IDENTIFICATION, ASSESSMENT AND RANKING OF IMPACTS TO REACH THE PROPOSED ALTERNATIVES INCLUDING THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE WITHIN THE SITE

Note: In this section the focus is on the identified issues, impacts and risks that influenced the identification of the alternatives. This includes how aspects of the receiving environment have influenced the selection.

(a) List the identified impacts and risks for each alternative.

Alternative 1:	Monopole Mast - Preferred Alternative: Visual (low-medium negative); Socio-economic (Low positive)	
Alternative 2:	Tree Mast: Visual (Low-medium negative); Socio-economic (Low positive)	
Alternative 3:	Lattice Mast: Visual (Low-medium negative); Socio-economic (Low positive)	
No-go Alternative:	Socio-economic (Low-negative)	

(b) Describe the impacts and risks identified for each alternative, including the nature, significance, consequence, extent, duration and probability of the impacts, including the degree to which these impacts can be reversed; may cause irreplaceable loss of resources; and can be avoided, managed or mitigated.

The following table serves as a guide for summarising each alternative. The table should be repeated for each alternative to ensure a comparative assessment. (The EAP has to select the relevant impacts identified in blue in the table below for each alternative and repeat the table for each impact and risk).

Alternative 1:	Proposed 25m high Monopole Mast – (Preferred)
PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE	-
Potential impact and risk:	Noise Low-negative
Nature of impact:	Noise impact from machinery on the property and neighbouring residential properties during construction.
Extent and duration of impact:	Local, Duration of construction phase
Consequence of impact or risk:	Localised noise disturbance on the site
Probability of occurrence:	Probable
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	Negligible
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	Definite
Indirect impacts:	Slight increase in localised ambient noise levels (negligible)
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	Low-negative
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Medium - Low negative
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	Medium
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	 The following measures should be implemented amongst others: The Contractor shall endeavour to keep noise generating activities to a minimum. Construction only to take place during normal working hours. No construction on Sundays. Compliance with the appropriate legislation with respect to noise shall be mandatory. Implementation of the EMPr.
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	Medium
Proposed mitigation:	 The following measures should be implemented amongst others: The Contractor shall endeavour to keep noise generating activities to a minimum. Construction only to take place during normal working hours. No construction on Sundays. Compliance with the appropriate legislation with respect to noise shall be mandatory. Implementation of the EMPr.
Residual impacts:	Negligible
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	Low - negative
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Low - negative
OPERATIONAL PHASE	<u>.</u>
Potential impact and risk:	The activity is not expected to have any noise impacts during the operational phase.
Nature of impact:	
Extent and duration of impact:	
Consequence of impact or risk:	
Probability of occurrence:	

Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	
Indirect impacts:	
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	
Proposed mitigation:	
Residual impacts:	
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure', as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact:	
Extent and duration of impact:	
Consequence of impact or risk:	
Probability of occurrence:	
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	
Indirect impacts:	
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	
Proposed mitigation:	
Residual impacts:	
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
Alternative 1:	Proposed 25m high Monopole Mast – (Preferred)
PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	Visual impact: Medium-negative
Nature of impact:	Unsightly views due to construction site
Extent and duration of impact:	Local, Duration of construction phase
Consequence of impact or risk:	Localised visual disturbance on site
Probability of occurrence:	Definite
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	Negligible
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	Low
Indirect impacts:	Low
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	Low-Medium negative
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Medium - negative
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	Medium
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	Visual impact mitigation measures will be dealt with in the Environmental Management Programme ("EMPr"). The EMPr must be enforced and monitored by the Environmental Control Officer ("ECO"). The following measures should be implemented amongst others: • The contractor shall restrict all his activities, materials, equipment and personnel to within the area specified/demarcated.
. 2	 Construction material must be stored in areas designated by

the site agent and in a neat and orderly manner and must not

The contractor must ensure that all structures, equipment,

materials and facilities used or created on site for or during

damage natural vegetation.

٠

Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Proposed mitigation:	 construction activities are removed once the project has been completed. The construction site must be cleared and cleaned to the satisfaction of the ECO. Immediately after the demolishing of the campsite, the contractor shall restore the site to its original state, paying particular attention to its appearance relative to the general landscape. Construction only to take place during normal working hours. Implementation of the EMPr. Probable The following measures should be implemented amongst others: The Contractor shall endeavour to keep noise generating activities to a minimum. Construction only to take place during normal working hours. No construction on Sundays. Compliance with the appropriate legislation with respect to noise shall be mandatory. Implementation of the EMPr.
Residual impacts:	Very Low-negative
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	Low - negative
Significance rating of impact after mitigation	Low - negative
(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
OPERATIONAL PHASE	Viewel immerski Mastivus nagrativa
Potential impact and risk:	Visual impact: Medium-negative The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact
Nature of impact:	because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an agricultural area of Rawsonville.
Extent and duration of impact:	Local, Permanent
Consequence of impact or risk:	Low-Medium negative
Probability of occurrence:	Definite
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	Low - negative
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	Very Likely
Indirect impacts:	Negligible (Possibly during the harvesting season and holiday season).
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	Medium - negative
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Medium - negative
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	Highly Unlikely (Low)
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	Medium
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	Medium
Proposed mitigation:	 Restrict the height of the mast to only 25m; Construct a lattice mast; and Implementation of the EMPr.
Residual impacts:	Very Low - negative
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	Very Low - negative
Significance rating of impact after mitigation	Low - negative
(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE	
	The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure',
Potential impact and risk:	as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact:	
Extent and duration of impact:	
Consequence of impact or risk:	
Probability of occurrence:	
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	
Indirect impacts:	
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation	
(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	+
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	
Proposed mitigation:	
Residual impacts:	

Cumulative impact post mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	

Alternative 1:	Proposed 25m high Monopole Mast – (Preferred)
PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	Socio-Economic (Low - Positive)
Nature of impact:	Temporary jobs will be created in the construction industry during the construction phase.
Extent and duration of impact:	Local, Duration of construction phase
Consequence of impact or risk:	Low - Positive (temporary job creation)
Probability of occurrence:	Definite
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	N/A. This is a positive impact
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	N/A. This is a positive impact
Indirect impacts:	Very - Low - Positive (contribute to temporary construction jobs).
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	Low - Positive
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Low – Positive
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	N/A. This is a positive impact. Temporary jobs will be created during the construction phase.
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	N/A. This is a positive impact. Temporary jobs will be created during the construction phase. No mitigation measures required.
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	N/A. This is a positive impact. Temporary jobs will be created during the construction phase. No mitigation measures required.
Proposed mitigation:	N/A. This is a positive impact. Temporary jobs will be created during the construction phase. No mitigation measures required.
Residual impacts:	Low – Positive (Temporary jobs to be created during the construction phase).
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	Low – Positive
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Low – Positive
OPERATIONAL PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	Socio-economic aspect (Medium – Positive) The proposed activity will increase the coverage of telecommunications
Nature of impact:	services, including providing a more reliable and wider coverage. The proposed mast will have a positive impact on the socio-economics of the surrounding area as it will provide communication users with the option of faster internet coverage, cheaper cellular rates and available, stable network coverage which could be critical in the case of an emergency.
Extent and duration of impact:	Regional, Long-term
Consequence of impact or risk:	Please see above. The activity will increase the cellular network coverage within the area. Medium – Positive
Probability of occurrence:	Highly Probable
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	N/A. Unlikely to cause any loss of resources. This is a positive impact.
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	N/A. This is a positive impact.
Indirect impacts:	Low - Positive indirect impacts associated with the activity. Improved
	mobile network coverage within the surrounding area. Medium - Positive
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation	Low – Positive
(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	N/A. This is a positive impact that will improve the cellular network coverage within the surrounding area.
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	N/A. This is a positive impact.
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	N/A. This is positive impact.
Proposed mitigation:	N/A. This is a positive impact. No mitigation measures required.
Residual impacts:	Low - Positive
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	Low - Positive
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Low - Positive
DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure', as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact:	
Extent and duration of impact:	
Consequence of impact or risk:	
Probability of occurrence:	

Lead of mocures Jesse 1 Degree 10 with the impoct can be reversed: Jesse 1 Curvidative impoct point on mitgation. Jesse 1 Significance roling of impoct can be avoided: Degree 10 with the impoct can be managed. Degree 10 with the impoct can be managed: Jesse 10 with the impoct can be managed. Degree 10 with the impoct can be managed: Jesse 10 with the impoct can be managed. Curvidative impoct pair mitigation: Jesse 10 with the impoct can be managed. Curvidative impoct pair mitigation: Jesse 10 with the impoct can be managed. Significance roling of impoct after mitigation: Jesse 10 with the impoct can be managed. Atternative 1: Proposed 25m high Monapole Mati - (Preferred) Plannike, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE Heitage and Cultural Histor: Aspects - Due to the site location and nature of the activity in and aspects during construction. Probability of accurence: Heitage and Cultural Histor: Aspects - Due to the site location and nature of the activity in and aspects of significance were Heitified on site. Degree to which the impoct on be reversed: N/A Degree to which the impoct can be reversed: N/A Degree to which the impoct can be managed: Very Low 'negative Significance roling of imoci ton mitigation:		
Degree to which the impact can be reversed: Indicated impacts Cumulative impacts prior to mitigation: Indicated impacts Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation: Indicated impacts Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Indicated impacts Pages to which the impact can be mitigated: Indicated impacts Significance rating of impact prior Indicated impacts Residue impact data mitigated: Proposed mitigation: Significance rating of impact prior Indicated impacts Atternative 1: Proposed 25m high Monapole Mat - (Preferred) PLANING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE Proposed 25m high Monapole Mat - (Preferred) Planting duration of impact: The loss of heritage and Cultural -Historic Aspects - Due to the sile location and nature of the activity is not aspected to have any impacts on neritage and Cultural -Historic Aspects - Due to the sile location and nature of the activity is not aspected to have any impacts or neritage and Cultural -Historic Aspects - Due to the sile location and nature of the activity is not aspected to have any impacts or neritage and cultural historic Aspects - Due to the sile location and nature of the activity is not aspected to have any impacts or neritage and cultural historic aspects. Nature of timpact core inst: Very tow - negative Probability of core method motion do construction phase Core aspects of significance were do	Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable	
Indirect impacts prior to miligation: Significance rating of mapact prior to miligation: (e.g., low, Medium High, High, or Yary-High) Degree to which the impact can be availated: Degree to which the impact can be managed: Camuadrise impacts Proposed miligation: Significance rating of mapact and the managed: Camuadrise impacts port miligation: Significance rating of mapact and the miligation and continue of the activity is not expected to have any impacts or heritage and cultural historic appects of significance were Highly unitkely. In a cultural of historic appects of significance were Highly unitkely. In a cultural of historic appects of significance were Highly unitkely. In a cultural of historic appects of significance were Highly unitkely. In a cultural of historic appects of significance were Highly unitkely. In a cultural of historic appects of significance were Highly unitkely. In a cultural of historic appects of significance were Highly unitkely. In a cultural of historic appects of significance were Highly unitkely. In a cultural of historic appects of significance were Highly unitkely. In a cultural of historic appects of significance were Highly unitkely. In a cultural of historic appects of significance were Highly unitkely. In a cultural of historic appects of significance were Highly unitkely. In a cultural of historic appects of significance were Highly unitkely. In a cultural of historic appects of significance were Highly unitkely. In a cultural of historic appects of significance were Highly unitkely. In a cultural historic appects of significance were Highly unitke		
Cumulative impact prior miligation Englificance anding of impact plan be evolvaded: Degree to which the impact can be moraged: Degree to which the impact can be moraged: Degree to which the impact can be moraged: Significance anding of impacts Cumulative impacts Significance anding of impact plant Significance anding of impact plant Atternative 1: Proposed 25m high Monopole Matt - (Preferred) Plannika, DESICH AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE Proposed 25m high Monopole Matt - (Preferred) Plannika, DESICH AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE Probability of cancer and rais: Potential impact and rais: Prefuse and Cultural -Historic Aspects - Due to the sile location and notice of impact: Define and duration of impact: Decisor thereinge; cultural whistoric aspects do have any impacts or historic aspects of isgnificance evere behability of accurrence: Probability of accurrence: Highly unitely, no cultural rhistoric aspects of significance evere behability accurrence: Degree to which the impact can be reversed: NA Inducer Impact: Na Degree to which the impact can be moraged: Imay achneological remains (including		
Significance rating of Impact and to Imitigation (e.g., Low, Medium, Medium, High, and YeryHigh) Degree to which the Impact and be analoged. Degree to which the Impact and be analoged. Degree to which the Impact and ther mitigation (e.g., Low, Medium, Medium, High, and YeryHigh) Residue Impacts Cumulative Impact and ther mitigation (e.g., Low, Medium, Medium, High, 1997, YeryHigh) Attenditive I: Proposed Tailing of Impact and ther mitigation (e.g., Low, Medium, Medium, High, 1997, YeryHigh) Attenditive I: Proposed Tailing of Impact and ther mitigation (e.g., Low, Medium, Medium, High, 1997, YeryHigh) Attenditive I: Proposed Tailing of Impact and ther mitigation (e.g., Low, Medium, Medium, High, 1997, YeryHigh) Attenditive I: Proposed Tailing of Impact and thisk Potential Impact and tisk: Potential Impact and tisk: Potential Impact and tisk: Proposed Impacts Nature of Impacts Nature		
(e.g. tow. Medium, Medium High, High, of Very-High) Degrees to which the impact can be managed: Canualdative impacts: Canualdative impacts: Atternative 1: Proposed antilgation: Pointial impact and millipation: Pointial impact and managed: Degrees to which the impact can be managed: Degrees to which the impact can be managed: Pointial impact and fisk: Degree to which the impact and regression: Up to bail of odocumence: Highly builkely no cultural of historic aspects of significance everes: Bagine to which the impact can be evolded: Degree to which the impact can be managed: Degree to which the impact can be managed: Degree to which the impact can be managed: Degree to which the imp		
Degree to which the impact can be minigated:		
Degree to which the impact can be miligated: Proposed miligation: Proposed miligation: Residual impacts: Cumulative impact pat miligation: Proposed 25m high Monopole Mat - (Preferred) Planniko, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE Proposed 25m high Monopole Mat - (Preferred) Planniko, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE Herlinge and Cultural-Historic Aspects - Due to the sile location and nature of impact: Nature of impact and risk: Herlinge and cultural-historic aspects during construction. Extent and duration of impact: Least Development Probability of accumence: Highly unilkely to instoric aspects of significance were identified on site. Degree to which the impact can be reversed: N/A Canuadative inpact prior to miligation: Very Low - Negative Canuadative inpact prior to miligation: Very Low - Negative Degree to which the impact can be reversed: N/A Canuadative inpact plan to miligation: Very Low - Negative Spriftconce rating of impact plan to miligation: Very Low - Negative Spriftconce rating of impact plan to miligation: Very Low - Negative Degree to which the impact can be monoged: Very Low - Negative Degree to which the impact can be miligated: Ver	Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	
Proposed miligotion: Residual impacts: Curnulative impact past miligotion: Significance range of impact after miligotion: Significance range of impact after miligotion: Proposed 25m high Monopole Mast – (Preferred) PLANING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE Proposed 25m high Monopole Mast – (Preferred) Planting, Design AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE Heritage and cultural-Historic Aspects – Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts or heritage and cultural-Historic aspect. Nature of impact: Local, Duration of combinition: Extent and duration of impact: Local, Duration of combination: Probability of occurrence: Highly wilkely, to cultural or historic aspects of significance were identified on site. Degree to which the impact can be reversed: N/A Indicace range of impact pior to miligation: Very Low - Negative Very Low - Negative Very Low - Negative Degree to which the impact can be reversed: N/A Leg. Low, Machiny, Madium -High, High, or Very-High) Very Low - Negative Consequence of impact pior to miligation: Very Low - Negative Use, Low, Addium, High, nor Very-High) Very Low - Negative Degree to which the impact can be monoged: Very Low - Negative </td <td>Degree to which the impact can be managed:</td> <td></td>	Degree to which the impact can be managed:	
Residual impacts:	Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	
Residual impacts:	Proposed mitigation:	
Significance roling of impact ther mitigation (e.g. tow, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) Proposed 25m high Monopole Most – (Preferred) Planning, Design AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE Potential impact and risk: Heritage and Cultural-Historic Aspects – Due to the site location and nature of the activity is not expected to have any impacts or heritage and cultural -Historic aspects. Nature of impact: Local, Duration of construction phase Consequence of impact or risk: Very Low - negative identified on site. Probability of accurrence: Highly unitkely. no cultural or historic aspects of significance were identified on site. Degree to which the impact may cause implaceable indirect impacts: N/A Mighly unitkely to have a negative indirect impact (e.g. tow, Medium, Medium-High, High, or very-High) (e.g. tow, Medium, Medium-High, High, or very-High) (e.g. tow, Medium, Medium-High, High, or very-High) (e.g. tow, Medium, Medium-High, High, or very-High) Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Low (Likely) Degree to which the impact can be managed: Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Medium (Likely) Degre		
Significance roling of impact ther mitigation (e.g. tow, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) Proposed 25m high Monopole Most – (Preferred) Planning, Design AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE Potential impact and risk: Heritage and Cultural-Historic Aspects – Due to the site location and nature of the activity is not expected to have any impacts or heritage and cultural -Historic aspects. Nature of impact: Local, Duration of construction phase Consequence of impact or risk: Very Low - negative identified on site. Probability of accurrence: Highly unitkely. no cultural or historic aspects of significance were identified on site. Degree to which the impact may cause implaceable indirect impacts: N/A Mighly unitkely to have a negative indirect impact (e.g. tow, Medium, Medium-High, High, or very-High) (e.g. tow, Medium, Medium-High, High, or very-High) (e.g. tow, Medium, Medium-High, High, or very-High) (e.g. tow, Medium, Medium-High, High, or very-High) Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Low (Likely) Degree to which the impact can be managed: Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Medium (Likely) Degre	Cumulative impact post mitigation:	
Alternative 1: Proposed 25m high Monopole Mast - (Preferred) PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE Herifage and Cultural-Historic Aspects - Due to the site location and not herifage and cultural-Historic Caspects during construction. Nature of impact: The loss of herifage, cultural or historic aspects during construction. Extent and duration of impact: Local, Duration of construction phase Consequence of impact or risk: Yery Low - negative Probability of accurrence: Identified on site. Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable Highly unlikely Degree to which the impact prior to miligation: Very Low - Negative Significance rating of impact prior to miligation: Very Low - Negative Degree to which the impact can be reversed: N/A Indirect impacts: Negligible: activity unlikely to have a negative indirect impact Cumulative impact prior to miligation: Very Low - Negative Significance rating of impact prior to miligation: Very Low - Negative Degree to which the impact can be managed: If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil beness and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial carranics, any articles of value or aniquity, isstance atteches and bone remains, functures and other bulk features, rock atrad anock engravinga) are discovered during construction. these		
Plankink, Ession AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE Plankink, Ession Phattage and Cultural-Historic Aspects - Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts or heritage and cultural-Historic aspects. Nature of impact: The loss of heritage, cultural or historic aspects of significance were identified on site. Probability of occurrence: Highly unlikely no cultural or historic aspects of significance were identified on site. Degree to which the impact can be reversed: NA Indirect impacts: Negligible; activity unlikely to have a negative indirect impact Cumulative impact prior to miligation (sq., Madium-High, High, or Very-High) Very - low Negative Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Uow (Likely) Degree to which the impact can be managed: • If any archaeological remains (Including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonal ceramics, any articles of value or antiguity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock and and mature to be informed. Degree to which the impact can be managed: • If any archaeological remains (Including but not limited to fossil bones, coins, structures and other built features, rock and and rock engravings) are discovered duing construction they must immediately be reported to	(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
Plankink, Ession AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE Plankink, Ession Phattage and Cultural-Historic Aspects - Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts or heritage and cultural-Historic aspects. Nature of impact: The loss of heritage, cultural or historic aspects of significance were identified on site. Probability of occurrence: Highly unlikely no cultural or historic aspects of significance were identified on site. Degree to which the impact can be reversed: NA Indirect impacts: Negligible; activity unlikely to have a negative indirect impact Cumulative impact prior to miligation (sq., Madium-High, High, or Very-High) Very - low Negative Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Uow (Likely) Degree to which the impact can be managed: • If any archaeological remains (Including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonal ceramics, any articles of value or antiguity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock and and mature to be informed. Degree to which the impact can be managed: • If any archaeological remains (Including but not limited to fossil bones, coins, structures and other built features, rock and and rock engravings) are discovered duing construction they must immediately be reported to	Alternative 1:	Proposed 25m high Monopole Mast – (Preferred)
Heritage and Cultural-Historic Aspects - Due to the sile location and nerifage and cultural-Historic aspects - Due to the sile location and metridage and cultural-Historic aspects. Nature of impact: The loss of heritage, cultural or historic aspects during construction. Extent and duration of impact: Local, Duration of construction phase Consequence of impact: Very Low - negative Probability of occurrence: Highly unlikely, no cultural or historic aspects of significance were identified on site. Degree to which the impact and value irreplaceable loss of resources: N/A Indirect Impacts: Negligible; activity unlikely to have a negative indirect impact Cumulative impact part to mitigation: Very Low - Negative Significance ration to mitigation: Very Low Hegative (e.g. Low, Meadium, High, High, or Very-High) Low (Ukely) Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Low (Ukely) Degree to which the impact can be managed: I for any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossi bones and fossi shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antifucts, to managed: Degree to which the impact can be managed: I for any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossi bones and fossi shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antifukican Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are a		
Potential impact and isk: nature of the activity, is not expected to have any impacts or heritage and cultural-historic aspects. Nature of impact: The loss of heritage, cultural or historic aspects during construction. Extent and duration of impact: Local. Duration of construction phase Consequence of impact or risk: Very Low - negative Probability of occurrence: Highly unlikely, no cultural or historic aspects of significance were lidentified on site. Degree to which the impact can be reversed: N/A Indirect impacts: Very Low - Negative Cumulative impact prior to miligation: Very Low - Negative Degree to which the impact can be reversed: N/A Negligible: activity unlikely to have a negative indirect impact Cumulative impact prior to miligation: Use; Low. Medium. Medium. High, High, ary Very-Hight Very Low - Negative Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Low (Likely) Degree to which the impact can be managed: If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fostil bores and fostil helis, coins, indigenus and/or colonal ceramics, structures and other built features, rock and and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immedicately be reported to heritage Western Cape (IWC) and must not be disturbed (urther unill the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC.		Heritage and Cultural-Historic Aspects - Due to the site location and
Extent and duration of impact: Local, Duration of construction phase Consequence of impact or risk: Very Low - negative Probability of occurrence: Highly unlikely, no cultural or historic aspects of significance were itentified on site. Degree to which the impact can be reversed: N/A Indirect impacts: Negligible: activity unlikely to have a negative indirect impact Cumulative impact point to miligation: Very Low - Negative (e.g. Low, Medium, High, High, or Very-High) Very Low - Negative Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Low (Ukely) Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Low (Ukely) Degree to which the impact can be managed: If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial carcamics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock ard and nock engravings) are discovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed. Degree to which the impact can be miligated: Medium (Ukely) Degree to which the impact can be miligated: Medium (Ukely) Degree to which the impact can be miligated: Medium (Ukely) Degree to which the impact can be miligated: <t< th=""><th>Potential impact and risk:</th><th>nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on</th></t<>	Potential impact and risk:	nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on
Consequence of impact or risk: Very Low - negative Probability of occurrence: Highly unlikely, no cultural or historic aspects of significance were identified on site. Degree to which the impact can be reversed: N/A Indirect impacts: Negligible; activity unlikely to have a negative indirect impact Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Very Low - Negative Isignificance rating of impact prior to mitigation Very Low - Negative Leg, Low, Medium, Medium High, High, or Very-High) Very - Low Negative Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Low (Likely) Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Low (Likely) Degree to which the impact can be managed: • If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, Indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiguity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock at and rock engravings) are discovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be distructed, exposed or uncovered during construction, theritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed. Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Medium (Likely) Proposed mitigation: If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and lossil shells, coins, Indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of valu	Nature of impact:	
Probability of occurrence: Highly unlikely, no cultural or historic aspects of significance were identified on site. Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Highly unlikely. Degree to which the impact or on be reversed: N/A Indirect impacts: Negligible: activity unlikely to have a negative indirect impact (cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Very Low - Negative Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) Very - Low Negative Degree to which the impact can be avoided: If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiguity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock at and nock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to the south African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed. Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Medium (Likely) Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Medium (Likely) Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Medium (Likely) Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Medium (Likely) Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Medium (Likely) Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Medium (Likely) <td< td=""><td>Extent and duration of impact:</td><td>Local, Duration of construction phase</td></td<>	Extent and duration of impact:	Local, Duration of construction phase
Producting of accounted: Identified on site. Degree to which the impact can be reversed: N/A Indirect impacts: N/A Cumulative impact prior to miligation: Very Low - Negative Significance rating of impact prior to miligation: Very - Low Negative Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Low (Ukley) Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Low (Ukley) Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Low (Ukley) Degree to which the impact can be managed: If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, tock at and nock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed (where unit the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC. Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Medium (Ukley) Proposed mitigation: Implementation of the EXPr. Begree to which the impact can be mitigated: Medium (Ukley) Implementation of the EXPr. Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Medium (Ukley) Implementation of the EXPr. Degree to which the	Consequence of impact or risk:	
Loss of resources: Inight onlinetry Degree to which the impact: N/A Indirect impacts: Negligible; activity unlikely to have a negative indirect impact Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Very Low - Negative Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation: Very - Low Negative Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Low (Ukley) Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Low (Ukley) Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Low (Ukley) Degree to which the impact can be managed: • If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceromics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other buill features, rock at and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be distrubed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC. Degree to which the impact can be managed: • Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be distrubed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Medium (Ukley) Proposed mitigation: • If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceremic		
Degree to which the impact can be reversed: N/A Indirect impacts: Negligible; activity unlikely to have a negative indirect impact Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Very Low - Negative Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation: Very Low - Negative Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Low (Likely) Degree to which the impact can be avoided: I any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock at and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC. Degree to which the impact can be managed: Medium (Likely) Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Medium (Likely) Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Medium (Likely) Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Medium (Likely) Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Medium (Likely) Proposed mitigation: Medium (Likely) Proposed mitigation: I any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any arcles of value or antiguity, stone arefacts and		Highly Unlikely
Indirect impacts: Negligible; activity unlikely to have a negative indirect impact Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Very Low - Negative Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) Very - Low Negative Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Low (Likely) Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Low (Likely) Degree to which the impact can be managed: If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiguity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock atf and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to theritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC. Degree to which the impact can be managed: Medium (Likely) Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Medium (Likely) Pegree to which the impact can be mitigated: Medium (Likely) Pegree to which the impact can be mitigated: Medium (Likely) Proposed mitigation: If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiguity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock at and rock engaronigs) are disourced during construction they must immediately b		N/A
Significance roting of impact point to militaction (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) Very - Low Negative Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Low (Likely) If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or anfiquity, store artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock atf and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC. Degree to which the impact can be managed: Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed. Degree to which the impact can be miligated: Medium (Likely) Proposed miligation: If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built fedures, rock atf and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to the south African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. Proposed miligation: Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the south African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. Proposed miligation: Ver	Indirect impacts:	Negligible; activity unlikely to have a negative indirect impact
Significance rating of impact prior to miligation (e.g. Low, Medium, Hedium, High, High, or Very-High) Very - Low Negative Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Low (Likely) • If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or anflaulity, store artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rack atf and bone remains, structures and other built features, rack atf and bone intervings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC. Degree to which the impact can be managed: • Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed. Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Medium (Likely) • It any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rack atf and nock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC. Proposed mitigation: • Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the South African Heri	Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	Very Low - Negative
Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Low (Likely) • If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock atf and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC. Degree to which the impact can be managed: Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed. Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Medium (Likely) Pegree to which the impact can be mitigated: Medium (Likely) Pegree to which the impact can be mitigated: If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock at and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed. Proposed mitigation: Negligible Cumulative impact post mitigation: Very Low - Negative		Very - Low Negative
If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock and and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immedicately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC. Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed. Implementation of the EMPr. Medium (Likely) If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should inmediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock and and rock engravings) are discovered during construction, these should must not be disturbed (uther until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC. Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed. Implementation of the EMPr. Negligible Cumulative impact fost mitigation: Very Low - Negative Significance rating of impact after mitigati		low (likely)
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Medium (Likely) • If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock art and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC. Proposed mitigation: • Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed. • Implementation of the EMPr. Residual impacts: Negligible Cumulative impact post mitigation: Very Low - Negative Significance rating of impact after mitigation Negligible	Degree to which the impact can be managed:	 bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock art and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC. Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed.
If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock art and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC. Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed. Implementation of the EMPr. Residual impacts: Very Low - Negative Significance rating of impact after mitigation	Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	
Residual impacts: Negligible Cumulative impact post mitigation: Very Low - Negative Significance rating of impact after mitigation Negligible		 If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock art and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC. Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed.
Significance rating of impact after mitigation	Residual impacts:	Negligible
		Very Low - Negative
(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)		Negligible

Potential impact and risk: Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact:	No heritage or cultural aspects are expected to be impacted during the operational phase since no cultural or historic aspects were identified on site.
Extent and duration of impact:	
Consequence of impact or risk:	
Probability of occurrence:	
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	
Indirect impacts:	
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	
Proposed mitigation:	
Residual impacts:	
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact after mitigation	
(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE Potential impact and risk:	The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure', as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact:	
Extent and duration of impact:	
Consequence of impact or risk:	
Probability of occurrence:	
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	
Indirect impacts:	
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	
Proposed mitigation:	
Residual impacts:	
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
Alternative 1:	Proposed 25m high Monopole Mast – (Preferred)

PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	Ecological aspect
Nature of impact:	Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects. Even though the site is located within a degraded ESA, the activity will have no impact on the ESA as the site is totally transformed from its natural state due to past development activities on the property.
Extent and duration of impact:	Local, Duration of construction phase
Consequence of impact or risk:	Negligible
Probability of occurrence:	Highly Unlikely
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	Highly Unlikely
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	Definite
Indirect impacts:	Insignificant
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	Negligible
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Negligible
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	Low (Highly Likely)

	The EMPr must be enforced and monitored by the Environmental Control Officer ("ECO"). The following measures should be implemented amongst others:
	 The contractor shall restrict all his activities, materials,
	equipment and personnel to within the area
	specified/demarcated.
	 No further encroachment onto the degraded ESA on site,
	construction activities to be clearly restricted to demarcated
	construction area.
	Construction material must be stored in areas designated by
	the site agent and in a neat and orderly manner and must not
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	damage natural vegetation.
	 The contractor must ensure that all structures, equipment,
	materials and facilities used or created on site for or during
	construction activities are removed once the project has been
	completed. The construction site must be cleared and cleaned
	to the satisfaction of the ECO.
	 Immediately after the demolishing of the campsite, the
	contractor shall restore the site to its original state, paying
	particular attention to its appearance relative to the general
	landscape.
	Construction only to take place during normal working hours.
	Implementation of the EMPr.
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	Medium
	The EMPr must be enforced and monitored by the Environmental Control Officer ("ECO"). The following measures should be implemented amongst others:
	The contractor shall restrict all his activities, materials,
	equipment and personnel to within the area
	specified/demarcated.
	• No further encroachment onto the degraded ESA on site,
	construction activities to be clearly restricted to demarcated
	construction area.
	Construction material must be stored in areas designated by
	the site agent and in a neat and orderly manner and must not
	damage natural vegetation.
Proposed mitigation:	 The contractor must ensure that all structures, equipment,
	materials and facilities used or created on site for or during
	construction activities are removed once the project has been
	completed. The construction site must be cleared and cleaned
	to the satisfaction of the ECO.
	 Immediately after the demolishing of the campsite, the
	contractor shall restore the site to its original state, paying
	particular attention to its appearance relative to the general
	landscape.
	 Construction only to take during normal working hours.
	Implementation of the EMPr.
Residual impacts:	Negligible
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	Negligible
Significance rating of impact after mitigation	Negligible
(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	I TEAIRNE
OPERATIONAL PHASE	
Determination and south the	Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not
Potential impact and risk:	expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects
Nature of impact:	during the operational phase.
Nature of impact:	
Extent and duration of impact:	
Company of the state	
Consequence of impact or risk:	
Probability of occurrence:	
Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	
Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable	
Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	
Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	
Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Degree to which the impact can be reversed: Indirect impacts: Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation	
Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Degree to which the impact can be reversed: Indirect impacts: Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	

Degree to which the impact can be managed:	
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	
Proposed mitigation:	
Residual impacts:	
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure', as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact:	
Extent and duration of impact:	
Consequence of impact or risk:	
Probability of occurrence:	
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	
Indirect impacts:	
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	
Proposed mitigation:	
Residual impacts:	
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	

Alternative 2:	Tree Mast (25m in height)
PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	Noise Low-negative
Nature of impact:	Noise impact from machinery on the property and neighbouring residential properties during construction.
Extent and duration of impact:	Local, Duration of construction phase
Consequence of impact or risk:	Localised noise disturbance on site
Probability of occurrence:	Probable
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	Negligible
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	Definite
Indirect impacts:	Slight increase in localised ambient noise levels (negligible)
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	Lo-negative
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Medium – Low negative
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	Medium
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	 The following measures should be implemented amongst others: The Contractor shall endeavour to keep noise generating activities to a minimum. Construction only to take place during normal working hours. No construction on Sundays. Compliance with the appropriate legislation with respect to noise shall be mandatory. Implementation of the EMPr.
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	Medium
Proposed mitigation:	 The following measures should be implemented amongst others: The Contractor shall endeavour to keep noise generating activities to a minimum. Construction only to take place during normal working hours. No construction on Sundays. Compliance with the appropriate legislation with respect to noise shall be mandatory. Implementation of the EMPr.
Residual impacts:	Negligible
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	Low - negative

Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Low - negative
OPERATIONAL PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	The activity is not expected to have any noise impacts during the operational phase.
Nature of impact:	
Extent and duration of impact:	
Consequence of impact or risk:	
Probability of occurrence:	
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	
Indirect impacts:	
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	
Proposed mitigation:	
Residual impacts:	
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure', as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact:	
Extent and duration of impact:	
Consequence of impact or risk:	
Probability of occurrence:	
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	
Indirect impacts:	
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	
Proposed mitigation:	
Residual impacts:	
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	

Alternative 2:	Tree Mast (25m in height)
PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	Visual impact: Medium-negative
Nature of impact:	Unsightly views due to construction site
Extent and duration of impact:	Local, Duration of construction phase
Consequence of impact or risk:	Localised visual disturbance on site
Probability of occurrence:	Definite
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	Negligible
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	Low
Indirect impacts:	Low
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	Low-Medium negative
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Medium - negative
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	Medium
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	Visual impact mitigation measures will be dealt with in the Environmental Management Programme ("EMPr"). The EMPr must be enforced and

	 monitored by the Environmental Control Officer ("ECO"). The following measures should be implemented amongst others: The contractor shall restrict all his activities, materials, equipment and personnel to within the area specified/demarcated. Construction material must be stored in areas designated by the site agent and in a neat and orderly manner and must not damage natural vegetation. The contractor must ensure that all structures, equipment, materials and facilities used or created on site for or during construction activities are removed once the project has been completed. The construction site must be cleared and cleaned to the satisfaction of the ECO. Immediately after the demolishing of the campsite, the contractor shall restore the site to its original state, paying particular attention to its appearance relative to the general landscape. Construction only to take place during normal working hours.
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	Probable
Proposed mitigation:	 The following measures should be implemented amongst others: The Contractor shall endeavour to keep noise generating activities to a minimum. Construction only to take place during normal working hours. No construction on Sundays. Compliance with the appropriate legislation with respect to noise shall be mandatory. Implementation of the EMPr.
Residual impacts:	Very Low-negative
	Low - negative
Cumulative impact post mitigation: Significance rating of impact after mitigation	
(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Low - negative
OPERATIONAL PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	Visual impact: Medium-negative
Nature of impact:	The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an agricultural area of Rawsonville.
Extent and duration of impact:	Local, Permanent
Consequence of impact or risk:	Low-Medium negative
Probability of occurrence:	Definite
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	Low - negative
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	Very Likely
Indirect impacts:	Negligible (Possibly during the harvesting season and holiday season).
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation	Medium - negative
(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Medium - negative
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	Highly Unlikely (Low)
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	Medium
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	Medium
Proposed mitigation:	 Restrict the height of the mast to only 25m; Construct a lattice mast; and Implementation of the EMPr.
Residual impacts:	Very Low - negative
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	Very Low - negative
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE	Low - negative
Potential impact and risk:	The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure', as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact:	
Extent and duration of impact:	
Consequence of impact or risk:	
Probability of occurrence:	
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	

Indirect impacts:	
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	
Proposed mitigation:	
Residual impacts:	
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	

Alternative 2:	Tree Mast (25m in height)
PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	Socio-Economic (Low - Positive)
Nature of impact:	Temporary jobs will be created in the construction industry during the construction phase.
Extent and duration of impact:	Local, Duration of construction phase
Consequence of impact or risk:	Low - Positive (temporary job creation)
Probability of occurrence:	Definite
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	N/A. This is a positive impact
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	N/A. This is a positive impact
Indirect impacts:	Very - Low - Positive (contribute to temporary construction jobs).
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	Low - Positive
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Low – Positive
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	N/A. This is a positive impact. Temporary jobs will be created during the construction phase.
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	N/A. This is a positive impact. Temporary jobs will be created during the construction phase. No mitigation measures required.
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	N/A. This is a positive impact. Temporary jobs will be created during the construction phase. No mitigation measures required.
Proposed mitigation:	N/A. This is a positive impact. Temporary jobs will be created during the construction phase. No mitigation measures required.
Residual impacts:	Low – Positive (Temporary jobs to be created during the construction phase).
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	Low – Positive
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Low – Positive
OPERATIONAL PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	Socio-economic aspect (Medium – Positive)
Nature of impact:	The proposed activity will increase the coverage of telecommunications services, including providing a more reliable and wider coverage. The proposed mast will have a positive impact on the socio-economics of the surrounding area as it will provide communication users with the option of faster internet coverage, cheaper cellular rates and available, stable network coverage which could be critical in the case of an emergency.
Extent and duration of impact:	Regional, Long-term
Consequence of impact or risk:	Please see above. The activity will increase the cellular network coverage within the area. Medium – Positive
Probability of occurrence:	Highly Probable
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	N/A. Unlikely to cause any loss of resources. This is a positive impact.
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	N/A. This is a positive impact.
Indirect impacts:	Low – Positive indirect impacts associated with the activity. Improved mobile network coverage within the surrounding area.
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	Medium - Positive
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Low – Positive
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	N/A. This is a positive impact that will improve the cellular network coverage within the surrounding area.
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	N/A. This is a positive impact.
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	N/A. This is positive impact.
Proposed mitigation:	N/A. This is a positive impact. No mitigation measures required.
Residual impacts:	Low - Positive

Cumulative impact post mitigation:	Low - Positive
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Low - Positive
DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure', as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact:	
Extent and duration of impact:	
Consequence of impact or risk:	
Probability of occurrence:	
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	
Indirect impacts:	
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	
Proposed mitigation:	
Residual impacts:	
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	

Alternative 2:	Tree Mast (25m in height)	
PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE	PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	Heritage and Cultural-Historic Aspects – Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on heritage and cultural-historic aspects.	
Nature of impact:	The loss of heritage, cultural or historic aspects during construction.	
Extent and duration of impact:	Local, Duration of construction phase	
Consequence of impact or risk:	Very Low - negative	
Probability of occurrence:	Highly unlikely, no cultural or historic aspects of significance were identified on site.	
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	Highly Unlikely	
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	N/A	
Indirect impacts:	Negligible; activity unlikely to have a negative indirect impact	
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	Very Low - Negative	
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Very - Low Negative	
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	Low (Likely)	
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	 If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock art and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC. Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed. Implementation of the EMPr. 	
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	Medium (Likely)	
Proposed mitigation:	 If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock art and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and 	

	 must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC. Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed. Implementation of the EMPr.
Residual impacts:	Negligible
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	Very Low - Negative
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Negligible
OPERATIONAL PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	No heritage or cultural aspects are expected to be impacted during the operational phase since no cultural or historic aspects were identified on site.
Nature of impact:	
Extent and duration of impact:	
Consequence of impact or risk:	
Probability of occurrence:	
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	
Indirect impacts:	
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	
Proposed mitigation:	
Residual impacts:	
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure', as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact:	
Extent and duration of impact:	
Consequence of impact or risk:	
Probability of occurrence:	
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	
Indirect impacts:	
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	
Proposed mitigation:	
Residual impacts:	
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	

Alternative 2:	Tree Mast (25m in height)
PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	Ecological aspect
Nature of impact:	Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects. Even though the site is located within a degraded ESA, the activity will have no impact on the ESA as the site is totally transformed from its natural state due to past development activities on the property.

Extent and duration of impact:	Local, Duration of construction phase
Consequence of impact or risk:	Negligible
Probability of occurrence:	Highly Unlikely
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	Highly Unlikely
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	Definite
Indirect impacts:	Insignificant
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation	Negligible
(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	Negligible Low (Highly Likely)
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	 The EMPr must be enforced and monitored by the Environmental Control Officer ("ECO"). The following measures should be implemented amongst others: The contractor shall restrict all his activities, materials, equipment and personnel to within the area specified/demarcated. No further encroachment onto the degraded ESA on site, construction activities to be clearly restricted to demarcated construction area. Construction material must be stored in areas designated by the site agent and in a neat and orderly manner and must not damage natural vegetation. The contractor must ensure that all structures, equipment, materials and facilities used or created on site for or during construction activities are removed once the project has been completed. The construction site must be cleared and cleaned to the satisfaction of the ECO. Immediately after the demolishing of the campsite, the contractor shall restore the site to its original state, paying particular attention to its appearance relative to the general landscape.
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	Implementation of the EMPr.
Proposed mitigation:	 The EMPr must be enforced and monitored by the Environmental Control Officer ("ECO"). The following measures should be implemented amongst others: The contractor shall restrict all his activities, materials, equipment and personnel to within the area specified/demarcated. No further encroachment onto the degraded ESA on site, construction activities to be clearly restricted to demarcated construction area. Construction material must be stored in areas designated by the site agent and in a neat and orderly manner and must not damage natural vegetation. The contractor must ensure that all structures, equipment, materials and facilities used or created on site for or during construction activities are removed once the project has been completed. The construction site must be cleared and cleaned to the satisfaction of the ECO. Immediately after the demolishing of the campsite, the contractor shall restore the site to its original state, paying particular attention to its appearance relative to the general landscape. Construction only to take during normal working hours.
Residual impacts:	Negligible
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	Negligible
Significance rating of impact after mitigation	
(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Negligible
OPERATIONAL PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects during the operational phase.
Nature of impact:	

Extent and duration of impact:	
Consequence of impact or risk:	
Probability of occurrence:	
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	
Indirect impacts:	
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	
Proposed mitigation:	
Residual impacts:	
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure',
	as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact:	as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
•	as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact:	as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact:	as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk:	as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable	as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Degree to which the impact can be reversed: Indirect impacts: Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Degree to which the impact can be reversed: Indirect impacts:	as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Degree to which the impact can be reversed: Indirect impacts: Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation	as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Degree to which the impact can be reversed: Indirect impacts: Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Degree to which the impact can be reversed: Indirect impacts: Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Degree to which the impact can be reversed: Indirect impacts: Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Degree to which the impact can be managed:	as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Degree to which the impact can be reversed: Indirect impacts: Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) Degree to which the impact can be managed: Degree to which the impact can be managed:	as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Degree to which the impact can be reversed: Indirect impacts: Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Degree to which the impact can be managed: Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.

Alternative 3:	Lattice Mast (25m in height)
PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	Noise Low-negative
Nature of impact:	Noise impact from machinery on the property and neighbouring residential properties during construction.
Extent and duration of impact:	Local, Duration of construction phase
Consequence of impact or risk:	Localised noise disturbance on the site
Probability of occurrence:	Probable
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	Negligible
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	Definite
Indirect impacts:	Slight increase in localised ambient noise levels (negligible)
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	Low-negative
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Medium - Low negative
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	Medium
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	 The following measures should be implemented amongst others: The Contractor shall endeavour to keep noise generating activities to a minimum. Construction only to take place during normal working hours. No construction on Sundays. Compliance with the appropriate legislation with respect to noise shall be mandatory.

	Implementation of the EMPr.
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	Medium
Proposed mitigation:	 The following measures should be implemented amongst others: The Contractor shall endeavour to keep noise generating activities to a minimum. Construction only to take place during normal working hours. No construction on Sundays. Compliance with the appropriate legislation with respect to noise shall be mandatory. Implementation of the EMPr.
Residual impacts:	Negligible
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	Low - negative
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) OPERATIONAL PHASE	Low - negative
Potential impact and risk:	The activity is not expected to have any noise impacts during the operational phase.
Nature of impact:	
Extent and duration of impact:	
Consequence of impact or risk:	
Probability of occurrence:	
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	
Indirect impacts:	
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	
Proposed mitigation:	
Residual impacts:	
Cumulative impact post mitigation: Significance rating of impact after mitigation	
(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure', as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact:	
Extent and duration of impact:	
Consequence of impact or risk:	
Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable	
loss of resources:	
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	
Indirect impacts:	
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	
Proposed mitigation:	
Residual impacts:	
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	

Alternative 3:	Lattice Mast (25m in height)
PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	Visual impact: Medium-negative
Nature of impact:	Unsightly views due to construction site
Extent and duration of impact:	Local, Duration of construction phase

Consequence of impact or risk:	Localised visual disturbance on site
Probability of occurrence:	Definite
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable	Negligible
loss of resources:	
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	Low
Indirect impacts: Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	Low-Medium negative
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation	
(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Medium - negative
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	Medium
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	 Visual impact mitigation measures will be dealt with in the Environmental Management Programme ("EMPr"). The EMPr must be enforced and monitored by the Environmental Control Officer ("ECO"). The following measures should be implemented amongst others: The contractor shall restrict all his activities, materials, equipment and personnel to within the area specified/demarcated. Construction material must be stored in areas designated by the site agent and in a neat and orderly manner and must not damage natural vegetation. The contractor must ensure that all structures, equipment, materials and facilities used or created on site for or during construction activities are removed once the project has been completed. The construction site must be cleared and cleaned to the satisfaction of the ECO. Immediately after the demolishing of the campsite, the contractor shall restore the site to its original state, paying particular attention to its appearance relative to the general landscape. Construction only to take place during normal working hours.
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	Probable
Proposed mitigation:	 The following measures should be implemented amongst others: The Contractor shall endeavour to keep noise generating activities to a minimum. Construction only to take place during normal working hours. No construction on Sundays. Compliance with the appropriate legislation with respect to noise shall be mandatory. Implementation of the EMPr.
Residual impacts:	Very Low-negative
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	Low - negative
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) OPERATIONAL PHASE	Low - negative
Potential impact and risk:	Visual impact: Medium-negative
Nature of impact:	The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an agricultural area of Rawsonville.
Extent and duration of impact:	Local, Permanent
Consequence of impact or risk:	Low-Medium negative
Probability of occurrence:	Definite
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	Low - negative
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	Very Likely
Indirect impacts:	Negligible (Possibly during the harvesting season and holiday season).
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	Medium - negative
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Medium - negative
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	Highly Unlikely (Low)
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	Medium
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	Medium
Proposed mitigation:	 Restrict the height of the mast to only 25m; Construct a lattice mast; and Implementation of the EMPr.
Residual impacts:	Very Low - negative
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	Very Low - negative

Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Low - negative
DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure', as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact:	
Extent and duration of impact:	
Consequence of impact or risk:	
Probability of occurrence:	
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	
Indirect impacts:	
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	
Proposed mitigation:	
Residual impacts:	
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	

Alternative 3:	Lattice Mast (25m in height)
PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	Socio-Economic (Low - Positive)
Nature of impact:	Temporary jobs will be created in the construction industry during the construction phase.
Extent and duration of impact:	Local, Duration of construction phase
Consequence of impact or risk:	Low - Positive (temporary job creation)
Probability of occurrence:	Definite
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	N/A. This is a positive impact
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	N/A. This is a positive impact
Indirect impacts:	Very - Low - Positive (contribute to temporary construction jobs).
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	Low - Positive
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Low – Positive
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	N/A. This is a positive impact. Temporary jobs will be created during the construction phase.
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	N/A. This is a positive impact. Temporary jobs will be created during the construction phase. No mitigation measures required.
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	N/A. This is a positive impact. Temporary jobs will be created during the construction phase. No mitigation measures required.
Proposed mitigation:	N/A. This is a positive impact. Temporary jobs will be created during the construction phase. No mitigation measures required.
Residual impacts:	Low – Positive (Temporary jobs to be created during the construction phase).
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	Low – Positive
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Low – Positive
OPERATIONAL PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	Socio-economic aspect (Medium – Positive)
Nature of impact:	The proposed activity will increase the coverage of telecommunications services, including providing a more reliable and wider coverage. The proposed mast will have a positive impact on the socio-economics of the surrounding area as it will provide communication users with the option of faster internet coverage, cheaper cellular rates and available, stable network coverage which could be critical in the case of an emergency.
Extent and duration of impact:	Regional, Long-term
Consequence of impact or risk:	Please see above. The activity will increase the cellular network coverage within the area. Medium – Positive
Probability of occurrence:	Highly Probable
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	N/A. Unlikely to cause any loss of resources. This is a positive impact.

Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	N/A. This is a positive impact.
Indirect impacts:	Low – Positive indirect impacts associated with the activity. Improved mobile network coverage within the surrounding area.
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	Medium - Positive
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Low – Positive
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	N/A. This is a positive impact that will improve the cellular network coverage within the surrounding area.
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	N/A. This is a positive impact.
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	N/A. This is positive impact.
Proposed mitigation:	N/A. This is a positive impact. No mitigation measures required.
Residual impacts:	Low - Positive
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	Low - Positive
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Low - Positive
DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure', as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact:	
Extent and duration of impact:	
Consequence of impact or risk:	
Probability of occurrence:	
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	
Indirect impacts:	
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	
Proposed mitigation:	
Residual impacts:	
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	

Alternative 3:	Lattice Mast (25m in height)
PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	Heritage and Cultural-Historic Aspects – Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on heritage and cultural-historic aspects.
Nature of impact:	The loss of heritage, cultural or historic aspects during construction.
Extent and duration of impact:	Local, Duration of construction phase
Consequence of impact or risk:	Very Low - negative
Probability of occurrence:	Highly unlikely, no cultural or historic aspects of significance were identified on site.
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	Highly Unlikely
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	N/A
Indirect impacts:	Negligible; activity unlikely to have a negative indirect impact
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	Very Low - Negative
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Very - Low Negative
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	Low (Likely)
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	 If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock art and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC. Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these

	should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed. Implementation of the EMPr.
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	Medium (Likely)
Proposed mitigation:	 If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock art and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC. Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed. Implementation of the EMPr.
Residual impacts:	Negligible
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	Very Low - Negative
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) OPERATIONAL PHASE	Negligible
Potential impact and risk:	No heritage or cultural aspects are expected to be impacted during the operational phase since no cultural or historic aspects were identified on site.
Nature of impact:	
Extent and duration of impact:	
Consequence of impact or risk:	
Probability of occurrence:	
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	
Indirect impacts:	
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	
Proposed mitigation:	
Residual impacts:	
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE	
	The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure',
Potential impact and risk:	as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact:	
Extent and duration of impact:	
Consequence of impact or risk:	
Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	
Indirect impacts:	
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	
Proposed mitigation:	
Proposed mitigation: Residual impacts:	

Significance rating of impact after mitigation	
(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	

Alternative 3:	Lattice Mast (25m in height)
PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	Ecological aspect
Nature of impact:	Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects. Even though the site is located within a degraded ESA, the activity will have no impact on the ESA as the site is totally transformed from its natural state due to past development activities on the property.
Extent and duration of impact:	Local, Duration of construction phase
Consequence of impact or risk:	Negligible
Probability of occurrence:	Highly Unlikely
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	Highly Unlikely
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	Definite
Indirect impacts:	Insignificant
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	Negligible
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Negligible
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	Low (Highly Likely) The EMPr must be enforced and monitored by the Environmental Control
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	 others: The contractor shall restrict all his activities, materials, equipment and personnel to within the area specified/demarcated. No further encroachment onto the degraded ESA on site, construction activities to be clearly restricted to demarcated construction area. Construction material must be stored in areas designated by the site agent and in a neat and orderly manner and must not damage natural vegetation. The contractor must ensure that all structures, equipment, materials and facilities used or created on site for or during construction activities are removed once the project has been completed. The construction site must be cleared and cleaned to the satisfaction of the ECO. Immediately after the demolishing of the campsite, the contractor shall restore the site to its original state, paying particular attention to its appearance relative to the general landscape. Construction only to take place during normal working hours.
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	Medium
Proposed mitigation:	 The EMPr must be enforced and monitored by the Environmental Control Officer ("ECO"). The following measures should be implemented amongst others: The contractor shall restrict all his activities, materials, equipment and personnel to within the area specified/demarcated. No further encroachment onto the degraded ESA on site, construction activities to be clearly restricted to demarcated construction area. Construction material must be stored in areas designated by the site agent and in a neat and orderly manner and must not damage natural vegetation. The contractor must ensure that all structures, equipment, materials and facilities used or created on site for or during construction activities are removed once the project has been completed. The construction site must be cleared and cleaned to the satisfaction of the ECO. Immediately after the demolishing of the campsite, the contractor shall restore the site to its original state, paying particular attention to its appearance relative to the general landscape.

	 Construction only to take during normal working hours. Implementation of the EMPr.
Residual impacts:	Negligible
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	Negligible
Significance rating of impact after mitigation	Negligible
(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) OPERATIONAL PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects during the operational phase.
Nature of impact:	
Extent and duration of impact:	
Consequence of impact or risk:	
Probability of occurrence:	
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	
Indirect impacts:	
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	
Proposed mitigation:	
Residual impacts:	
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE	
Potential impact and risk:	The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure', as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.
Nature of impact:	
Extent and duration of impact:	
Consequence of impact or risk:	
Probability of occurrence:	
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	
Indirect impacts:	
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	
Degree to which the impact can be avoided:	
Degree to which the impact can be managed:	
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	
Proposed mitigation:	
Residual impacts:	
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	