by the developer the total market value of the proposed development would be ~ R 570 million (2016 rand values). Assuming full development, the estimated monthly property rates for the 163 units would be R 244 500.00 (assuming rates of R 1 500/month). The total rates generated per annum by the development would be ~ R 3 million (2016 rand values). In addition the proposed development would also generate revenue for the GLM from the consumption of water and electricity.

The proposed development will have a Low Positive impact (without mitigation/enhancement measures) and a **Low Positive impact** (with enhancement measures) with regards to broadening the rates base.

❖ **Impact on Kathu Equestrian Club**

The Kathu Equestrian Club is located on land owned by Sishen Iron Ore Company and is ~ 40 years old. The club has ~ 50 members and ~ 70 horses are stabled on the property. The club hosts local, regional and national equestrian events on a regular basis. The larger events accommodate over 100 horses and are held over 2-3 days.

The members of the committee indicated that the preferred option was the best option as it minimized the loss of land used for grazing. However, a number of key concerns were raised, namely:
- Loss of area for grazing: The horses currently use the area that has been identified for the establishment of the Uitkoms Residential Development for grazing;
- Access and security: The access road associated with the preferred layout (Figure 4.1) shares the same alignment as the existing gravel road that provides access to the club and stables for ~ 300 m before linking up with the development. Sharing the same access has the potential to create safety risks for horses and motorists. The shared access also increases the exposure of the club and horses to outside criminal elements;
- Impact on horses: The presence to people in close proximity to horses grazing can create potential risks for both horses and the public, especially young children. The eastern section of the development is also located adjacent to the track section of the equestrian estate where horses are galloped.

In order to address these issues, the committee identified a number of mitigation / design measures. These measures are listed below.

The proposed development will have a Medium Negative impact (without mitigation) and a **Low Negative impact** (with mitigation) on the Kathu Equestrian Club with regards to the potential risks that include loss of grazing land, safety and security and impact on horses.

In addition to the potential negative impacts the committee also indicated that there were a number of potential positive benefits associated with the proposed development. These included:
- Removal of squatters living in the area where the proposed development is located. The squatters pose a security and safety threat to members and their horses;
- Potential for establishment of additional, irrigated grazing area to the north-east of the existing area to replace the area lost to the development;
- Improved security linked to the presence of more people in the area.
The proposed development will have a Medium Positive impact (without mitigation/enhancement measures) and a Medium Positive impact (with enhancement measures) on the Kathu Equestrian Club with regards to the potential benefits that include the establishment of irrigated grazing paddock, improved safety and security and removal of squatters.

No Development Alternative
The no-development alternative would result in a lost opportunity for Sishen Iron Ore to provide quality accommodation required to attract well qualified, senior employees. The no-development option would also result in a lost opportunity for Sishen Iron Ore employees to purchase houses at a discounted price. The employment and business opportunities associated with the construction and operational phase would also be forgone, as would the rates and taxes generated for the GLM. The no-development option is therefore not supported. However, the recommendations listed in the SIA should be implemented.

10.4.2 MITIGATION MEASURES

Enhancement measures:
In order to enhance local employment and business opportunities associated with the construction phase of the project the following measures should be implemented:

- Where reasonable and practical the proponent should appoint local contractors and implement a "locals first" policy, especially for semi and low-skilled job categories. Where feasible, efforts should be made to employ local contractors that are compliant with Broad Based Black Economic Empowerment (BBBEE) criteria;
- The proponent should liaise with the GLM with regards the establishment of a database of local companies, specifically BBBEE companies, which qualify as potential service providers (e.g. construction companies, catering companies, waste collection companies, security companies etc.) prior to the commencement of the tender process for construction. These companies should be notified of the tender process and invited to bid for project-related work;
- Where feasible, the proponent should assist local BBBEE companies to complete and submit the required tender forms and associated information. While preference to appointing local companies is recommended, it is recognised that a competitive tender process may not guarantee the employment of companies for the construction phase.
- An accredited training and skills development programme aimed at maximising opportunity for local workers to be employed for the low and semi-skilled positions should be initiated prior to the initiation of the construction phase. The aim of the programme should be to maximise employment opportunities for members of the local community. In this regard, the programme should be aimed at community members from Kathu and other towns in the GLM. The programme should be developed in consultation with the Department of Labour and the GLM. The recommended targets are 80% and 60% of low and semi-skilled positions respectively should be taken up by local community members;
- The recruitment selection process for the training and skills development programme should seek to promote gender equality and the employment of women wherever possible;
- The GLM and relevant community representatives should be informed of the final decision regarding the project and the potential job opportunities for locals and the employment procedures that the proponent intends following for the construction phase of the project;
- The GLM, in conjunction with the local business sector and representatives from the local hospitality industry, should identify strategies aimed at maximising the potential benefits
associated with the project.

The development of the proposed Uitkoms Residential Development represents an enhancement measure in itself. In addition, the following recommendations should be implemented:

- A landscaping plan should be developed that makes provision for tree planting and creation of green open spaces as part of the urban design plan;
- A Management and Maintenance Plan and programme for the public open spaces should be developed and implemented.

The recommended enhancement measure is for the proposed Uitkoms Residential Development to proceed as planned. In addition, in order to enhance local employment and business opportunities associated with the operational phase of the project the following measures should be implemented:

- The proponent and the GLM should inform local community leaders, organizations and councillors of the potential job opportunities associated with the different components associated with the operational phase of the development;
- The proponent, in consultation with the GLM, should establish a database of local service providers in the area, specifically SMME’s owned and run by HDI’s. These companies should be notified of the potential opportunities associated with the operational phase of the development.
- The proponent in consultation with the GLM should look to identify measures to maximize employment opportunities for members from the local HD communities.

Mitigations Measures:
The potential risks associated with construction workers can be mitigated. The aspects that should be covered include:

- The developer should seek as far as is possible to appoint a local or regional contractor/s from the local area for the bulk services and housing contracts;
- The developer in consultation with the appointed contractor/s should implement an HIV/AIDS awareness programme for all construction workers at the outset of the construction phase;
- The movement of construction workers on and off the site should be closely managed and monitored by the contractors. In this regard, the contractors should be responsible for making the necessary arrangements for transporting workers to and from site on a daily basis;
- No construction workers, with the exception of security personnel, should be permitted to stay overnight on the site.

The developer and or contractors cannot be held responsible for the off-site, after-hours behaviour of all construction employees. However, the contractors appointed by the developer should ensure that all workers employed on the project are informed at the outset of the construction phase that any construction workers found guilty of theft will be dismissed and charged. All dismissals must be in accordance with South African labour legislation. In addition, the following mitigation measures are recommended. These recommendations apply to the construction of the bulk infrastructure on the site and the establishment of housing:

- The construction area should be fenced off prior to the commencement of the construction phase. This is to reduce the risk posed by workers on the adjacent residential areas and the activities at the Kathu Equestrian Centre;
- No construction workers, with the exception of security personnel, should be allowed to stay on site overnight;
- Building contractors appointed by the developer must ensure that workers are transported to and from the site on a daily basis;
- Construction related activities should comply with all relevant building regulations. In this regard activities on site should be restricted to between 07h00 and 18h00 during weekdays and 08h00 and 13h00 on Saturdays;
- No work should be permitted on Sundays and Public Holidays.

The potential impacts associated with construction related activities and heavy vehicles can be effectively mitigated. The aspects that should be covered include:
- The proposed development should be phased and site clearing confined to the specific areas under construction;
- Dust suppression measures must be implemented when site clearing takes place, such as wetting of exposed areas and access roads;
- Construction related activities should comply with all relevant building regulations. In this regard activities on site should be restricted to between 07h00 and 18h00 during weekdays and 08h00 and 13h00 on Saturdays. No work should be permitted after 13h00 on Saturdays and on Sundays or Public Holidays;
- Drivers should be made aware of the potential dust and noise impacts posed to Khuduyane Security Complex, Kalahari Golf and Hunting Estate and members of the Kathu Equestrian Club. All drivers must ensure that speed limit of 60 km per hour is enforced;
- The movement of heavy construction vehicles along Frikkie Meyer Street should be timed to avoid peak traffic hours;
- Dust suppression measures must be implemented to reduce impacts associated with the movement of construction vehicles, including wetting of gravel roads and ensuring that vehicles used to transport sand and building materials are fitted with tarpaulins or covers;
- All vehicles must be road-worthy and drivers must be qualified, made aware of the potential road safety issues, and need for strict speed limits.

The recommended mitigation measures identified by the Kathu Equestrian Club committee include:

- **Loss of area for grazing**
  - Establishment of a new irrigated, grazing paddock in the area to the north-east of the existing paddock area of the club house.

- **Access, security and impact on horses**
  - A separate, dedicated access road should be developed for the proposed Uitkoms Development. This access road should turn off the existing gravel road immediately after the turn-off from Frikkie Meyer Street. The main access to the proposed Uitkoms Development should therefore be located near the turn-off to Frikkie Meyer Street;
  - A single access point should be created as opposed to the current three or four access points. This would improve security for both the development and also for the equestrian club. This would also reduce the risk posed by cars to horses;
  - The development should be fenced off from the area used by the equestrian club. This would reduce the potential risks for both horses and the public, especially young children;
  - A buffer should be established between the houses located in eastern section of the development and the track section of the equestrian estate where horses are galloped;
- Strict rules governing access by residents to the area managed by the equestrian club should be established.

10.4.3 CONCLUSION

The findings of the SIA indicate that the proposed Uitkoms Residential Development is located inside the Kathu Urban Edge. The proposed development therefore complies with and is supported by the local land use planning proposals for the area.

The construction and operational phase of the proposed development will create a number of positive socio-economic benefits for the local community and the area as a whole. The development will also enable Sishen Iron Ore to provide quality accommodation required to attract well qualified, senior employees. The establishment of the proposed Uitkoms Residential Development is therefore supported by the findings of the SIA.

The findings of the SIA indicate that the proposed Uitkoms Residential Development complies with and is supported by the local land use planning proposals for the site. The findings of the SIA also indicate that the socio-economic benefits associated with the proposed development outweigh the negative impacts. All of the negative impacts can also be effectively mitigated.

It is therefore recommended that the Preferred Alternative of the proposed Uitkoms Residential Development be supported, subject to the implementation of the recommended enhancement and mitigation measures contained in the SIA report.

10.5 GEO-TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

VGIconsult Projects was appointed to undertake the Geo-technical Feasibility Assessment, which is included as Appendix 6E.

10.5.1 KEY FINDINGS

According to the Geo-technical Assessment, the existing information indicates that the Uitkoms site is underlain by Banded Iron Formation at shallow (10m or less) and to great depth (in excess of 142m). The site is located in the area not impacted by large scale groundwater abstraction. The site is not judged to be on dolomite land.

As a result of the test pits and laboratory tests, the site can be described in terms of two soil zones of similar foundation conditions, namely Soil Zones 1 and 2.

- Soil Zone 1: 2([H/C-C1/S-S1]R3)
  All the soil layers (i.e. the colluvium, ferruginous pebble marker, pedogenic are of low expansiveness (H).

The colluvium and pedogenic layers comprise low density, collapsible and compressible material (C-C1/S-S1), to depths less than 0.5m. Settlement of up to 15mm may be anticipated if these materials are placed under load and/or if the moisture content increases.
Refusal occurred with a TLB at depths less than 1.5m (R3).

- Soil Zone 2: 2[(H/C2/S1-S2)R3]
All the soil layers (i.e. the colluvium, ferruginous pebble marker, pedogenic are of low expansiveness (H).

The colluvium and pedogenic layers comprise low density collapsible and compressible materials to depths greater than 0.5m (C2/S1-S2). Major settlement (>15mm) may be anticipated if these materials are placed under load and/or if the moisture content increases.

Refusal occurred with a TLB at depths less than 1.5m (R3).

10.5.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

- Foundation Design Requirements
The loose colluvial layer and medium dense nodular calcrite encountered in majority of the Test Pits from natural ground level to an anticipated maximum depth of 0.9m are not suitable for the founding.

Refusal of the TLB was encountered at a depth of 0.1m and 1.2m on honeycomb tending to hardpan calcrite (pedogenic) in all the test pits.

The Foundation Design/ building procedures allowed for the identified design soil classes/single storey residential structures in terms of the 1999 Home Building Manual are identified in pages 18 – 20 of the Geo-technical Feasibility Assessment (Appendix 6E).

Where very dense honeycomb tending to hardpan calcrite is encountered within the standard footing depth, the structure can be placed directly on this material.
Where such material is not encountered within the standard footing depth, an engineered soil mattress should be constructed (on the very dense honeycomb tending to hardpan calcrite) to the required founding level as follows:

1. Remove all excavatable material (with an excavator) to a maximum depth of between 0.1m to 1.0m in the footprint area of the structure and up to 1m beyond the edge of the proposed foundation area.
2. Rip and compact the excavated floor area to 95% of Modified AASHTO maximum dry density at optimum moisture content.
3. Backfill in maximum 150mm thick layers with imported G5-quality material; each layer is to be compacted to at least 98% of Modified AASHTO maximum dry density at optimum moisture content.
4. This should also include the (minimum) 150mm freeboard, i.e. top of floor slab to top of natural ground level, as required by the NHBRC Guidelines.

- Excavatability
It should be noted that if a backactor will be used for the excavation of services, excavatability problems may be experienced in both Soil Zones 1 and 2 from 0.1m depth (which is within the general excavation depth for services [1.5m]) due to the presence of shallow calcrite.
- **Trench Sidewall Stability**
In the event that there is uncertainty with respect to shoring requirements in trenches deeper than 1m, a competent person should be consulted.

All excavation slopes must be inspected by a competent person during construction to assess stability and recommended stabilizing measures, where required.

- **Pipe Bedding Material and Backfill and Compaction Characteristics**
  - The materials tested in this investigation do not comply with the SABS 1200LB criteria for selected granular fill or selected fill. Selected granular fill and/or selected fill is not available on this site and will need to be imported.
  - Selected Granular Bedding – i.e. naturally occurring non-cohesive, free draining, singularly graded gravel-soils between 0.6mm and 19.0mm particle size are not available on this site and will need to be imported.
  - Selected Fill – the laboratory tests results confirm that natural soils with a PI less than 6% and/or a stones content of <30mm are not available on this site and will need to be imported.
  - General Backfill – materials recovered from trench excavation works may be considered for general backfill purposes after removal or reduction of all the larger cobble and boulder size fractions.
  - The selected calcrete materials, G5 and G6 quality materials are suitable for the construction of earth mattresses

- **Corrosivity**
The selection of materials to be used for wet services placed below ground surface should take cognisance of a possible corrosive nature of the environment.

- **Road Construction**
  Calcrete classifying as G5 quality materials is suitable for the use for base layer. G6 quality material is suitable for sub base in road construction. However, G6 quality material can be improved to G5 quality by adding 20% to 30% gravels.

- **Groundwater Conditions**
No seepage was indicated in the test pits excavated. However, the soil/rock interface may give rise to water seepage during wet season.

Water runoff into open works may also occur during excavation and construction work, particularly in the wet season due natural slope. A pump should therefore be available during such work. Structures could be impacted on by ‘rising damp’. Special attention to membrane/dampcourse measures is required (for example, the use of ‘waterproof’ concretes in slab/raft foundation designs).

A complete stormwater system that provides for drainage as well as the provision of drainage to control runoff from major stormwater events will need to be constructed on the site, according to Municipal specifications.
10.6 TRAFFIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT

KMA Consulting Engineers was appointed to undertake the Traffic Impact Assessment, which is included as Appendix 6F.

10.6.1 KEY FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The following are the key findings of the Traffic Impact Assessment:

❖ Capacity Analysis
capacity analyses were performed by means of the SIDRA program. The tables below show the Levels of Service of the different traffic movements. Levels of Service (LOS) give an indication of operational characteristics in a traffic stream and their perception by motorists and passengers. Levels of service A to D are usually assumed to be acceptable, with LOS E regarded as the maximum flow rate, or capacity of the facility.

The following intersection were analysed:
- Access from Frikkie Meyer Street (Intersection A)
- Rooisand Street / Frikkie Meyer Street Intersection (Intersection B)
- Hans Coetzee Street / Frikkie Meyer Street Intersection (Intersection C)

Figure 9: Google Earth image showing the intersections analysed
Intersection A:
The intersection is expected to experience capacity problems with the latent rights; even before implementation of the development under consideration. The situation could be acceptable if only side road traffic is expected to experience capacity problems based on the principle contained in the Manual for Traffic Impact Studies, namely "It may, however be acceptable if individual movements are operating at LOS E or even F, if the traffic volumes affected are low" The fact that through traffic will experience capacity problems is however not acceptable and turning lanes should as a minimum be provided. Not only will this improve capacity, but will also improve road safety.

Although levels of service will not be acceptable for all movements, this is acceptable based on the mentioned principle. The intersection will not qualify for all way stop control or signalisation (traffic lights). Provision of turning lanes will have a significant impact on queue lengths as shown below.

Intersection B:
The traffic circle is currently operating acceptably, but will experience capacity problems during the afternoon peak with the latent rights, irrespective of whether the development under consideration is implemented or not. The Traffic Impact Study for the Rooisand Square Shopping Centre on Erven 9687 to 9693 (2189, 12190 and 12191) recommended that the intersection should be signalised. Unfortunately, this layout was not implemented.

Intersection C:
Current levels of service are still acceptable, but levels of service will be problematic with latent rights. The intersection will not qualify for signalisation and it is not possible to implement all way stop control at this intersection due to the following principles as contained in the South African Road Traffic Signs Manual (SARTSM). The manual prescribes the conditions under which all-way stop control is allowable, namely:

a) All-way stop control should not be implemented on a trunk road or major arterial road
b) It should not be implemented on a public passenger transport route
c) The traffic flow on one road should not exceed the traffic flow on the other road by more than 20% of the total traffic through the junction.
d) It should not be implemented if any approach road to the junction has more than one lane for traffic.

It is not really possible to significantly upgrade the priority controlled intersection. This could however be acceptable based on the principle that it may be acceptable if individual movements are operating at LOS E or even F, if the traffic volumes affected are low. The only improvement that can be considered is provision of right turning lanes on Frikkie Meyer Street. This will slightly improve levels of service for the worst case scenarios.

❖ Trip Generation during construction
Due to the phasing of the development there will be periods when there will be a combination of construction - and operational trips, but considering the slow construction rate, it is believed that the worst case scenario will be when the development is fully implemented as analysed in Chapter 6 and no combination of trips is expected to exceed the full trip generation as analysed.
During construction heavy vehicle volumes will be relatively higher than during the operational stages but due to the limited size of the development it is not expected that any community will be significantly affected by heavy vehicle operations during construction.

❖ **Public Transportation**
As the area will not be a low vehicle ownership area, limited public transport operations can be expected and no specific provision has to be made for this mode of transport.

❖ **Pedestrian activities**
During traffic counting limited pedestrian activity was observed. As a medium to higher income development no significant pedestrian activity outside the development area is expected. It is however advisable that should Frikkie Meyer Street be upgraded to a four-lane road, the road be upgraded to a proper urban street with sidewalks (street lights are already provided).

❖ **Access road**
The current access road to the Equestrian Club is a (good condition) gravel road. The road does not have to be upgraded from a capacity point of view, but due to potential dust, the road should preferably be upgraded to a paved road, ideally with shoulders that will facilitate pedestrians.

### 10.6.2 CONCLUSION

The following conclusions can be made from the Traffic Impact Assessment:
- The development could potentially generate 197 new trips during both the morning and afternoon peaks.
- The development will not have a noticeable impact on any of the analysed intersections, but with normal traffic growth, and especially the trip generation of latent rights, which are expected to be developed, but are not yet developed, some improvements are required at the relevant intersections.

Based on the conclusions it is recommended that the development be approved from a traffic point of view.
11. **SUMMARY OF IMPACTS**

Please refer to Appendix 7 for a summary of the project impact assessment and significance, including a summary of mitigation measures.

Table 4 is a summary of all the impacts assessed in the specialists reports that are associated with the construction and operational phase for the preferred alternative.

Table 4: Summary of all impacts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Significance No Mitigation</th>
<th>Significance With Mitigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Botanical</td>
<td>Loss of Kathu Bushveld</td>
<td>High (Negative impact)</td>
<td>High (Negative impact)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loss of Ecological Processes</td>
<td>Medium-Low (Negative impact)</td>
<td>Medium-Low (Negative impact)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heritage</td>
<td>Loss of archaeological resources</td>
<td>Low (Negative impact)</td>
<td>Low (Negative impact)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palaeontology</td>
<td>Loss of Palaeontological heritage resources</td>
<td>Low (Negative impact)</td>
<td>Low (Negative impact)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-economic</td>
<td>Creation of employment and business opportunities during the construction phase</td>
<td>Medium (Positive impact)</td>
<td>High (Positive impact)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Potential impacts on family structures and social networks associated with the presence of construction workers</td>
<td>Low (Negative impact)</td>
<td>Low (Negative impact)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Safety and security risk posed by presence of construction workers on site</td>
<td>Low (Negative impact)</td>
<td>Low (Negative impact)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Potential noise, dust and safety impacts associated with construction related activities and the movement of construction traffic to and from the site</td>
<td>Medium (Negative impact)</td>
<td>Low (Negative impact)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provision of housing</td>
<td>Medium (Positive impact)</td>
<td>Medium (Positive impact)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Creation of employment and business opportunities</td>
<td>Low (Positive impact)</td>
<td>Medium (Positive impact)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Description</td>
<td>Low Impact</td>
<td>Medium Impact</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadening the rates base</td>
<td>Low (Positive impact)</td>
<td>Low (Positive impact)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential risks to the Kathu Equestrian Club include loss of grazing land, safety and security and impact on horses</td>
<td>Medium (Negative impact)</td>
<td>Low (Negative impact)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential benefits to the Kathu Equestrian Club include establishment of irrigated grazing paddock, improved safety and security and removal of squatters</td>
<td>Medium (Positive impact)</td>
<td>Medium (Positive impact)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
12. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

The following mitigation measures must be enforced if the proposed development were approved. These are also included in the Environmental Management Programme (Appendix 7).

**Construction Phase:**

The following mitigation measures have been proposed by the Botanical Impact Assessment:

- The first important mitigation measure would be to attempt to design the final layouts of the residential area at Uitkoms to cater for the loss of as few *Acacia erioloba* (camelthorn) trees as possible. In addition, it would be important to advise the architects of the houses that they should take these trees into consideration when planning houses for each individual erf.
- Further mitigation in this respect would be to plant *Acacia erioloba* trees in the green open spaces to offset any trees that would be lost during construction.
- *Boophone disticha* (gifbol) is not an uncommon geophyte and not a threatened species but there is a high concentration of this species in the areas where the residential development is planned. It is therefore recommended that these plants that are easily identified should be located, rescued and replanted in areas of similar habitat where there will be no development.
- No mitigation would be possible for the loss of ecological processes in the area developed for housing due to construction and operational activities at Uitkoms.

According to the Heritage Impact Assessment, the following recommendations are made, which must be approved by the South Africa Heritage Resources Agency:

- No development must take place in the south-western corner of the property alongside Frikkie Meyer Street, which has been set aside as Private Open Space. This Open Space area has been recognised by the applicant as an archaeological site of high significance and is a nominated Grade 1 National Heritage Site. The protected open space area is also acknowledged in the Gamagara Local Municipality Spatial Development Framework Plan for Kathu, as a `No-Go’ development area (my emphasis).
- An information board must be erected near the protected archaeological site.
- Excavations for building foundations and services (e.g. water, sewerage & septic tanks) must be monitored by an appropriately qualified Early Stone Age archaeologist.
- Funds must be made available by the applicant to cover all costs of fieldwork and any sampling and dating of archaeological deposits that might be required.
- A Heritage Management Plan must be prepared and implemented to manage the activities and phases of the development that may impact heritage resources, particularly in the Open Space area. This will ensure no accidental or indirect impacts occur during all phases of the proposed development.
- It is recommended that SAHRA sign a Heritage Agreement with the Sishen Iron Ore Company, to ensure the long-term conservation and management of the site. This agreement should be signed before development activities commence, and should include the location details of the artefact scatter. It is further recommended that the Heritage Management Plan for the site form part of this Heritage Agreement. The Heritage Management Plan must cover issues relating to appropriate behaviour around the archaeological resource, as well as appropriate signage.
- In order to ensure that heritage resources are not negatively impacted by proposed development activities, ongoing management and monitoring must be undertaken.
- Any future proposals to ‘develop’ the protected Private Open Space area alongside Frikkie Meyer Street as an open-air educational facility, for example, must be undertaken in close consultation with SAHRA, and must include all Interested and Affected Parties such as the McGregor Museum in Kimberley, as well as professional archaeologists who have been working on nearby related sites in Kathu.

- Should any unmarked human burials or ostrich eggshell water flask caches, for example, be uncovered during construction activities, these must immediately be reported to the archaeologist (Jonathan Kaplan 082 321 0172), or Ms Natasha Higgit at the South African Heritage Resources Agency (021 462 4502). Burials must not be removed or disturbed until inspected by the archaeologist.

- The above recommendations must be included in the Environmental Management Plan (EMP) for the proposed development.

The following mitigation measures have been identified in the Palaeontological Impact Assessment to safeguard fossils exposed on site during the construction phase of the development are recommended:

- The ECO and / or the Site Engineer responsible for the development must remain aware that all sedimentary deposits have the potential to contain fossils and he / she should thus monitor all substantial excavations into sedimentary bedrock for fossil remains. This applies especially to excavations close to the Vlermuisleegte drainage line on the north-eastern edge of farm Uitkoms No. 462, Portion 1. If any substantial fossil remains (e.g. vertebrate bones, teeth, horn cores) are found during construction SAHRA should be notified immediately (Contact details: SAHRA, 111 Harrington Street, Cape Town. PO Box 4637, Cape Town 8000, South Africa. Phone: +27 (0)21 462 4502. Fax: +27 (0)21 462 4509. Web: www.sahra.org.za) so that appropriate mitigation (i.e. recording, sampling or collection) by a palaeontological specialist can be considered and implemented, at the developer’s expense.

- A chance-find procedure should be implemented so that, in the event of fossils being uncovered, the ECO / Site Engineer will take the appropriate action, which includes:
  - Stopping work in the immediate vicinity and fencing off the area with tape to prevent further access;
  - Reporting the discovery to the provincial heritage agency and/or SAHRA;
  - Appointing a palaeontological specialist to inspect, record and (if warranted) sample or collect the fossil remains;
  - Implementing further mitigation measures proposed by the palaeontologist; and
  - Allowing work to resume only once clearance is given in writing by the relevant authorities.

The mitigation measures proposed here should be incorporated into the Environmental Management Programme (EMPr) for the Uitkoms housing project. The palaeontologist concerned with mitigation work will need a valid collection permit from SAHRA. All work would have to conform to international best practice for palaeontological fieldwork and the study (e.g. data recording fossil collection and curation, final report) should adhere to the minimum standards for Phase 2 palaeontological studies recently published by SAHRA (2013).
The following mitigation measures have been proposed by the Socio-Economic Impact Assessment:

- **Enhancement measures:**

  In order to enhance local employment and business opportunities associated with the construction phase of the project the following measures should be implemented:

  - Where reasonable and practical the proponent should appoint local contractors and implement a "locals first" policy, especially for semi and low-skilled job categories. Where feasible, efforts should be made to employ local contractors that are compliant with Broad Based Black Economic Empowerment (BBBEE) criteria;
  
  - The proponent should liaise with the GLM with regards the establishment of a database of local companies, specifically BBBEE companies, which qualify as potential service providers (e.g. construction companies, catering companies, waste collection companies, security companies etc.) prior to the commencement of the tender process for construction. These companies should be notified of the tender process and invited to bid for project-related work;
  
  - Where feasible, the proponent should assist local BBBEE companies to complete and submit the required tender forms and associated information. While preference to appointing local companies is recommended, it is recognised that a competitive tender process may not guarantee the employment of companies for the construction phase.
  
  - An accredited training and skills development programme aimed at maximising opportunity for local workers to be employed for the low and semi-skilled positions should be initiated prior to the initiation of the construction phase. The aim of the programme should be to maximise employment opportunities for members of the local community. In this regard, the programme should be aimed at community members from Kathu and other towns in the GLM. The programme should be developed in consultation with the Department of Labour and the GLM. The recommended targets are 80% and 60% of low and semi-skilled positions respectively should be taken up by local community members;
  
  - The recruitment selection process for the training and skills development programme should seek to promote gender equality and the employment of women wherever possible;
  
  - The GLM and relevant community representatives should be informed of the final decision regarding the project and the potential job opportunities for locals and the employment procedures that the proponent intends following for the construction phase of the project;
  
  - The GLM, in conjunction with the local business sector and representatives from the local hospitality industry, should identify strategies aimed at maximising the potential benefits associated with the project.

The development of the proposed Uitkoms Residential Development represents an enhancement measure in itself. In addition, the following recommendations should be implemented:

- A landscaping plan should be developed that makes provision for tree planting and creation of green open spaces as part of the urban design plan;

- A Management and Maintenance Plan and programme for the public open spaces should be developed and implemented.

- **Mitigations Measures:**

  The potential risks associated with construction workers can be mitigated. The aspects that should be covered include:

  - The developer should seek as far as is possible to appoint a local or regional contractor/s from the local area for the bulk services and housing contracts;
- The developer in consultation with the appointed contractor/s should implement an HIV/AIDS awareness programme for all construction workers at the outset of the construction phase;
- The movement of construction workers on and off the site should be closely managed and monitored by the contractors. In this regard, the contractors should be responsible for making the necessary arrangements for transporting workers to and from site on a daily basis;
- No construction workers, with the exception of security personnel, should be permitted to stay overnight on the site.

The developer and or contractors cannot be held responsible for the off-site, after-hours behaviour of all construction employees. However, the contractors appointed by the developer should ensure that all workers employed on the project are informed at the outset of the construction phase that any construction workers found guilty of theft will be dismissed and charged. All dismissals must be in accordance with South African labour legislation. In addition, the following mitigation measures are recommended. These recommendations apply to the construction of the bulk infrastructure on the site and the establishment of housing:
- The construction area should be fenced off prior to the commencement of the construction phase. This is to reduce the risk posed by workers on the adjacent residential areas and the activities at the Kathu Equestrian Centre;
- No construction workers, with the exception of security personnel, should be allowed to stay on site overnight;
- Building contractors appointed by the developer must ensure that workers are transported to and from the site on a daily basis;
- Construction related activities should comply with all relevant building regulations. In this regard activities on site should be restricted to between 07h00 and 18h00 during weekdays and 08h00 and 13h00 on Saturdays;
- No work should be permitted on Sundays and Public Holidays.

The potential impacts associated with construction related activities and heavy vehicles can be effectively mitigated. The aspects that should be covered include:
- The proposed development should be phased and site clearing confined to the specific areas under construction;
- Dust suppression measures must be implemented when site clearing takes place, such as wetting of exposed areas and access roads;
- Construction related activities should comply with all relevant building regulations. In this regard activities on site should be restricted to between 07h00 and 18h00 during weekdays and 08h00 and 13h00 on Saturdays. No work should be permitted after 13h00 on Saturdays and on Sundays or Public Holidays;
- Drivers should be made aware of the potential dust and noise impacts posed to Khuduyane Security Complex, Kalahari Golf and Hunting Estate and members of the Kathu Equestrian Club. All drivers must ensure that speed limit of 60 km per hour is enforced;
- The movement of heavy construction vehicles along Frikkie Meyer Street should be timed to avoid peak traffic hours;
- Dust suppression measures must be implemented to reduce impacts associated with the movement of construction vehicles, including wetting of gravel roads and ensuring that vehicles used to transport sand and building materials are fitted with tarpaulins or covers;
- All vehicles must be road-worthy and drivers must be qualified, made aware of the potential road safety issues, and need for strict speed limits.
The recommended mitigation measures identified by the Kathu Equestrian Club committee include:

- **Loss of area for grazing**
  - Establishment of a new irrigated, grazing paddock in the area to the north-east of the existing paddock area of the club house.

- **Access, security and impact on horses**
  - A separate, dedicated access road should be developed for the proposed Uitkoms Development. This access road should turn off the existing gravel road immediately after the turn-off from Frikkie Meyer Street. The main access to the proposed Uitkoms Development should therefore be located near the turn-off to Frikkie Meyer Street;
  - A single access point should be created as opposed to the current three or four access points. This would improve security for both the development and also for the equestrian club. This would also reduce the risk posed by cars to horses;
  - The development should be fenced off from the area used by the equestrian club. This would reduce the potential risks for both horses and the public, especially young children;
  - A buffer should be established between the houses located in eastern section of the development and the track section of the equestrian estate where horses are galloped;
  - Strict rules governing access by residents to the area managed by the equestrian club should be established.

### Operational Phase:

According to the Socio-economic Impact Assessment, the recommended enhancement measure is for the proposed Uitkoms Residential Development to proceed as planned. In addition, in order to enhance local employment and business opportunities associated with the operational phase of the project the following measures should be implemented:

- The proponent and the GLM should inform local community leaders, organizations and councillors of the potential job opportunities associated with the different components associated with the operational phase of the development;
- The proponent, in consultation with the GLM, should establish a database of local service providers in the area, specifically SMME’s owned and run by HDI’s. These companies should be notified of the potential opportunities associated with the operational phase of the development.
- The proponent in consultation with the GLM should look to identify measures to maximize employment opportunities for members from the local HD communities.
13. CONCLUSIONS

The following specialist studies were undertaken as part of this Environmental Impact Assessment:

❖ Botanical Impact Assessment
❖ Heritage Impact Assessment
❖ Palaeontological Impact Assessment
❖ Socio-economic Impact Assessment
❖ Traffic Impact Assessment
❖ Geo-technical Assessment

The specialist studies and the information provided within the EIA Report, indicates that the proposed Uitkoms Housing development does not pose any significant impacts and can be implemented with appropriate mitigation.

In terms of the need and desirability of the proposed residential development, there is currently a significant housing need in Kathu, due to the population growth and mining activities in the town. The Applicant, as a major housing supplier in Kathu, has considered the development in-line with their need estimations in-line with the current expansion plans of the mine.

According to the Socio-economic Impact Assessment, the SDF notes that the town is expected to experience significant growth over the next few years due to the expansion of the mining sector in the area. However, due to the decrease in the price of iron ore there is likely to be a delay in this future growth.

The proposed Uitkoms Residential Development is located within the urban edge as identified in the Gamagara Local Municipality SDF. The site has therefore been identified as suitable for development.

The area is thus deemed to be ideally situated within the local context for the envisaged housing project. The criteria that determined the desirability of the applicable location are based on the principles of integration by means of infill planning and the optimum utilisation of available land and resources, availability of bulk services, accessibility and proximity of employment opportunities.

In terms of alternatives, Alternative 3 is the preferred alternative. This alternative is also considered as a viable option, and is the Applicants preferred layout. Although it does not provide as many housing opportunities as Alternatives 1 and 2, it conforms more to the low-density development envisaged.

It importantly has still taken the sensitive archaeological area to the south-west of the site, and the protected Kathu Forest and some of thick stands of Camelthorn Trees into account, but has more land included in the Open Space Zone II units, therefore limiting development in those areas, and minimizing the natural vegetation disturbance as well as potential disturbance on Camelthorn Trees. Open Space zoning will account for 78% of the property.

The “no-go” option, which is the option of not developing the proposed housing development on the property. Although the no-go development might result in no potential negative environmental impacts, especially on the vegetation on the development site, the direct and indirect socio-economic benefits
of not constructing the residential development will not be realised. The need for additional housing opportunities in Kathu will not be realised.

According to the Socio-economic Impact Assessment, the no-development alternative would result in a lost opportunity for Sishen Iron Ore to provide quality accommodation required to attract well qualified, senior employees. The no-development option would also result in a lost opportunity for Sishen Iron Ore employees to purchase houses at a discounted price. The employment and business opportunities associated with the construction and operational phase would also be forgone, as would the rates and taxes generated for the Gamagara Local Municipality. The no-development option is therefore not supported.

According to the Botanical Impact Assessment, in the case of the ‘No Go’ option the residential development would not be pursued and the status quo would persist. The vegetation would remain much as it is. The No-Go alternative would result in a Low negative impact; it cannot be Neutral because there is a low to medium level of negative impact due to the equestrian use of the property and the grazing of horses.

According to the Botanical Impact Assessment, the Kathu Bushveld in the Uitkoms study area is Least Threatened and although there would be local loss of intact natural veld due to the proposed development, the housing development is supported without major constraints or need for cumbersome mitigation measures. The only mitigation measures recommended are firstly the planting of *Acacia erioloba* trees in ‘green spaces’ to compensate for any lost to the development and construction of houses and secondly, the ‘Search & Rescue’ of *Boophone disticha* (gifbol) plants that can be planted in the conservation area or any other area not earmarked for housing.

The *Acacia erioloba* (camelthorn) trees should be observed as a protected tree species. A permit would be required for any disturbance of these trees. A permit from the Department of Environment and Nature Conservation, Northern Cape Province would be required for the destruction of any natural vegetation.

According to the Socio-economic Impact Assessment, the proposed Uitkoms Residential Development is located inside the Kathu Urban Edge and therefore complies with and is supported by the local land use planning proposals for the area. The construction and operational phase of the proposed development will create a number of positive socio-economic benefits for the local community and the area as a whole. The development will also enable Sishen Iron Ore to provide quality accommodation required to attract well qualified, senior employees. The establishment of Alternative 3 of the proposed Uitkoms Residential Development is therefore supported by the findings of the SIA.

The findings of the SIA also indicate that the socio-economic benefits associated with the proposed development outweigh the negative impacts. All of the negative impacts can also be effectively mitigated. It is therefore recommended that Alternative 3 of the proposed Uitkoms Residential Development be supported, subject to the implementation of

According to the Heritage Impact Assessment, the proposed housing development will not have an impact of great significance on archaeological resources.

According to the Palaeontological Impact Assessment, the overall impact significance of the proposed
Uitkoms housing development on palaeontological heritage resources is rated as LOW. Likewise, cumulative impacts are likely to be of LOW significance, given the scarcity of important fossils within the sedimentary rock units concerned as well as the huge outcrop area of the Kalahari Group as a whole. Due to the inferred low impact significance of the proposed housing development, as far as fossil heritage resources are concerned, no further specialist palaeontological studies or monitoring are recommended at this stage.

The Geo-technical Assessment found no limiting conditions of the site and is suitable to support the proposed structures with recommendations for foundations.

The Traffic Impact Assessment found that the development would not have a noticeable impact on any of the analysed intersections, but with normal traffic growth, some improvements are required at the relevant intersections.

Considering all the information, it is not envisaged that this proposed Uitkoms residential development will have a significant negative impact on the environment, and the socio-economic benefits are expected to greatly outweigh any negative impacts.

It is therefore recommended that the proposed Uitkoms Development (Alternative 3) be supported and be authorised with the necessary conditions of approval, subject to the implementation of the recommended enhancement and mitigation measures contained in Section 12.
14. DETAILS AND EXPERTISE OF THE EAP
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