

## **Appendix D: Specialist Reports**

**Appendix D1: Updated Soil, Land Use, Land Capability and Agricultural  
Potential Survey (2017 revision)**



**BASIC ASSESSMENT LEVEL REPORT**

**SOIL, LAND USE, LAND CAPABILITY AND AGRICULTURAL POTENTIAL SURVEY:**

**PROPOSED MOUNT ROPER SOLAR ENERGY FACILITY: KURUMAN, NORTHERN CAPE  
PROVINCE**

20 April, 2017

**Compiled by:**

**J.H. van der Waals**

**(PhD Soil Science, Pr.Sci.Nat)**

Member of:

Soil Science Society of South Africa (SSSSA)

Soil Science Society of America (SSSA)

Accredited member of:

South African Soil Surveyors Organisation (SASSO)

Registered with:

The South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions

Registration number: 400106/08

## **DECLARATION**

I, Johan Hilgard van der Waals, declare that –

- I act as the independent specialist in this application
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the Act, regulations and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the Act, regulations and all other applicable legislation;
- I have no, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing - any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and - the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- all the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct; and
- I realise that a false declaration is an offence in terms of Regulation 71 and is punishable in terms of Section 24F of the Act.

**J.H. VAN DER WAALS**  
**TERRA SOIL SCIENCE**

## Table of Contents

1. TERMS OF REFERENCE.....	1
2. INTRODUCTION.....	1
2.1 Study Aim and Objectives.....	1
2.2 Agricultural Potential Background.....	1
2.3 Survey Area Boundary.....	2
2.4 Survey Area Physical Features.....	2
3. Soil, Land Capability, Land Use Survey AND AGRICULTURAL POTENTIAL SURVEY.....	2
3.1 Method of Survey.....	2
3.1.1 Phase 1: Land Type Data.....	2
3.1.2 Phase 2: Aerial Photograph Interpretation and Land Use Mapping.....	5
3.1.3 Phase 3: Site Visit and Soil Survey.....	5
3.1.4 Phase 4: Cumulative Impacts Assessment.....	5
3.2 Survey Results.....	5
3.2.1 Phase 1: Land Type Data.....	5
3.2.2 Phase 2: Aerial Photograph Interpretation and Land Use/Capability Mapping.....	7
3.2.3 Phase 3: Site Visit and Soil Survey.....	7
3.2.4 Phase 4: Cumulative Impacts Assessment.....	12
4. INTERPRETATION OF Soil, Land Capability and Land Use Survey RESULTS.....	12
4.1 Agricultural Potential.....	12
4.2 Overall Soil and Land Impacts.....	12
5. ASSESMENT OF IMPACT.....	12
5.1 Assessment Criteria.....	12
5.2 List of Activities for the Site.....	14
5.3 Assessment of the Impacts of Activities.....	15
5.3.1 Construction of Solar Panels and Stands.....	15
5.3.2 Construction of Buildings and Other Infrastructure.....	15
5.3.3 Construction of Roads.....	16
5.3.4 Vehicle Operation on Site.....	16
5.3.5 Dust Generation.....	16
5.3.6 Cumulative Impacts Within a 30 km Radius.....	18
5.4 Environmental Management Plan.....	18
6. Conclusions and recommendations.....	21
References.....	21



# **SOIL, LAND USE, LAND CAPABILITY AND AGRICULTURAL POTENTIAL SURVEY – PROPOSED MOUNT ROPER SOLAR ENERGY FACILITY: KURUMAN, NORTHERN CAPE PROVINCE**

## **1. TERMS OF REFERENCE**

Terra Soil Science (TSS) was commissioned by EnviroAfrica to undertake a Basic Assessment level soil, land use, land capability, and agricultural potential survey for the proposed Mount Roper Solar Energy Facility near Kuruman in the Northern Cape Province.

## **2. INTRODUCTION**

### **2.1 Study Aim and Objectives**

The study area has been proposed to serve as a locality for the construction of a photovoltaic solar energy facility and associated infrastructure for power generation purposes. This study aims to determine the possible impact that this development could have on the soils, land use, land capability and agricultural potential as well as to identify areas of high sensitivity regarding solar panels and infrastructure.

The study has as objectives the identification and estimation of:

- » Soil form (SA taxonomic system) and soil depth for the area;
- » Soil potential linked to current land use and other possible uses and options;
- » Discussion of the agricultural potential in terms of the soils, water availability, surrounding developments and current status of land; and
- » Discussion of impacts (potential and actual) as a result of the development.

### **2.2 Agricultural Potential Background**

The assessment of agricultural potential rests primarily on the identification of soils that are suited to crop production. In order to qualify as high potential soils they must have the following properties:

- » Deep profile (more than 600 mm) for adequate root development,
- » Deep profile and adequate clay content for the storing of sufficient water so that plants can weather short dry spells,
- » Adequate structure (loose enough and not dense) that allows for good root development,
- » Sufficient clay or organic matter to ensure retention and supply of plant nutrients,
- » Limited quantities of rock in the matrix that would otherwise limit tilling options and water holding capacity,
- » Adequate distribution of soils and size of high potential soil area to constitute a viable economic management unit, and

- » Good enough internal and external (out of profile) drainage if irrigation practices are considered. Drainage is imperative for the removal (leaching) of salts that accumulate in profiles during irrigation and fertilization.

In addition to soil characteristics, climatic characteristics need to be assessed to determine the agricultural potential of a site. The rainfall characteristics are of primary importance and in order to provide an adequate baseline for the viable production of crops rainfall quantities and distribution need to be sufficient and optimal. The combination of the above mentioned factors will be used to assess the agricultural potential of the soils on the site.

### **2.3 Survey Area Boundary**

The site lies between 27° 20' 38" and 27° 21' 04" south and 23° 11' 02" and 23° 11' 35" east 25 km west-north-west of the town of Kuruman in the Northern Cape Province (**Figure 1**). The 30 km radius within which the cumulative impacts were assessed is indicated in **Figure 2**.

### **2.4 Survey Area Physical Features**

The survey area lies on flat terrain at 1220 m above mean sea level. The geology of the area consists of red wind-blown sand and surface limestone with the surrounding hills consisting of banded ironstone, dolomite, chert and dolomitic limestone (Land Type Survey Staff, 1972 – 2006).

## **3. SOIL, LAND CAPABILITY, LAND USE SURVEY AND AGRICULTURAL POTENTIAL SURVEY**

### **3.1 Method of Survey**

The Basic Assessment level soil, land capability, land use and agricultural potential surveys were conducted in three phases.

#### **3.1.1 Phase 1: Land Type Data**

Land type data for the site was obtained from the Institute for Soil Climate and Water (ISCW) of the Agricultural Research Council (ARC). The land type data is presented at a scale of 1:250 000 and entails the division of land into land types, typical terrain cross sections for the land type and the presentation of dominant soil types for each of the identified terrain units (in the cross section). The soil data is classified according to the Binomial System (MacVicar et al., 1977). The soil data was interpreted and re-classified according to the Taxonomic System (Soil Classification Working Group, 1991).






Figure 1 Locality of the survey site




Figure 2 Site locality and the 30 km cumulative impact assessment radius

### **3.1.2 Phase 2: Aerial Photograph Interpretation and Land Use Mapping**

The most up to date aerial photographs of the site were obtained from Google Earth. The image was used to interpret aspects such as land use and land cover.

### **3.1.3 Phase 3: Site Visit and Soil Survey**

A site visit was conducted on the 8<sup>th</sup> of March, 2012, during which a soil survey was conducted. A follow up site investigation was conducted on the 2<sup>nd</sup> of March 2017. The site was traversed on foot with the aim of ascertaining as much of the soil variability as possible. Soils were described and photographs were taken of pertinent soil, landscape and land use characteristics.

### **3.1.4 Phase 4: Cumulative Impacts Assessment**

The cumulative impacts assessment of the PV facility was assessed through 1) taking into account the other solar facilities that have been applied for and approved in applications under NEMA within a 30 km radius of the site and 2) the making of a comparison of the impacts on the site to coal mining and energy production impacts on the Mpumalanga Highveld on land of high agricultural potential.

## **3.2 Survey Results**

### **3.2.1 Phase 1: Land Type Data**


The site falls into the **Ae1** land type (Land Type Survey Staff, 1972 - 2006). (Refer to **Figure 3** for the land type map of the area). Below follows a brief description of the land type in terms of soils, land capability, land use and agricultural potential.

#### **Land Type Ae1**


**Soils:** Ae land types denote areas with red soils of high base status that are deeper than 300 mm. The soils in the land type are moderately deep to deep, red in colour and of high base status, often with a regular occurrence of calcrete. Rock outcrops occur throughout and soils often exhibit a very distinct rocky matrix even though the overall profile is deep and well-drained.

**Land capability and land use:** Mainly extensive grazing due to climatic constraints. Dryland cropping is often non-viable due to low rainfall and well-drained soils that do not have high water holding capacities. Irrigated agriculture is not often practiced in the general area due to water availability constraints.

**Agricultural potential:** Low in the natural state due to soil and climate (rainfall – **Figure 4**) constraints with the potential of improvement in the case of irrigated agriculture developments. Water availability is the main constraint.



**Figure 3** Land type map of the survey area




**Figure 4** Rainfall map of South Africa indicating the survey site

### **3.2.2 Phase 2: Aerial Photograph Interpretation and Land Use/Capability Mapping**

The interpretation of aerial photographs yielded one dominant land use namely extensive grazing (**Figure 5**). The carrying capacity of the site is moderate as rainfall and soils are limiting with regards to biomass production. Additional feeding of animals and proper grazing management (camps) are imperative for the sustainable production of the livestock.

### **3.2.3 Phase 3: Site Visit and Soil Survey**

The soil survey revealed that the site consists mainly of variable depth soils of the Hutton (Orthic A-horizon / Red Apedal B-horizon / Unspecified) form (**Figures 6 to 8**). The soils have large quantities of rock and pebbles in the matrix and this is exhibited by their frequency on the soil surface (**Figures 9 to 11**). The rocky nature of the soils varies across the site with certain areas dominated by deep red soils and other by shallow and rocky red soils. The patterns appear to be random and such that a soil map with delineated boundaries could not be generated for the site. Although these rocky soils are not very sensitive to erosion they have a low biological productivity and are therefore only suited to extensive grazing.



**Figure 5** Satellite map of the general and the survey area



**Figure 6** Soils of the Hutton form with associated vegetation on the site



**Figure 7** Soils of the Hutton form with associated vegetation on the site



**Figure 8** Soils of the Hutton form with associated vegetation on the site



**Figure 9** Rocky and shallow soils of the Hutton form on the site





**Figure 10** Rocky and shallow soils of the Hutton form on the site



**Figure 11** Rocky and shallow soils of the Hutton form on the site

### 3.2.4 Phase 4: Cumulative Impacts Assessment

The 30 km radius surrounding the site is indicated in Figure 2 with the other solar developments indicated in darker shading and with their official names supplied. The contribution of the site under investigation to the total solar surface area impact is provided in Table 1. The Kakamas site contributes 0.11 % of the total surface area planned for solar projects in a 30 km radius.

**Table 1** Area of the Mount Roper project and cumulative solar project area (ha)

	Site (Mount Roper)	Projects within 30 km radius (total project area)	Contribution to total (%)
Area (ha)	19.7	18562.5	0.11

## 4. INTERPRETATION OF SOIL, LAND CAPABILITY AND LAND USE SURVEY RESULTS

The interpretation of the land use and land capability results yielded a number of aspects that are of importance to the project.

### 4.1 Agricultural Potential

The dryland cropping potential of the site is low due to climatic constraints as well as the rocky nature of the soils that limit water holding and storage. Due to water availability constraints the site is not considered to be of high irrigation potential. The grazing potential of the site is moderate to high with the condition that livestock is kept that are adapted to the utilisation of thorny plants and shrubs like camphor bush.

### 4.2 Overall Soil and Land Impacts

Due to the low agricultural potential of the site as well as the rocky soils the impacts on soils and agriculture is expected to be low. The rocky matrix of the soils provide a degree of protection against erosion pressures but erosion mitigation should be implemented on site due to the slope of the land. These measures should be included in the layout and engineering designs of the development.

## 5. ASSESMENT OF IMPACT

### 5.1 Assessment Criteria

The following assessment criteria (Table 2) will be used for the impact assessment.

**Table 2 Impact Assessment Criteria**

CATEGORY	DESCRIPTION OF DEFINITION
Direct, indirect and cumulative impacts	In relation to an activity, means the impact of an activity that in itself may not be significant but may become significant when added to the existing and potential impacts eventuating from similar or diverse activities or undertakings in the area.
Nature	A description of the cause of the effect, what will be affected and how it will be affected.
Extent (Scale) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1</li> <li>• 2</li> <li>• 3</li> <li>• 4</li> <li>• 5</li> </ul>	The area over which the impact will be expressed – ranging from local (1) to regional (5).
Duration <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1</li> <li>• 2</li> <li>• 3</li> <li>• 4</li> <li>• 5</li> </ul>	Indicates what the lifetime of the impact will be. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Very short term: 0 – 1 years</li> <li>• Short-term: 2 – 5 years</li> <li>• Medium-term: 5 – 15 years</li> <li>• Long-term: &gt; 15 years</li> <li>• Permanent</li> </ul>
Magnitude <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2</li> <li>• 4</li> <li>• 6</li> <li>• 8</li> <li>• 10</li> </ul>	This is quantified on a scale from 0-10, where 0 is small and will have no effect on the environment, 2 is minor and will not result in an impact on processes, 4 is low and will cause a slight impact on processes, 6 is moderate and will result in processes continuing but in a modified way, 8 is high (processes are altered to the extent that they temporarily cease), and 10 is very high and results in complete destruction of patterns and permanent cessation of processes.
Probability <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1</li> <li>• 2</li> <li>• 3</li> <li>• 4</li> <li>• 5</li> </ul>	Describes the likelihood of an impact actually occurring. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Very Improbable</li> <li>• Improbable</li> <li>• Probable</li> <li>• Highly probable</li> <li>• Definite</li> </ul>
Significance	The significance of an impact is determined through a synthesis of <u>all</u> of the above aspects. $S = (E + D + M) * P$  S = Significance weighting E = Extent

CATEGORY	DESCRIPTION OF DEFINITION
	D = Duration M = Magnitude
Status <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Positive</li> <li>Negative</li> <li>Neutral</li> </ul>	Described as either positive, negative or neutral
Other	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Degree to which the impact can be reversed</li> <li>Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources</li> <li>Degree to which the impact can be mitigated</li> </ul>

## 5.2 List of Activities for the Site

Table 3 lists the anticipated activities for the site. The last two columns in the table list the anticipated forms of soil degradation and geographical distribution of the impacts.

**Table 3** List of activities and their associated forms of soil degradation

Activity	Form of Degradation	Geographical Extent	Comment (Section described)
<b>Construction Phase</b>			
Construction of solar panels and stands	Physical degradation (surface)	Two dimensional	Impact small due to localised nature (Section 5.3.1)
Construction of buildings and other infrastructure	Physical degradation (compound)	Two dimensional	(Section 5.3.2)
Construction of roads	Physical degradation (compound)	Two dimensional	(Section 5.3.3)
<b>Construction and Operational Phase Related Effects</b>			
Vehicle operation on site	Physical and chemical degradation (hydrocarbon spills)	Mainly point and one dimensional	(Section 5.3.4)
Dust generation	Physical degradation	Two dimensional	(Section 5.3.5)

### 5.3 Assessment of the Impacts of Activities

Many of the impacts are generic and their impacts will remain similar for most areas on the site. The generic activity will therefore be assessed. The impacts associated with the different activities have been assessed below for each activity. These impacts have been summarized in **Table 9**.

**Note:** The impacts listed below indicate that no mitigation is possible. It is important to note that any soil impact in the form of drastic physical disturbance (as with construction activities) is a permanent one and no mitigation is possible. The mitigation that can be applied is the restriction of off-site effects due to developments through adequate implementation of environmental management measures (discussed later in the report).

#### 5.3.1 Construction of Solar Panels and Stands

**Table 4** presents the impact criteria and a description with respect to soils, land capability and land use for the construction of solar panels and stands.

**Table 4** Construction of solar panels and stands

Criteria	Description	
Cumulative Impact	The cumulative impact of this activity will be small as it is constructed on land with low agricultural potential.	
Nature	This activity entails the construction of solar panels and stands with the associated disturbance of soils and existing land use.	
	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation
Extent	1 - Site: The impact is two dimensional but then limited to the immediate area that is being developed	1 - Site: The impact is two dimensional but then limited to the immediate area that is being developed
Duration	5 – Permanent (unless removed)	5 – Permanent (unless removed)
Magnitude	2	2
Probability	4 (highly probable due to inevitable changes in land use)	4 (highly probable due to inevitable changes in land use)
Significance of impact	$S = (1 + 5 + 2) * 4 = 32$ (low)	$S = (1 + 5 + 2) * 4 = 32$ (low)
Status	Negative	Negative
Mitigation	None possible. Limit footprint to the immediate development area	None possible. Limit footprint to the immediate development area

#### 5.3.2 Construction of Buildings and Other Infrastructure

**Table 5** presents the impact criteria and a description with respect to soils, land capability and land use for the construction of solar panels and stands.

**Table 5 Construction of buildings and other infrastructure**

Criteria	Description	
Cumulative Impact	The cumulative impact of this activity will be small as it is constructed on land with low agricultural potential.	
Nature	This activity entails the construction of buildings and other infrastructure with the associated disturbance of soils and existing land use.	
	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation
Extent	1 - Site: The impact is two dimensional but then limited to the immediate area that is being developed	1 - Site: The impact is two dimensional but then limited to the immediate area that is being developed
Duration	5 – Permanent (unless removed)	5 – Permanent (unless removed)
Magnitude	2	2
Probability	4 (highly probable due to inevitable changes in land use)	4 (highly probable due to inevitable changes in land use)
Significance of impact	$S = (1 + 5 + 2) * 4 = 32$	$S = (1 + 5 + 2) * 4 = 32$ (low)
Status	Negative	Negative
Mitigation	None possible. Limit footprint to the immediate development area	None possible. Limit footprint to the immediate development area

### 5.3.3 Construction of Roads

Table 6 presents the impact criteria and a description with respect to soils, land capability and land use for the construction of roads.

### 5.3.4 Vehicle Operation on Site

It is assumed that vehicle movement will be restricted to the construction site and established roads. Vehicle impacts in this sense are restricted to spillages of lubricants and petroleum products. Table 7 presents the impact criteria and a description with respect to soils, land capability and land use for the operation of vehicles on the site.

### 5.3.5 Dust Generation

Generated dust can impact large areas depending on environmental and climatic conditions.

Table 8 presents the impact criteria and a description with respect to soils, land capability and land use for dust generation on the site. For the sake of this assessment contributions of dust generation other than the activities on the site have been ignored.

**Table 6 Construction of roads**

Criteria	Description	
Cumulative Impact	The cumulative impact of this activity will be small as it is linear and limited in geographical extent.	
Nature	This activity entails the construction of roads with the associated disturbance of soils and existing land use.	
	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation
Extent	1 - Site: The impact is two dimensional but then limited to the immediate area that is being developed along the road	1 - Site: The impact is two dimensional but then limited to the immediate area that is being developed along the road
Duration	5 – Permanent (unless removed)	5 – Permanent (unless removed)
Magnitude	2	2
Probability	4 (highly probable due to inevitable changes in land use)	4 (highly probable due to inevitable changes in land use)
Significance of impact	$S = (1 + 5 + 2) * 4 = 32$ (low)	$S = (1 + 5 + 2) * 4 = 32$ (low)
Status	Negative	Negative
Mitigation	None possible. Limit footprint to the immediate development area and keep to existing roads as far as possible	None possible. Limit footprint to the immediate development area and keep to existing roads as far as possible

**Table 7 Assessment of impact of vehicle operation on site**

Criteria	Description	
Cumulative Impact	The cumulative impact of this activity will be small if managed.	
Nature	This activity entails the operation of vehicles on site and their associated impacts in terms of spillages of lubricants and petroleum products	
	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation
Extent	1 - Site: The impact is two dimensional but then limited to the immediate area that is being developed	1 - Site: The impact is two dimensional but then limited to the immediate area that is being developed
Duration	2 – Short-term	2 – Short-term
Magnitude	2	2
Probability	4	2 (with prevention and mitigation)
Significance of impact	$S = (1 + 2 + 2) * 4 = 20$	$S = (1 + 2 + 2) * 2 = 10$ (with prevention and mitigation)
Status	Negative	Negative
Mitigation	Maintain vehicles, prevent and address spillages	Maintain vehicles, prevent and address spillages

**Table 8 Assessment of impact of dust generation on site**

Criteria	Description	
Cumulative Impact	The cumulative impact of this activity will be small if managed but can have widespread impacts if ignored.	
Nature	This activity entails the operation of vehicles on site and their associated dust generation	
	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation
Extent	2 - Local: The impact is diffuse (depending on environmental and climatic conditions) and will probably be limited to within 3 – 5 km of the site	2 - Local: The impact is diffuse (depending on environmental and climatic conditions) and will probably be limited to within 3 – 5 km of the site
Duration	2 – Short-term	2 – Short-term
Magnitude	2	2
Probability	4	2 (with mitigation and adequate management)
Significance of impact	$S = (2 + 2 + 2) * 4 = 24$	$S = (2 + 2 + 2) * 2 = 12$ (with mitigation and adequate management)
Status	Negative	Negative
Mitigation	Limit vehicle movement to absolute minimum, construct proper roads for access	Limit vehicle movement to absolute minimum, construct proper roads for access

### **5.3.6 Cumulative Impacts Within a 30 km Radius**

The cumulative impacts of the development on the site within the context of the planned solar projects within a 30 km radius of the site is a contribution of 0.11%. This contribution is considered to be insignificant and in this regard the impact assessment in section 5.3.1 applies. This is especially relevant in the context of the general low agricultural potential of the site and surrounding area.

## **5.4 Environmental Management Plan**

Tables 10 to 12 provide the critical aspects for inclusion in the EMP.



**Table 9 Summary of the impact of the development on agricultural potential and land capability**

<b>Nature of Impact</b>	<b>Loss of agricultural potential and land capability owing to the development</b>	
	<b>Without mitigation</b>	<b>With mitigation</b>
<b>Extent</b>	Low (1) – Site	Low (1) – Site
<b>Duration</b>	Permanent (5)	Permanent (5)
<b>Magnitude</b>	Low (2)	Low (2)
<b>Probability</b>	Highly probable (4)	Highly probable (4)
<b>Significance*</b>	32 (Low)	32 (Low)
<b>Status (positive or negative)</b>	Negative	Negative
<b>Reversibility</b>	Medium	Medium
<b>Irreplaceable loss of resources?</b>	No	No
<b>Can impacts be mitigated?</b>	No	No
<b>Mitigation:</b> The loss of agricultural land is a long term loss and there are no mitigation measures that can be put in place to combat this loss.		
<b>Cumulative impacts:</b> Soil erosion may arise owing to increased surface water runoff. Adequate management and erosion control measures should be implemented.		
<b>Residual Impacts:</b> The loss of agricultural land is a long term loss. This loss extends to the post-construction phase. The agricultural potential is very low though.		

**Table 10 Measures for erosion mitigation and control**

<b>Objective: Erosion control and mitigation</b>		
<b>Project components</b>	Soil stabilisation, construction of impoundments and erosion mitigation structures	
<b>Potential Impact</b>	Large scale erosion and sediment generation	
<b>Activity / risk source</b>	Poor planning of rainfall surface runoff and storm water management	
<b>Mitigation: Target / Objective</b>	Prevention of eroded materials and silt rich water running off the site	
<b>Mitigation: Action/control</b>	<b>Responsibility</b>	<b>Timeframe</b>
Plan and implement adequate erosion control measures	Construction team and engineer	Throughout project
<b>Performance indicator</b>	Assessment of storm water structures and erosion mitigation measures. Measurement of actual erosion and sediment generation.	
<b>Monitoring</b>	Monitor and measure sediment generation and erosion damage	

**Table 11 Measures for limiting vehicle operation impacts on site (spillages)**

<b>Objective: Erosion control and mitigation</b>		
<b>Project components</b>	Maintenance of vehicles and planning of vehicle service areas	
<b>Potential Impact</b>	Oil, fuel and other hydrocarbon pollution	
<b>Activity / risk source</b>	Poor maintenance of vehicles and poor control over service areas	
<b>Mitigation: Target / Objective</b>	Adequate maintenance and control over service areas	
<b>Mitigation: Action/control</b>		
	<b>Responsibility</b>	<b>Timeframe</b>
Service vehicles adequately	Construction team and engineer	Throughout project
Maintenance of service areas, regular cleanup	Construction team and engineer	Throughout project
<b>Performance indicator</b>		
	Assessment number and extent of spillages on a regular basis.	
<b>Monitoring</b>		
	Monitor construction and service sites	

**Table 12 Measures for limiting dust generation on site**

<b>Objective: Dust generation suppression</b>		
<b>Project components</b>	Limit and address dust generation on site linked to construction activities	
<b>Potential Impact</b>	Large scale dust generation on site	
<b>Activity / risk source</b>	Inadequate dust control measures, excessive vehicle movement on unpaved roads	
<b>Mitigation: Target / Objective</b>	Minimise generation of dust	
<b>Mitigation: Action/control</b>		
	<b>Responsibility</b>	<b>Timeframe</b>
Implement dust control strategy including dust suppressants and tarring of roads	Construction team and engineer	Throughout project
Limit vehicle movement on unpaved areas to the absolute minimum	Construction team and engineer	Throughout project
<b>Performance indicator</b>		
	Assessment of dust generated on site	
<b>Monitoring</b>		
	Monitor construction site and surrounds	

## 6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

It is concluded that the proposed development of a photovoltaic facility on the site will not have large impacts due to the low agricultural potential of the site as well as the general rocky nature of the soils. The low agricultural potential of the site is the result of a dominance shallow and rocky soils as well as the relatively low and erratic rainfall of the area.

Even though the soils on the site are not considered to be highly sensitive to erosion such prevention measures should be put in place due to the general slope of the site. The main impacts that have to be managed on the site during the construction activities are:

1. Erosion must be controlled through adequate mitigation and control structures.
2. Impacts from vehicles, such as spillages of oil and hydrocarbons, should be prevented and mitigated.
3. Dust generation on site should be mitigated and minimised as the dust can negatively affect the quality of grazing as well as livestock production.

The impacts on the site need to be viewed in relation to the opencast mining of coal in areas of high potential soils – such as the Eastern Highveld. With this comparison in mind the impact of a solar energy facility is negligible compared to the damaging impacts of coal mining – for a similar energy output. Therefore, in perspective, the impacts of the proposed facility can be motivated as necessary in decreasing the impacts in areas where agriculture potential plays a more significant role.

## REFERENCES

LAND TYPE SURVEY STAFF. (1972 – 2006). *Land Types of South Africa: Digital map (1:250 000 scale) and soil inventory databases*. ARC-Institute for Soil, Climate and Water, Pretoria.

MACVICAR, C.N. et al. 1977. *Soil Classification. A binomial system for South Africa*. Sci. Bull. 390. Dep. Agric. Tech. Serv., Repub. S. Afr., Pretoria.

Soil Classification Working Group. 1991. *Soil Classification. A taxonomic system for South Africa*. Mem. Agric. Nat. Resour. S.Afr. No.15. Pretoria.

**Appendix D1: Soil, Land Use, Land Capability and Agricultural Potential  
Survey (Original report)**



**BASIC ASSESSMENT LEVEL REPORT**

**SOIL, LAND USE, LAND CAPABILITY AND AGRICULTURAL POTENTIAL SURVEY:**

**PROPOSED MOUNT ROPER SOLAR ENERGY FACILITY: KURUMAN, NORTHERN CAPE  
PROVINCE**

March 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2012

**Compiled by:**

**J.H. van der Waals**

**(PhD Soil Science, Pr.Sci.Nat)**

Member of:

Soil Science Society of South Africa (SSSSA)

Soil Science Society of America (SSSA)

Accredited member of:

South African Soil Surveyors Organisation (SASSO)

Registered with:

The South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions

Registration number: 400106/08

## **DECLARATION**

I, Johan Hilgard van der Waals, declare that I –

- I act as the independent specialist in this application
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the Act, regulations and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the Act, regulations and all other applicable legislation;
- I have no, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing - any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and - the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- all the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct; and
- I realise that a false declaration is an offence in terms of Regulation 71 and is punishable in terms of Section 24F of the Act.

**J.H. VAN DER WAALS**  
**TERRA SOIL SCIENCE**

## Table of Contents

Declaration.....	ii
1. TERMS OF REFERENCE.....	1
2. INTRODUCTION.....	1
2.1 Study Aim and Objectives.....	1
2.2 Agricultural Potential Background.....	1
2.3 Survey Area Boundary.....	2
2.4 Survey Area Physical Features.....	2
3. SOIL, LAND CAPABILITY, LAND USE SURVEY AND AGRICULTURAL POTENTIAL SURVEY.....	2
3.1 Method of Survey.....	2
3.1.1 Phase 1: Land Type Data.....	2
3.1.2 Phase 2: Aerial Photograph Interpretation and Land Use Mapping.....	4
3.1.3 Phase 3: Site Visit and Soil Survey.....	4
3.2 Survey Results.....	4
3.2.1 Phase 1: Land Type Data.....	4
3.2.2 Phase 2: Aerial Photograph Interpretation and Land Use/Capability Mapping.....	4
3.2.3 Phase 3: Site Visit and Soil Survey.....	6
4. INTERPRETATION OF SOIL, LAND CAPABILITY AND LAND USE SURVEY RESULTS....	11
4.1 Agricultural Potential.....	11
4.2 Overall Soil and Land Impacts.....	11
5. ASSESMENT OF IMPACT.....	11
5.1 Assessment Criteria.....	11
5.2 List of Activities for the Site.....	13
5.3 Assessment of the Impacts of Activities.....	13
5.3.1 Construction of Solar Panels and Stands.....	14
5.3.2 Construction of Buildings and Other Infrastructure.....	14
5.3.3 Construction of Roads.....	15
5.3.4 Vehicle Operation on Site.....	15
5.3.5 Dust Generation.....	16
5.4 Environmental Management Plan.....	18
6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	19
References.....	20





# **SOIL, LAND USE, LAND CAPABILITY AND AGRICULTURAL POTENTIAL SURVEY – PROPOSED MOUNT ROPER SOLAR ENERGY FACILITY: KURUMAN, NORTHERN CAPE PROVINCE**

## **1. TERMS OF REFERENCE**

Terra Soil Science (TSS) was commissioned by EnviroAfrica to undertake a Basic Assessment level soil, land use, land capability, and agricultural potential survey for the proposed Mount Roper Solar Energy Facility near Kuruman in the Northern Cape Province.

## **2. INTRODUCTION**

### **2.1 Study Aim and Objectives**

The study area has been proposed to serve as a locality for the construction of a photovoltaic solar energy facility and associated infrastructure for power generation purposes. This study aims to determine the possible impact that this development could have on the soils, land use, land capability and agricultural potential as well as to identify areas of high sensitivity regarding solar panels and infrastructure.

The study has as objectives the identification and estimation of:

- » Soil form (SA taxonomic system) and soil depth for the area;
- » Soil potential linked to current land use and other possible uses and options;
- » Discussion of the agricultural potential in terms of the soils, water availability, surrounding developments and current status of land; and
- » Discussion of impacts (potential and actual) as a result of the development.

### **2.2 Agricultural Potential Background**

The assessment of agricultural potential rests primarily on the identification of soils that are suited to crop production. In order to qualify as high potential soils they must have the following properties:

- » Deep profile (more than 600 mm) for adequate root development,
- » Deep profile and adequate clay content for the storing of sufficient water so that plants can weather short dry spells,
- » Adequate structure (loose enough and not dense) that allows for good root development,
- » Sufficient clay or organic matter to ensure retention and supply of plant nutrients,
- » Limited quantities of rock in the matrix that would otherwise limit tilling options and water holding capacity,
- » Adequate distribution of soils and size of high potential soil area to constitute a viable economic management unit, and

- » Good enough internal and external (out of profile) drainage if irrigation practices are considered. Drainage is imperative for the removal (leaching) of salts that accumulate in profiles during irrigation and fertilization.

In addition to soil characteristics, climatic characteristics need to be assessed to determine the agricultural potential of a site. The rainfall characteristics are of primary importance and in order to provide an adequate baseline for the viable production of crops rainfall quantities and distribution need to be sufficient and optimal. The combination of the above mentioned factors will be used to assess the agricultural potential of the soils on the site.

### **2.3 Survey Area Boundary**

The site lies between 27° 20' 38" and 27° 21' 04" south and 23° 11' 02" and 23° 11' 35" east 25 km west-north-west of the town of Kuruman in the Northern Cape Province (**Figure 1**).

### **2.4 Survey Area Physical Features**

The survey area lies on flat terrain at 1220 m above mean sea level. The geology of the area consists of red wind-blown sand and surface limestone with the surrounding hills consisting of banded ironstone, dolomite, chert and dolomitic limestone (Land Type Survey Staff, 1972 – 2006).

## **3. SOIL, LAND CAPABILITY, LAND USE SURVEY AND AGRICULTURAL POTENTIAL SURVEY**

### **3.1 Method of Survey**

The Basic Assessment level soil, land capability, land use and agricultural potential surveys were conducted in three phases.

#### **3.1.1 Phase 1: Land Type Data**

Land type data for the site was obtained from the Institute for Soil Climate and Water (ISCW) of the Agricultural Research Council (ARC). The land type data is presented at a scale of 1:250 000 and entails the division of land into land types, typical terrain cross sections for the land type and the presentation of dominant soil types for each of the identified terrain units (in the cross section). The soil data is classified according to the Binomial System (MacVicar et al., 1977). The soil data was interpreted and re-classified according to the Taxonomic System (MacVicar, C.N. et al. 1991).

**MT ROPER SEF**  
**Locality Map**




Figure 1 Locality of the survey site

### **3.1.2 Phase 2: Aerial Photograph Interpretation and Land Use Mapping**

The most up to date aerial photographs of the site were obtained from Google Earth. The image was used to interpret aspects such as land use and land cover.

### **3.1.3 Phase 3: Site Visit and Soil Survey**

A site visit was conducted on the 8<sup>th</sup> of March, 2012, during which a soil survey was conducted. The site was traversed on foot with the aim of ascertaining as much of the soil variability as possible. Soils were described and photographs were taken of pertinent soil, landscape and land use characteristics.

## **3.2 Survey Results**

### **3.2.1 Phase 1: Land Type Data**

The site falls into the **Ae1** land type (Land Type Survey Staff, 1972 - 2006). (Refer to **Figure 2** for the land type map of the area). Below follows a brief description of the land type in terms of soils, land capability, land use and agricultural potential.

#### **Land Type Ae1**

**Soils:** Ae land types denote areas with red soils of high base status that are deeper than 300 mm. The soils in the land type are moderately deep to deep, red in colour and of high base status, often with a regular occurrence of calcrete. Rock outcrops occur throughout and soils often exhibit a very distinct rocky matrix even though the overall profile is deep and well-drained.

**Land capability and land use:** Mainly extensive grazing due to climatic constraints. Dryland cropping is often non-viable due to low rainfall and well-drained soils that do not have high water holding capacities. Irrigated agriculture is not often practiced in the general area due to water availability constraints.

**Agricultural potential:** Low in the natural state due to soil and climate (rainfall – **Figure 3**) constraints with the potential of improvement in the case of irrigated agriculture developments. Water availability is the main constraint.

### **3.2.2 Phase 2: Aerial Photograph Interpretation and Land Use/Capability Mapping**

The interpretation of aerial photographs yielded one dominant land use namely extensive grazing (**Figure 4**). The carrying capacity of the site is moderate as rainfall and soils are limiting with regards to biomass production. Additional feeding of animals and proper grazing management (camps) are imperative for the sustainable production of the livestock.

Lourens G van Zyl  
(Mobile) +27 (0)76 371 1151  
(Website) www.terragis.co.za  
(Email) lourens@terragis.co.za

# MT ROPER SEF Land Types Map

Cartography & Spatial Analysis  
**TERRAGIS**





Figure 2 Land type map of the survey area



**Figure 3** Rainfall map of South Africa indicating the survey site

### 3.2.3 Phase 3: Site Visit and Soil Survey

The soil survey revealed that the site consists mainly of variable depth soils of the Hutton (Orthic A-horizon / Red Apedal B-horizon / Unspecified) form (**Figures 5 to 7**). The soils have large quantities of rock and pebbles in the matrix and this is exhibited by their frequency on the soil surface (**Figures 8 to 10**). The rocky nature of the soils varies across the site with certain areas dominated by deep red soils and other by shallow and rocky red soils. The patterns appear to be random and such that a soil map with delineated boundaries could not be generated for the site. Although these rocky soils are not very sensitive to erosion they have a low biological productivity and are therefore only suited to extensive grazing.

Lourens G van Zyl  
(Mobile) +27 (0)76 371 1151  
(Website) www.terragis.co.za  
(Email) lourens@terragis.co.za

# MT ROPER SEF Satellite Image

Cartography & Spatial Analysis  
**TERRAGIS**




Figure 4 Satellite map of the general and the survey area



**Figure 5** Soils of the Hutton form with associated vegetation on the site



**Figure 6** Soils of the Hutton form with associated vegetation on the site





**Figure 7** Soils of the Hutton form with associated vegetation on the site



**Figure 8** Rocky and shallow soils of the Hutton form on the site



**Figure 9** Rocky and shallow soils of the Hutton form on the site



**Figure 10** Rocky and shallow soils of the Hutton form on the site

#### 4. INTERPRETATION OF SOIL, LAND CAPABILITY AND LAND USE SURVEY RESULTS

The interpretation of the land use and land capability results yielded a number of aspects that are of importance to the project.

##### 4.1 Agricultural Potential

The dryland cropping potential of the site is low due to climatic constraints as well as the rocky nature of the soils that limit water holding and storage. Due to water availability constraints the site is not considered to be of high irrigation potential. The grazing potential of the site is moderate to high with the condition that livestock is kept that are adapted to the utilisation of thorny plants and shrubs like camphor bush.

##### 4.2 Overall Soil and Land Impacts

Due to the low agricultural potential of the site as well as the rocky soils the impacts on soils and agriculture is expected to be low. The rocky matrix of the soils provide a degree of protection against erosion pressures but erosion mitigation should be implemented on site due to the slope of the land. These measures should be included in the layout and engineering designs of the development.

#### 5. ASSESMENT OF IMPACT

##### 5.1 Assessment Criteria

The following assessment criteria (Table 1) will be used for the impact assessment.

Table 1 Impact Assessment Criteria

CATEGORY	DESCRIPTION OF DEFINITION
Direct, indirect and cumulative impacts	In relation to an activity, means the impact of an activity that in itself may not be significant but may become significant when added to the existing and potential impacts eventuating from similar or diverse activities or undertakings in the area.
Nature	A description of the cause of the effect, what will be affected and how it will be affected.
Extent (Scale) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 1</li><li>• 2</li><li>• 3</li><li>• 4</li><li>• 5</li></ul>	The area over which the impact will be expressed – ranging from local (1) to regional (5).

CATEGORY	DESCRIPTION OF DEFINITION
Duration <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1</li> <li>• 2</li> <li>• 3</li> <li>• 4</li> <li>• 5</li> </ul>	Indicates what the lifetime of the impact will be. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Very short term: 0 – 1 years</li> <li>• Short-term: 2 – 5 years</li> <li>• Medium-term: 5 – 15 years</li> <li>• Long-term: &gt; 15 years</li> <li>• Permanent</li> </ul>
Magnitude <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2</li> <li>• 4</li> <li>• 6</li> <li>• 8</li> <li>• 10</li> </ul>	This is quantified on a scale from 0-10, where 0 is small and will have no effect on the environment, 2 is minor and will not result in an impact on processes, 4 is low and will cause a slight impact on processes, 6 is moderate and will result in processes continuing but in a modified way, 8 is high (processes are altered to the extent that they temporarily cease), and 10 is very high and results in complete destruction of patterns and permanent cessation of processes.
Probability <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1</li> <li>• 2</li> <li>• 3</li> <li>• 4</li> <li>• 5</li> </ul>	Describes the likelihood of an impact actually occurring. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Very Improbable</li> <li>• Improbable</li> <li>• Probable</li> <li>• Highly probable</li> <li>• Definite</li> </ul>
Significance	The significance of an impact is determined through a synthesis of <u>all</u> of the above aspects. $S = (E + D + M) * P$  S = Significance weighting E = Extent D = Duration M = Magnitude
Status <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Positive</li> <li>• Negative</li> <li>• Neutral</li> </ul>	Described as either positive, negative or neutral
Other	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Degree to which the impact can be reversed</li> <li>• Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources</li> <li>• Degree to which the impact can be mitigated</li> </ul>

## 5.2 List of Activities for the Site

Table 2 lists the anticipated activities for the site. The last two columns in the table list the anticipated forms of soil degradation and geographical distribution of the impacts.

## 5.3 Assessment of the Impacts of Activities

Many of the impacts are generic and their impacts will remain similar for most areas on the site. The generic activity will therefore be assessed. The impacts associated with the different activities have been assessed below for each activity. These impacts have been summarized in Table 8.

**Note:** The impacts listed below indicate that no mitigation is possible. It is important to note that any soil impact in the form of drastic physical disturbance (as with construction activities) is a permanent one and no mitigation is possible. The mitigation that can be applied is the restriction of off-site effects due to developments through adequate implementation of environmental management measures (discussed later in the report).

**Table 2** List of activities and their associated forms of soil degradation

Activity	Form of Degradation	Geographical Extent	Comment (Section described)
<b>Construction Phase</b>			
Construction of solar panels and stands	Physical degradation (surface)	Two dimensional	Impact small due to localised nature (Section 5.3.1)
Construction of buildings and other infrastructure	Physical degradation (compound)	Two dimensional	(Section 5.3.2)
Construction of roads	Physical degradation (compound)	Two dimensional	(Section 5.3.3)
<b>Construction and Operational Phase Related Effects</b>			
Vehicle operation on site	Physical and chemical degradation (hydrocarbon spills)	Mainly point and one dimensional	(Section 5.3.4)
Dust generation	Physical degradation	Two dimensional	(Section 5.3.5)

### 5.3.1 Construction of Solar Panels and Stands

Table 3 presents the impact criteria and a description with respect to soils, land capability and land use for the construction of solar panels and stands.

**Table 3** Construction of solar panels and stands

Criteria	Description	
Cumulative Impact	The cumulative impact of this activity will be small as it is constructed on land with low agricultural potential.	
Nature	This activity entails the construction of solar panels and stands with the associated disturbance of soils and existing land use.	
	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation
Extent	1 - Site: The impact is two dimensional but then limited to the immediate area that is being developed	1 - Site: The impact is two dimensional but then limited to the immediate area that is being developed
Duration	5 – Permanent (unless removed)	5 – Permanent (unless removed)
Magnitude	2	2
Probability	4 (highly probable due to inevitable changes in land use)	4 (highly probable due to inevitable changes in land use)
Significance of impact	$S = (1 + 5 + 2) * 4 = 32$ (low)	$S = (1 + 5 + 2) * 4 = 32$ (low)
Status	Negative	Negative
Mitigation	None possible. Limit footprint to the immediate development area	None possible. Limit footprint to the immediate development area

### 5.3.2 Construction of Buildings and Other Infrastructure

Table 4 presents the impact criteria and a description with respect to soils, land capability and land use for the construction of solar panels and stands.

**Table 4** Construction of buildings and other infrastructure

Criteria	Description	
Cumulative Impact	The cumulative impact of this activity will be small as it is constructed on land with low agricultural potential.	
Nature	This activity entails the construction of buildings and other infrastructure with the associated disturbance of soils and existing land use.	
	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation
Extent	1 - Site: The impact is two dimensional but then limited to the immediate area that is being developed	1 - Site: The impact is two dimensional but then limited to the immediate area that is being developed
Duration	5 – Permanent (unless removed)	5 – Permanent (unless removed)

Magnitude	2	2
Probability	4 (highly probable due to inevitable changes in land use)	4 (highly probable due to inevitable changes in land use)
Significance of impact	$S = (1 + 5 + 2) * 4 = 32$	$S = (1 + 5 + 2) * 4 = 32$ (low)
Status	Negative	Negative
Mitigation	None possible. Limit footprint to the immediate development area	None possible. Limit footprint to the immediate development area

### 5.3.3 Construction of Roads

Table 5 presents the impact criteria and a description with respect to soils, land capability and land use for the construction of roads.

**Table 5** Construction of roads

Criteria	Description	
Cumulative Impact	The cumulative impact of this activity will be small as it is linear and limited in geographical extent.	
Nature	This activity entails the construction of roads with the associated disturbance of soils and existing land use.	
	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation
Extent	1 - Site: The impact is two dimensional but then limited to the immediate area that is being developed along the road	1 - Site: The impact is two dimensional but then limited to the immediate area that is being developed along the road
Duration	5 – Permanent (unless removed)	5 – Permanent (unless removed)
Magnitude	2	2
Probability	4 (highly probable due to inevitable changes in land use)	4 (highly probable due to inevitable changes in land use)
Significance of impact	$S = (1 + 5 + 2) * 4 = 32$ (low)	$S = (1 + 5 + 2) * 4 = 32$ (low)
Status	Negative	Negative
Mitigation	None possible. Limit footprint to the immediate development area and keep to existing roads as far as possible	None possible. Limit footprint to the immediate development area and keep to existing roads as far as possible

### 5.3.4 Vehicle Operation on Site

It is assumed that vehicle movement will be restricted to the construction site and established roads. Vehicle impacts in this sense are restricted to spillages of lubricants and petroleum products. Table 6 presents the impact criteria and a description with respect to soils, land capability and land use for the operation of vehicles on the site.

**Table 6 Assessment of impact of vehicle operation on site**

Criteria	Description	
Cumulative Impact	The cumulative impact of this activity will be small if managed.	
Nature	This activity entails the operation of vehicles on site and their associated impacts in terms of spillages of lubricants and petroleum products	
	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation
Extent	1 - Site: The impact is two dimensional but then limited to the immediate area that is being developed	1 - Site: The impact is two dimensional but then limited to the immediate area that is being developed
Duration	2 – Short-term	2 – Short-term
Magnitude	2	2
Probability	4	2 (with prevention and mitigation)
Significance of impact	$S = (1 + 2 + 2) * 4 = 20$	$S = (1 + 2 + 2) * 2 = 10$ (with prevention and mitigation)
Status	Negative	Negative
Mitigation	Maintain vehicles, prevent and address spillages	Maintain vehicles, prevent and address spillages

### 5.3.5 Dust Generation

Generated dust can impact large areas depending on environmental and climatic conditions.

**Table 7** presents the impact criteria and a description with respect to soils, land capability and land use for dust generation on the site. For the sake of this assessment contributions of dust generation other than the activities on the site have been ignored.

**Table 7 Assessment of impact of dust generation on site**

Criteria	Description	
Cumulative Impact	The cumulative impact of this activity will be small if managed but can have widespread impacts if ignored.	
Nature	This activity entails the operation of vehicles on site and their associated dust generation	
	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation
Extent	2 - Local: The impact is diffuse (depending on environmental and climatic conditions) and will probably be limited to within 3 – 5 km of the site	2 - Local: The impact is diffuse (depending on environmental and climatic conditions) and will probably be limited to within 3 – 5 km of the site
Duration	2 – Short-term	2 – Short-term
Magnitude	2	2
Probability	4	2 (with mitigation and adequate



		management)
Significance of impact	$S = (2 + 2 + 2) * 4 = 24$	$S = (2 + 2 + 2) * 2 = 12$ (with mitigation and adequate management)
Status	Negative	Negative
Mitigation	Limit vehicle movement to absolute minimum, construct proper roads for access	Limit vehicle movement to absolute minimum, construct proper roads for access

**Table 8 Summary of the impact of the development on agricultural potential and land capability**

<b>Nature of Impact</b>	<i>Loss of agricultural potential and land capability owing to the development</i>	
	Without mitigation	With mitigation
<b>Extent</b>	Low (1) – Site	Low (1) – Site
<b>Duration</b>	Permanent (5)	Permanent (5)
<b>Magnitude</b>	Low (2)	Low (2)
<b>Probability</b>	Highly probable (4)	Highly probable (4)
<b>Significance*</b>	32 (Low)	32 (Low)
<b>Status (positive or negative)</b>	Negative	Negative
<b>Reversibility</b>	Medium	Medium
<b>Irreplaceable loss of resources?</b>	No	No
<b>Can impacts be mitigated?</b>	No	No
<b>Mitigation:</b> The loss of agricultural land is a long term loss and there are no mitigation measures that can be put in place to combat this loss.		
<b>Cumulative impacts:</b> Soil erosion may arise owing to increased surface water runoff. Adequate management and erosion control measures should be implemented.		
<b>Residual Impacts:</b> The loss of agricultural land is a long term loss. This loss extends to the post-construction phase. The agricultural potential is very low though.		

## 5.4 Environmental Management Plan

Tables 9 to 11 provide the critical aspects for inclusion in the EMP.

**Table 9 Measures for erosion mitigation and control**

<b>Objective: Erosion control and mitigation</b>		
<b>Project components</b>	Soil stabilisation, construction of impoundments and erosion mitigation structures	
<b>Potential Impact</b>	Large scale erosion and sediment generation	
<b>Activity / risk source</b>	Poor planning of rainfall surface runoff and storm water management	
<b>Mitigation: Target / Objective</b>	Prevention of eroded materials and silt rich water running off the site	
<b>Mitigation: Action/control</b>	<b>Responsibility</b>	<b>Timeframe</b>
Plan and implement adequate erosion control measures	Construction team and engineer	Throughout project
<b>Performance Indicator</b>	Assessment of storm water structures and erosion mitigation measures. Measurement of actual erosion and sediment generation.	
<b>Monitoring</b>	Monitor and measure sediment generation and erosion damage	

**Table 10 Measures for limiting vehicle operation impacts on site (spillages)**

<b>Objective: Erosion control and mitigation</b>		
<b>Project components</b>	Maintenance of vehicles and planning of vehicle service areas	
<b>Potential Impact</b>	Oil, fuel and other hydrocarbon pollution	
<b>Activity / risk source</b>	Poor maintenance of vehicles and poor control over service areas	
<b>Mitigation: Target / Objective</b>	Adequate maintenance and control over service areas	
<b>Mitigation: Action/control</b>	<b>Responsibility</b>	<b>Timeframe</b>
Service vehicles adequately	Construction team and engineer	Throughout project
Maintenance of service areas, regular cleanup	Construction team and engineer	Throughout project
<b>Performance Indicator</b>	Assessment number and extent of spillages on a regular basis.	
<b>Monitoring</b>	Monitor construction and service sites	

**Table 11 Measures for limiting dust generation on site**

<b>Objective: Dust generation suppression</b>		
<b>Project components</b>	Limit and address dust generation on site linked to construction activities	
<b>Potential Impact</b>	Large scale dust generation on site	
<b>Activity / risk source</b>	Inadequate dust control measures, excessive vehicle movement on unpaved roads	
<b>Mitigation: Target / Objective</b>	Minimise generation of dust	
<b>Mitigation: Action/control</b>		
	<b>Responsibility</b>	<b>Timeframe</b>
Implement dust control strategy including dust suppressants and tarring of roads	Construction team and engineer	Throughout project
Limit vehicle movement on unpaved areas to the absolute minimum	Construction team and engineer	Throughout project
<b>Performance indicator</b>		
	Assessment of dust generated on site	
<b>Monitoring</b>		
	Monitor construction site and surrounds	

## 6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

It is concluded that the proposed development of a photovoltaic facility on the site will not have large impacts due to the low agricultural potential of the site as well as the general rocky nature of the soils. The low agricultural potential of the site is the result of a dominance shallow and rocky soils as well as the relatively low and erratic rainfall of the area.

Even though the soils on the site are not considered to be highly sensitive to erosion such prevention measures should be put in place due to the general slope of the site. The main impacts that have to be managed on the site during the construction activities are:

1. Erosion must be controlled through adequate mitigation and control structures.
2. Impacts from vehicles, such as spillages of oil and hydrocarbons, should be prevented and mitigated.
3. Dust generation on site should be mitigated and minimised as the dust can negatively affect the quality of grazing as well as livestock production.

The impacts on the site need to be viewed in relation to the opencast mining of coal in areas of high potential soils – such as the Eastern Highveld. With this comparison in mind the impact of a solar energy facility is negligible compared to the damaging impacts of coal mining – for a similar energy output. Therefore, in perspective, the impacts of the proposed facility can be motivated as necessary in decreasing the impacts in areas where agriculture potential plays a more significant role.

## REFERENCES

LAND TYPE SURVEY STAFF. (1972 – 2006). *Land Types of South Africa: Digital map (1:250 000 scale) and soil inventory databases*. ARC-Institute for Soil, Climate and Water, Pretoria.

MACVICAR, C.N. et al. 1977. *Soil Classification. A binomial system for South Africa*. Sci. Bull. 390. Dep. Agric. Tech. Serv., Repub. S. Afr., Pretoria.

MACVICAR, C.N. et al. 1991. *Soil Classification. A taxonomic system for South Africa*. Mem. Agric. Nat. Resour. S.Afr. No.15. Pretoria.