Wagenboomrivier Irrigation Board

Darlingbrug Irrigation Board

PROPOSED WATER OFFTAKE WEIR on PORTION 2 OF THE FARM SNELRIVIER 602, WORCESTER

PRELIMINARY TECHNICAL REPORT V1.2

WATER USE LICENSE APPLICATION

A requirement of Section 21 of the National Water Act (36 of 1998)

February 2018







WATSAN Africa

WABOOM RIVER WULA

Index

1	Introduction	3
2	Legal Framework	4
3	Waboom River Valley	5
4	Waboom River Catchment	6
5	Agriculture	7
6	Climate	8
7	Quaternary Catchment	9
8	Waboom River Management Classes	9
9	Present Ecological State	10
10	Ecological Importance and Sensitivity	11
11	Hydrology	11
12	Ecological Reserve	11
13	Sampling Points	12
13.1	Upper Sampling Point	13
13.2	Lower Sampling Point	15
13.3	Cobble Bed	17
14	Biomonitoring Results	17
15	Water Quality	19
16	Present Ecological State	20
17	Ecological Importance and Sensitivity	22
18	Resource Economics	26
19	The New Weir	28
20	The Pipe	29
21	Water Divides	30
22	Potential Impact	31
23	Evaluation of Options	32
24	Risk Assessment	32
25	Impact Assessment	35
26	Offset	38
27	Waboom Mountain Catchment	38
28	Conclusions	39
29	References	40
30	Appendix	41
31	Declaration	46
32	Résumé	47

1 Introduction

The Waboom River is a tributary of the upper Breede River in the Western Cape between the towns off Worcester and Wolseley. It rises high on the peaks of the Waaihoek Mountains and is heavily utilised for the irrigation of an extensive agricultural industry.

Water for irrigation is to be taken from the Snel River with the following structures:

- The first option is to construct a wall right across the stream just upstream of the bridge at the upper sampling point. The entire stream will be channelled into an off-stream division box where water will be taken out of the stream for irrigation. This will have to be a sturdy structure able to withstand the onslaught of boulders and stones as they are washed down the Snel River during floods.
- The second option is a much bigger structure in the same locality with an instream division box.
- Another aspect of the project is the construction of a 2.8km long pipeline for the conveyance of water down the valley. This pipeline is to be constructed on either the left of right bank of the river, as available servitudes allow. Where no servitude is available, the pipe will have to follow the river bed.

For two construction scenarios as well as for the planned pipeline the required Risk Matrix as prescribed in Government Notice 267 of 24 March 2017 will have to be completed and submitted, along with the Water Use Licence Application (WULA). The WULA requires a Technical Report as well.

The following firms have been appointed to conduct the work:

- The engineering firm Sarel Bester Engineers CC of Ceres was appointed by the Wagenboomrivier Irrigation Board and the Darlingbrug Irrigation Board as consulting engineers for the envisaged project.
- Sarel Bester Engineers appointed EnviroAfrica to conduct the environmental impact assessment.
- EnviroAfrica, in turn, appointed Watsan Africa to produce the Technical Report, compile the Risk Matrix and to submit the WULA with the Breede Gouritz Catchment Management Agency (BGCMA).

According to the current legislative framework a certain volume of water is to be left in the river and not taken out for agriculture on any other acknowledged water use. The minimum volume of water that is to be left in a river known as the Ecological Reserve and is officially set according to a premeditated and elaborate methodology (Kleynhans, 1999). Likewise, rivers are classified according to a specific methodology to typify their conservation status. These aspects have been addressed in various Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS) documents.

A third decision-making tool is the Risk Matrix, which is addressed in this report. It is meant to aid the DWS to decide what level of approval is appropriate, a formal licence or a lesser form of approval such a General Authorisation or letter of consent.

2 Legal Framework

The proposed weir "triggers" sections of the National Water Act. These are the following:

S21 (c) Impeding of diverting the flow of a water course

The proposed construction of the weir and the diversion canals will have a permanent effect on the flow of the river.

S21 (i) Altering the bed, bank, course of characteristics of a water course.

The proposed weir will permanently change the characteristics of the river.

Government Notice 267 of 24 March 2017

Government Notice 1180 of 2002. Risk Matrix.

The Risk Matrix as published on the DWS official webpage must be completed and submitted along with the Water Use Licence Application (WULA). The outcome of this risk assessment determines if a letter of consent, a General Authorization or a License is required.

Government Notice 509 of 26 August 2016

An extensive set of regulations that apply to any development in a water course is listed in this government notice in terms of Section 24 of the NWA. These will have a profound bearing on the construction of the proposed weir in the Waboom River.

3 Waboom River Valley



Figure 1 Waboom River

The Waboom River is a tributary of the Breede River in the Western Cape. As the grow flies it is 9 km south of the town of Wolseley and along the R43 trunk road the distance is 16 km (Figure 1).

The upper reach is known as the Snel River ("snel" in Afrikaans means fast), probably because of the velocity of the water running down the steep slope of the Waaihoekberge (Waaihoek Mountains).

The Waboom River Valley is in one of the most picturesque areas in South Africa and probably in the world, with a high tourist potential. Likewise, it has cultural significance,

with a rich heritage left by generations of farmers, wine makers, naturalists and researchers.

4 Waboom River Catchment



Figure 2 Waboom River Catchment

The upper catchment is wedged into a deep bowl in the mountains of the Matroosberg Mountain Catchment (Figure 2). The distance from the top of the catchment to the confluence with the Breede River is only 11km, following ground contours. The circumference is 32 km surface area is 37 km². It is by all measures a small sub-catchment and is one of many along the Breede River.

The highest point in the sub-catchment is 2005 m above sea level. The confluence with the Breede River is only at 226m above sea level. This difference in elevation over such a short distance is responsible for the dramatic landscape (Figure 3).



Figure 3 Waboom River Landscape

5 Agriculture

Apart from the upper parts against the very steep slopes of the mountains, the catchment area is developed into agricultural land. Grapes for the wine industry and fruit is extensively farmed with every available patch of land groomed into high-yielding crops. The Waboom River valley forms a part of a much larger agricultural industry all along the Breede River. Farms have been in existence since the early days of human settlement in the Western Cape and some farms have been family property for literally a hundred years and more.

Agriculture is very much dependent on irrigation. Most of this is drip irrigation that utilises the latest technology.

The natural environment is heavily impacted upon. The Cape Fynbos is still evident up against the high mountain slopes, but down in the valley just about all of it has made way for large-scale farming. Likewise, the aquatic environment is largely affected over-abstraction. The river has been straightened and deepened to decrease flow resistance. The banks have been lined with berms of cobbles (Figure 4). This was done to prevent flooding of the vineyards and orchards. The river is not allowed to meander naturally.



Figure 4 Berms of Cobbles

The Waboom River is impacted upon by agricultural return flow. The return flow contains nitrogen, phosphorus and insecticides that can have deleterious effects on aquatic life.



6 Climate

Figure 5 Climate

Wolseley normally receives about 575mm of rain per year and because it receives most of its rainfall during winter it has a Mediterranean climate. Figure 5 shows the average rainfall values for Wolseley per month. It receives the lowest rainfall (10mm) in January and the highest (107mm) in June. The monthly distribution of average daily maximum temperatures (centre chart below) shows that the average midday temperatures for Wolseley range from 16.7°C in July to 29.7°C in February. The region is the coldest during July when the mercury drops to 4.7°C on average during the night. Consult the chart below (lower right) for an indication of the monthly variation of average minimum daily temperatures.

The rainfall on the high peaks and ridges of the Waaihoek Mountains is much higher and can vary between 1500 and 2000mm per year. The Waaihoek and adjacent Matroosberg are known for its heavy snowfalls. These occur several times during the winter as the cold fronts and associated weather systems move from west to east over the country.

7 Quaternary Catchment

According to the classification of the DWS (Anonymous, 2017) the Waboom River is in the Upper Breede, in the H10F quaternary catchment.

The DWS established localities or sites in rivers where the ecological reserve, ecological category and environmental water requirement was established. These locations were name hydrological nodes. The node of importance for this report is in the Waboom River just upstream of its confluence with the Breede River. The node was allocated the code Niv6.

8 Waboom River Management Classes

According to the DWS (Ann., 2013) the upper third of the Waboom River was assigned the code Br.Gr.2a and was classified as Management Class 1, which signified that it was minimally used at the time.

The lower two thirds of the Waboom River were assigned the code Br.Gr.2 and was classified as Management Class 3, which indicated at the time that the river was heavily used.

9 Present Ecological State (PES).

Table 1 Scores for ecological conditions and habitat descriptions (Louw & Kleynhans,2007, from Ann. 2012)

Ecological Category	Ecological Condition % score	Description of the habitat
A A/B	92 - 100 87 - 92	Still in a reference condition
B B/C	82 - 87 77 - 82	Slightly modified from a reference condition. A small change in natural habitats and biota has taken place but the basic ecosystem function is essentially unchanged
C C/D	62 - 77 57 - 62	Moderately modified from the reference condition. Loss of natural habitat and biota has occurred, but the basic ecosystem functions are still predominantly unchanged
D D/E	42 - 57 37 - 42	Largely modified from the reference condition. A large loss natural habitat, biota and basic ecosystem function has occurred.
E E/F	22 - 37 17 - 22	Seriously modified from the reference condition. The loss of natural habitat, biota and basic ecosystem function is extensive.
F	0 - 17	Critically / Extremely modified from the reference condition. The system has been critically modified with an almost complete loss of natural habitat and biota. In the worst instances basic ecosystem functions have been destroyed and the changes are irreversible.

According to the DWS (Ann. 2012), the PES of the Wabooms River was D/E at the time. The officially recommended ecological category for the Wabooms River is D as well.

Evidently most tributaries of the Breede River have been assigned a D (Ann., 2012).

10 Ecological Importance and Sensitivity (EIS)

The EIS was developed by Dr Neels Kleynhans of the DWS.

"Ecological Importance (EI) refers to the diversity, rarity, uniqueness of habitats and biota and it reflects the importance of protecting these ecological attributes from a local, regional and international perspective."

"Ecological Sensitivity (ES) refers to the ability of an ecosystem to tolerate disturbances and to recover from impacts. The more sensitive a system is, the lower the tolerance will be to various forms of alterations and disturbances. This serves as a valuable indicator of the degree to which a water resource can be utilised without putting its ecological sustainability at risk and the level of protection the system requires."

The EI of the Waboom River has been rated as "Low".

The ES has been rated as "Moderate".

11 Hydrology

The Hydrology of the Waboom River is summarised in Table 1 in the Appendix, as it was copied out of the DWS desktop study in 2009.

The mean annual runoff (MAR) was set as 8.362 million m³. This flow was highly variable, as one standard deviation was 76.3% of the mean flow. The Waboom River, as other rivers in the region, can be a raving torrent during flood conditions, only to be a mere trickle the year thereafter.

In fact, for 10 of the 12 months of the year the standard deviation is greater than the mean value, meaning that the river can be dry at times. Under natural drought conditions the river could have been dry some years for 4 or 5 months at point of discharge at the confluence with the Breede River.

12 Ecological Reserve

The desktop study rendered an Ecological Water Requirement (EWR) of 17.51% of the MAR. This is a volume of 1.464 million m³ (Table 1, Appendix). This is to maintain an Ecological Category D (Table 1).

The drought flow is only 10.29 % of the MAR or 0.862 million m³. This volume of water must be allowed to flow down the river right down to the point of discharge. This volume is not to be abstracted under any circumstances, dry weather flow or even drought flow, according to the National Water Act, and should always be left in the river.

13 Sampling Points



Figure 6 Sampling Points

The location of the sampling points is depicted in Figure 6 and the coordinates and elevation is given in Table 2.

Sampling point	Latitude	Longitude	Elevation masl
Upper	33°29' 52.46"	19°16'48.18"	541
Lower	33°30' 34.03"	19°15'27.40"	391
Cobble bed	33°31' 09.28"	19°14'07.53"	308

Table 2 Location of sampling point

13.1 Upper Sampling Point (Figure 7)



Figure 7 Upper sampling point

The upper mountain stream is a cobble bed up against the mountain side with fast flowing water. The water was clear and does not have the vegetation-stained brown colour that is so typical of waters in the mountain Fynbos. The incline is steep, with sandstone bedrock, stones in and out of current and a small pool with turbulent water. The vegetation consisted of a few patches of moss and one small patch of threat-like algae.

The stream was approximately 5m wide. The depth varied from a couple of centimetres in the riffles to a meter in the pool. There was only a little gravel on the bottom next to the one side of the stream.

Adjacent and downstream of the sampling point is a one-track bridge (Figure 8). Inside the sampling point was a take-off point for irrigation. This was a 100mm pipe fitted with filter to keep out debris.



Figure 8 Bridge downstream of upper sampling point

The riparian zone was heavily infested with alien invasive trees such as black wattle (*Acacia mearnsii*), *Eucalyptus* gum trees (Figure 9) and thorny brambles (*Rubus fruticosus*).



Figure 9 Eucalypts

13.2 Lower Sampling Point (Figure 10)



Figure 10 Lower sampling point

The lower sampling point can be typified as a fast-flowing lower mountain stream of approximately 5m wide. The incline more gradual than up the mountain. The water was clear. The extensive cobble bed had some large rocks that can go through as bedrock, in and out of the current. There was much emerging vegetation (sedge *Cyperus denudatus*) growing right into the stream. The depth varied from a few centimetres to about 10cm in the deeper parts of the cobble bed. There was a patch of gravel of less than a m².

Downstream and adjacent to the sampling point was a road bridge with ample concrete foundations (Figure 11).

The riparian zone was much degraded, with the sides banked up with cobbles to form berms along much of the stream. The stream was straightened out since the start of farming in the area for literally a hundred years and more to allow for formal agriculture. The vineyards and orchards were right up to the banks of the stream. Much of the banks were taken over by black wattle, interspersed by the indigenous taaibos trees (*Searsia* species).

The SASS5 score is, against expectations, rather low. There was adequate habitat of ample variability to allow for a high score. The low score indicates a deterioration in water quality down the Wabooms River that can be attributed to agricultural return flow, which was evident all along the river.



Figure 11 Bridge downstream of lower sampling point

The spraying of crops with tractor driven spraying equipment was in full swing in the orchards. The costs of laboratory testing for insecticides for a project like this one is prohibitive. It remains a question if this could be the absence of dragon fly larvae, with the exception of a number of Gomphidae in the gravel of the sample, as the habitat was suitable for these insects.

13.3 Cobble Bed



Figure 12 Cobble bed

Downstream from the lower sampling point, where a road crosses the Wabooms River, there is no water at all in the river. It is just a dry cobble bed (Figure 12). All of the water is abstracted for agricultural purposes and none is left in the river.

14 Biomonitoring Results

The data of Table 3 is illustrated in a graph format (Figure 13) that is often used to evaluate biomonitoring results. The data carried over from the SASS5 score sheets in the appendix.

Parameter	Upper	Lower
SASS5 Score Number of Taxa ASPT	100 14 7.1	40 7 5.7

Table 3	Biomonitoring	results
---------	---------------	---------





Integrity Class	Description
A	Pristine; not impacted
B	Very Good; slightly impacted
C	Good; measurably impacted with most ecological functioning intact
D	Fair; impacted with some loss of ecological functioning
E	Poor; loss of most ecological function
F	Very Poor; loss of all ecological function

Figure 13 Biomonitoring Results

At the upper sampling point the Snel River is a small mountain stream that lacks the wide variety of habitats of a larger stream in similar environments where biomonitoring scores can be very high. Hence the score of 100 indicated a healthy aquatic environment with an excellent biodiversity for such a small stream. At the upper sampling point was already some human impact, with a house higher up the mountain and more water take-off points. According the high SAS5 score this impact was limited.

There is a marked drop in the SASS5 score as well as in the ASPT from the upper to the lower sampling station. This is despite the lower sampling station having a good flow of water and a wider variety of habitat during the site visit.

According to Figure 1 the biodiversity at the upper sampling station is excellent with little if any human impact (class A). The biodiversity at the lower sampling station is good, but with some impact (class B). Without agriculture it would most likely be excellent as well at the lower sampling point.

The very lower sampling point was a dry cobble bed devoid of aquatic macroinvertebrates and no SASS5 score at all, as the available water was abstracted for irrigation and none was left for the river.

15 Water Quality

Parameter		Upper Sampling Point	Lower Sampling Point
Temperature Oxygen pH Electrical conductivity Ammonia Nitrite Nitrate Total Nitrogen Total Phosphorus	°C mg/l mS/m mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l	13.9 9.3 7.2 16 0.3 0.01 >0.36 13 <0.01	14.6 9.4 7.9 51 0.3 0.01 >0.36 10 <0.01

Table 4 Water Quality

The ammonia concentration (Table 4) was low at both upper and lower sampling stations. Evidently the input of animal excrement and fertiliser remnants as ammonia was insignificant. If any ammonia entered the system, it was quickly broken down by the processes of nitrification and denitrification.

However, the total nitrogen concentration was very high at both sampling stations. This was most likely because of agricultural return flow. It is difficult to tell how much of this was the end-product of the denitrification process. The nitrogen concentration was already happening at the upper sampling station, even though there were many more hectares of fruit trees and vineyards further down the valley.

Phosphorus binds to the soils and is not easily leached out. Hence very little was detected in the water at both sampling stations. This corresponds the farming practice that a huge dose of phosphorus is added to the soil when trees are planted, but thereafter very little is added.

The finger print of a high nitrogen concentration and a low phosphorus concentration has been encountered at many streams that WATSAN investigated. This is typical for streams in agricultural areas.

The overall water quality was good. It did not explain the lowering of the SASS5 score at the lower sampling station. The presence of insecticide in the water might have been the reason. This is an expensive laboratory analysis, for which was not budgeted for during the planning of the project.

16 Present Ecological State (PES)

The PES and EIS are protocols that have been produced by Dr Neels Kleynhans in 1999 of the then DWAF to assess river reaches. The scores given are solely that of the practitioner and are based on expert opinion.

Table 5	Upper Sampling	Point	Habitat
	Intogrity		

Integrity						
				Maximum		
Instream	score	weight	Product	Score	Remark	
Water Abstraction	23	14	322	350		
Flow modification	23	13	322	325		
Bed modification	24	13	312	325		
Channel modification	24	13	312	325		
Water quality	23	14	322	350		
Inundation	23	10	230	250		
Exotic macrophytes	24	9	216	225		
Exotic fauna	25	8	200	200		
Solid waste disposal	24	6	144	150		
max score		100	2380	2500		
% of total			95.20			
Class			Α		Near Natural	
Riperian Zone						
Water abstraction	23	13	322	325		
Inundation	22	11	242	275		
Flow modification	21	12	252	300		
Water quality	24	13	312	325		
Indigenous vegetation removal Exotic vegetation	2	13	26	325		
encroachment	1	12	12	300		
Bank erosion	15	14	210	350		
Channel modification	10	12	120	300		
		100	1496	2500		
% of total			59.8			

D

Class

Largely modified

Table 6 Lower Sampling Point Habitat Integrity

				Maximum	
Instream	score	weight	Product	Score	Remark
Water Abstraction	12	14	168	350	
Flow modification	12	13	156	325	
Bed modification	10	13	130	325	
Channel modification	8	13	104	325	
Water quality	15	14	225	350	
Inundation	15	10	150	250	
Exotic macrophytes	10	9	90	225	
Exotic fauna	25	8	200	200	
Solid waste disposal	18	6	108	150	
max score		100	1331	2500	
% of total			53.2		
Class			D		Largely Modified
Riperian Zone					
Water abstraction	12	13	156	325	
Inundation	12	11	132	275	
Flow modification	10	12	120	300	
Water quality	15	13	195	325	
Indigenous vegetation removal Exotic vegetation	2	13	26	325	
encroachment	1	12	12	300	
Bank erosion	15	14	210	350	
Channel modification	1	12	12	300	
		100	773	2500	
% of total			30.9		
					Extensively Modif
Class			Е		,

fied

Table 7 Cobble Bed Sampling Point Habitat Integrity

				Maximum	
Instream	score	weight	Product	Score	Remark
Water Abstraction	1	14	14	350	
Flow modification	1	13	13	325	
Bed modification	10	13	130	325	
Channel modification	8	13	104	325	
Water quality	1	14	14	350	
Inundation	1	10	10	250	
Exotic macrophytes	5	9	216	225	
Exotic fauna	25	8	200	200	
Solid waste disposal	18	6	108	150	
max score		100	809	2500	
% of total			32.4		
Class			Е		Extensively Modified
Riperian Zone					
Water abstraction	1	13	13	325	
Inundation	1	11	1	275	
Flow modification	1	12	12	300	
Water quality	1	13	13	325	
Indigenous vegetation removal Exotic vegetation	2	13	26	325	
encroachment	1	12	12	300	
Bank erosion	10	14	140	350	
Channel modification	5	12	60	300	
		100	277	2500	
% of total			11.1		

Class

Critically Modified

F

Category	Description	% of maximum score
A	Unmodified, natural	90 – 100
В	Largely natural with few modifications. A small change in natural habitats and biota, but the ecosystem function is unchanged	80 – 89
С	Moderately modified. A loss and change of the natural habitat and biota, but the ecosystem function is predominantly unchanged	60 – 79
D	Largely modified. A significant loss of natural habitat, biota and ecosystem function.	40 – 59
E	Extensive modified with loss of habitat, biota and ecosystem function	20 – 39
F	Critically modified with almost complete loss of habitat, biota and ecosystem function. In worse cases ecosystem function has been destroyed and changes are irreversible	0 - 19

Table 8 Habitat Integrity according to Kleynhans, 1999

Table 9 Summary of Habitat Assessment

Sampling Station	Instream	Riparian
Upper	A Near Pristine	D Largely Modified
Lower	D Largely Modified	D Largely Modified
Cobble Bed	E Extensively Modified	F Critically Modified

The habitat assessment paints a different picture as that of the DWS of 2009. (Appendix). The entire river from top to bottom is assigned a D rating, according to DWS. According to the current instream assessment the mountain stream high against the incline is still near-pristine. From there, downhill, as water abstraction and

agricultural return flow impacts on the stream, the rating quickly declines to a D. Further downhill, where all water has been abstracted, the rating drops to E.

This picture presents itself along other tributaries of the Breede River as well. An example is the Jan du Toits River, on which WATSAN Africa has done field work as well.

The upper riparian zone is heavily overgrown with black wattle and blue gum trees, with only a few indigenous bushes left, hence the D rating. This situation continues down the river end then deteriorates in the lower reaches as the absence of water drives the rating down to E.

17 Ecological Importance and Sensitivity (EIS)

The Ecological Importance (EI) is based on the presence of especially fish species that are endangered on a local, regional or national level.

Table 10. Ecological Importance and Sensitivity Categories (EISC) according to endangered organisms (Kleynhans, 1999.

Category	Description
1	One species or taxon are endangered on a local scale
2	More than one species or taxon are rare or endangered on a local scale
3	More than one species or taxon are rare or endangered on a provincial or regional scale
4	One or more species or taxa are rare or endangered on a national scale (Red Data)

According to Dr Martine Jordaan of Cape Nature the indigenous species of fish that can potentially be present are the following:

Sandelia capensis Galaxias zebratus Pseudobarbus burchelli

P burchelli is a red data species and is endemic in only certain rivers of the Western Cape. It is endangered on a national and even international scale. This leaves the Waboom River as most important, where the highest form of protection should be applied.

S. capensis is widely distributed throughout the province but is characterized with localised populations with unique genotypes. As many of these fish have been recorded during site visits, probably with such a unique genotype, the Waboom River must be nothing but important.

S. capensis is widely distributed as well.

The Ecological Sensitivity (ES) refers to the potential of the river to bounce back to an ecological condition closer to the situation prior to human impact. The upper reaches of the catchment are near-pristine, but the lower part is heavily impacted upon. Yet, if the river is left to its own devises, it would probably recover, as many rivers have shown to be able to recover.

When the river is dry, either because of the natural fluctuation is seasonal rainfall or the abstraction of water for agricultural purposes, fish and macroinvertebrates disappear. The SASS5 score will drop as the flow decreases and eventually go to zero when the river dries up.

However, fish re-appears and macroinvertebrates in the freshly flooded river following heavy rainfall in the mountains as recruitment takes place from the upper river reaches. Recruitment of macroinvertebrates occurs as flying insects colonise the newly available habitat. This phenomenon has been recorded in other similar rivers as the Snel River, such as the Jan du Toit River (Van Driel, 2017).

In conclusion, the Snel River is not sensitive to dry conditions and will predictably recover as the flow of water returns. If an adequate volume of water is allowed to flow down the river, this would happen all the way to the confluence.

The instream biodiversity would benefit if agricultural return flow is better controlled. Recovery would probably be slow, as agrochemicals are leached out of the system.

The area carries a vested agricultural industry that in effect destroyed most of the riparian zone. It does not seem realistic to expect that the berms will ever be removed, the river be allowed to naturally meander and that the natural vegetation will be replanted. The almost non-existent riparian zone can nevertheless be categorised as most sensitive. It will not easily return to its former state, even if aided by a major rehabilitation program.

18 Resource Economics

The goods and services delivered by the environment, in this case the Waboom River, is a Resource Economics concept as adapted by Kotze *et al* (2009). The methodology was designed for the assessments of wetlands, but in the case of the Waboom River

the goods and services delivered are particularly applicable and important, hence it was decided to include it in the report.

The diagram (Figure 14) is an accepted manner to visually illustrate the resource economic footprint the river.

Goods & Services	Score
Flood attenuation Stream flow regulation Sediment trapping Phosphate trapping Nitrate removal Toxicant removal Erosion control	5 5 5 5 5 5 5
Carbon storage Biodiversity maintenance Water supply for human use Natural resources Cultivated food Cultural significance Tourism and recreation Education and research	5 5 2 5 3 4 3

Table 11. Goods and Services

0	Low
5	High



Figure 14. Resource Economics Footprint of the Waboom River

The star shape of Figure 1 is large and will probably catch the eyes of the decisionmakers. The aspects on the right-hand side of the star get full marks. The reason that the left-hand side has been somewhat marked down is that a small river up against a mountain side is probably of less importance to the tourism and recreation industry than the major attractions in the Western Cape.

19 The New Weir

The envisaged weir is located at the very same place as the upstream sampling point, adjacent and upstream of the bridge.

Two options are under consideration. The first entails a larger structure of 50m long across the Snel River, with an instream division box to distribute the water for irrigation to downstream users (Figure 1, Appendix).

The second option is a somewhat smaller structure, but still with a concrete wall across the river, but with the division box outside of the river bed (Figure 1, Appendix).

From the drawings is can be deducted that water destined for irrigation will be measured and taken from the stream through a set of calibrated V-notches, or a similar arrangement. The rest of the water will be let through a concrete flume and then over a Reno Mattress down the river.

20 The Pipe



Figure 15 Pipe

A pipe will convey the water from the weir downhill to another instream division structure (Figure 15).

There are two options for the pipe as well. This is because of land owners that do not wish to have a pipe on their land. Accessibility to land for the pipe must still be negotiated and finalised. Now, a pipe on the northern bank or on the southern bank of the Snel River must be considered (the blue and yellow lines on Figure 15). Where land is not available at all, the pipe will have to be constructed right in the bed of the river. This is through a short section below the envisaged weir.



Figure 16 Dividing Structure (Image Steven le Roux)

The structure is located just downstream of the lower sampling station (Figure 6, p12).

The distance from the proposed weir to the water divide is 2.6 km as the crow flies and some 3km following the river's meander.

Two options must be considered because some land owners are less inclined to have a pipeline over their properties. The routes of the two pipes are indicated on Figure 15 by a yellow and a blue line. It can be on the north or south bank of the river. At some localities the pipe will have to cross the river.

21 Water Divides

There is an existing pipe at the upper sampling station. This water off-take now is an existing legitimate water use. This pipe will be replaced by the smaller pipe in the dividing box at the envisaged weir (Figure 18 and 19, Appendix).

The bigger pipe in the proposed weir is the one that will go down all the way to the existing downstream water divide.

Twenty percent of the take-off from the upper weir will go into the smaller pipe (Figure 18). From there it will piped into an existing man hole, together with the water from a spring that decants next to river just upstream from the proposed weir site.

Eighty percent of the water will be piped with the larger of the pipes (Figure 18) to the lower water divide.

At the lower water divide the available water will by further divided in a 40 / 60 ratio to be distributed among the two irrigation boards.

There are a number of existing water users along the Waboom River with water offtakes in the river. The proposed weir and the associated pipeline is supposed to integrate these into a single water provision system, with these off-takes eliminated.

The volume of water for irrigation that will be taken at the upper weir is still to be determined by the decision-making authorities. This volume will be decided upon when, among other things, the Risk Matrix has been drafted.

The Risk Matrix

The volume of water that will be abstracted from the new water provision system in the Snel River will only be determined by the DWS after the Risk Matrix has been completed.

22 Potential Impact

The question now rises what impact must be addressed in the Risk Matrix.

If the water level drops because of abstraction in the upper reached of the Waboom River and its tributaries, it can be expected that the dry period lower down the river would be extended. Putting it is technical terms, the hydroperiod would be shortened.

Moreover, the dry zone will predictably creep higher up the river as abstraction increases.

This is not unlike the current situation. While there was a strong flow during the site visit at the upper sampling site, at the location where the weir is to be built, there was no flow lower down, with the cobble bed completely exposed downstream of the lower water divide.

The instream classification depends very much on the presence or absence of water in the river. At the upper sampling point the classification was A (Table 1, near natural), the lower sampling point yielded a D (largely modified) and the cobble bed was given a F (critically modified). With no water at the lower sampling point, the classification would predictably be lowered to F as well.

The theory is now put forward that the more water is abstracted, the higher the F classification would creep up the river.

This allows for the environmental risk to be determined. What is the environmental risk to the Waboom River upstream of the lower sampling point if more water is abstracted?

The paradigm is complicated by seasonality. During the dry season the river can be naturally dry much higher up the river than the lower sampling point. At many other tributaries of the Breede River the tributaries only flow during and shortly after heavy

rain. In these rivers the hydroperiod is rudimentary of what it was prior to abstraction. One such example is the Jan du Toit River. What is the risk that such a situation can develop in the Snel and Waboom River because of increased abstraction?

The impact of abstraction can be better assessed following hydrological modelling (Hughes et al). However, this is another project with a separate and substantial budget. The Risk Matrix will have to be completed with what Dr Neels Kleynhans and his so-workers left us to work with.

The Risk Matrix is completed under the assumption that the new draw-down of water from the envisaged weir at the upper sampling point would be to the level of the Ecological Reserve.

23 Evaluation of Options

The weir of option 1 is smaller and the water divide is outside of the riparian zone. A smaller impact can therefore be expected.

However, both options (Figure 1 and 1) involve the construction of a weir across the Snel River. This is the critical aspect of the entire exercise, both during the construction and the subsequent operational period during which water will be abstracted.

The volume of water that is to be abstracted will be the same for both options. The downstream impact will predictably be the same.

For these reasons no distinction is being made between the possible impacts of the two options. For all practical reasons the impacts are the same.

Likewise, the two options for the position of the pipeline have similar impacts. The riparian zone on both banks of the river is denaturalised. The construction and the presence of a pipe would not bring about further and unacceptable deterioration. The pipe will be above the ground and supported by pedestals, where required. Where it crosses the river, the supporting pedestals will have to be outside of the river bed.

However, where the pipe is to be constructed in the river bed, the impact on the aquatic environment is high. There would be a permanent impact during the operational phase of the pipeline.

24 Risk Assessment

The assessment was carried out according to the interactive Excel table that is available on the DWS webpage. Table 12 is a replica of the Excel spreadsheet that has been adapted to fit the format of this report. The numbers in Table 12 (continued) represent the same activities as in Table 13.

The original risk assessment as on the DWS webpage has been submitted on the included DVD.

Table 12 Risk Assessment

No.	Activity	Aspect	Impact Significanc		Risk Rating
1.1	Clearing of the weir site	Removal of vegetation, loose rocks,	Destruction of riparian vegetation and aquatic habitat	56	Moderate
1.2		301	Downstream accumulation of sediments	46	Low
2	Stockpiling of building material	Material in riparian and aquatic habitat	Disturbance of habitat	38	Low
3	Construction of weir	Placing of concrete and reno matrass	Instream habitat destruction	152	Moderate
4.1	Abstraction of water	Lowering of water level	vering of Reduce aquatic 175 ter level biodiversity		High
4.2		Shorten hydroperiod	Reduce biodiversity	171.5	High
4.3		Upstream creep of dry river bed	Absence of macroinvertebrates	175.5	High
5	Clearing of building site	Rehabilitation	More sand and material in aquatic habitat	26	Low
6.1	Pipeline	Construction	Riparian zone	62	Moderate
6.2			Instream habitat	64	Moderate
6.3		Operational Phase	Riparian zone	112	Moderate
6.4			Instream habitat	124	Moderate

No	Flow	Water Quality	Habitat	Biota	Severity	Spatial scale	Duration	Conse- quence
$\begin{array}{c} 1.1 \\ 1.2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4.1 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.3 \\ 5 \\ 6.1 \\ 6.2 \\ 6.3 \\ 6.4 \end{array}$	2 1 4 5 5 5 1 2 2	2 2 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1	4 2 5 4 3 1 3 1 3 1 2	4 2 4 4 4 2 2 2 1 2	3 1.75 3.5 3.5 3.25 3.25 1.25 1.25 1.75 2 1 1.75	1 3 1 4 4 1 1 1 1	3 1 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	7 5.75 4.75 9.5 12.5 12.25 12.25 3.25 7.75 8 7 7.75

 Table 12 Continued
 Risk Rating

No	Frequency of activity	Frequency of impact	Legal issues	Detection	Likelihood	Significan- ce	Risk Rating
$\begin{array}{c} 1.1 \\ 1.2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4.1 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.3 \\ 5 \\ 6.1 \\ 6.2 \\ 6.3 \\ 6.4 \end{array}$	1 1 5 4 4 4 1 1 5 5	1 1 5 4 4 4 1 1 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	8 8 16 14 14 14 6 8 8 16 16	56 46 38 152 175 171.5 171.5 26 62 64 112 124	Moderate Low Low Moderate High High Low Moderate Moderate Moderate

The risk assessment is a requirement of Government Notice 1180 of 2002 in terms of the National Water Act (36 of 1998).

The scores that were given are solely that of the assessor, according to the guidelines on the DWS webpage.

If additional water is abstracted from the Snel River at the envisaged weir to the level of the Ecological Reserve, there is a high risk that more exposed cobble bed without any flow of water will creep up the river, that the hydroperiod would be significantly shortened and that aquatic biodiversity would be deleteriously affected.

There will be a moderate risk when the riparian vegetation and the loose rocks in the stream are going to be removed of damage to the habitat. This damage will be localised to the construction area. The risk to the downstream habitat is low.

During the construction phase instream habitat right at the construction site will be destroyed, with no downstream destruction, leaving the construction phase of the project with an overall moderate risk.

The risks attached to the construction and operation of the pipeline are moderate in all events, taking into consideration that a part of the pipeline will be located on the bed of the river and that the riparian zone is already denaturalised.

For high risk projects such as the envisaged Waboom River weir, the DWS is obliged under current legislation to issue a license with strict conditions. The absence of such a licence would constitute an illegal activity.

25 Impact Assessment

Some of the decision-making authorities prescribe an impact assessment according to a premeditated methodology (Table 13).

The main benefit of this exercise is that it allows for the evaluation of mitigation measures.

The proposed construction of the weir in the Snel River has a limited number of steps. Likewise, the methodology has been simplified to suit the construction of the weir.

- Local means the Snel River at the construction site (Table 13).
- Regional means downstream beyond the boundary of the site and down the Snel River into the Waboom River and beyond.
- Short term means the time during the construction phase.
- Long term means the operational period of the weir and the long-term water abstraction.
- Probability is expressed with a 5-point scale: Improbable, Low, Medium, High, Probable.
- The Confidence Level can either be low, medium or high. The same applies to Intensity and Significance.

Possible Impact		Extent	Duration	Intensity	Significance	Probability	Confidence
Clearing of the weir site	Without mitigation	Regional	Long term	High	Medium	Probable	High
	With mitigation	Local	Medium term	Low	Low	Probable	High
Stockpiling of building	Without mitigation	Regional	Short term	Medium	Medium	Probable	High
material	With mitigation	Local	Short term	Low	Low	Low	High
Construction of the weir	Without mitigation	Regional	Short term	High	High	Probable	High
	With mitigation	Local	Short term	Medium	Medium	Probable	High
Abstraction of water	Without mitigation	Regional	Long term	High	High	Probable	High
	With mitigation	Regional	Long term	Medium	Medium	Probable	Medium
Clearing of building site	Without mitigation	Regional	Short term	Medium	Medium	Probable	High
	With mitigation	Local	Short term	Low	Low	Low	High
Construction and	Without mitigation	Regional	Long term	High	High	Probable	High
operation of the pipeline	With mitigation	Regional	Long term	Medium	Medium	Probable	High

 Table 13 Summary of possible impacts

Mitigation Measures:

1. The clearing of the construction site involves the removal of the riparian vegetation and the loose rocks in the stream to expose the bedrock. This can be done minimally, as little as possible, without excessive impact. There will be

a permanent instream impact, but it can be limited to an area as small as possible.

- 2. Likewise, as little as possible building material can be stockpiled on the building site, with no more than is immediately required. Care should be taken that sand and other debris do not get washed into the river along with storm water.
- 3. If the actual construction of the weir is carried out with due consideration for the riparian and instream environment, the impact can be limited to the building site and prevented from having an impact further down the stream. The single most significant mitigation measure in this respect is the timing of the construction phase. It should be done during the dry season, February and March, when water levels in the Snel River are low.
- 4. The long-term abstraction of water will predictably have an impact that can only be mitigated to limited extent. Mitigation includes the omission of water offtakes along the river apart from the formal ones at the two dividing structures. All existing offtakes upstream of the end of the envisaged pipe should be incorporated into the proposed weir. This would be predictably met with fierce resistance from those with vested interest.
- 5. The draw down could be less than to the level of the Ecological Reserve. In this event the creep of dry conditions up the river would be less. The hydroperiod would not be shortened as much. Exactly to what extent the creep and hydroperiod would be affected can be predicted by hydrological modelling. However, this is another project with a separate budget.
- 6. The clearing of the site following the construction phase can be done with due care and without letting any loose material into and down the river.
- 7. Erosion control measures should be implemented. Suitable vegetation should be planted upon completion of the project.
- 8. Finally, and most importantly, if the flow at the site of the proposed weir is 50 litres per second or more, there should be at least 2 of 3 litres per second flowing from the Waboom River into the Breede River. This would keep a currently highly compromised river alive. It should not be allowed that all the water is taken. These figures are only meant to serve as an example. Observation and adjustment of the operational rules are necessary to sustain ecological responsibility.
- 9. A permanent river warden could be appointed to regularly inspect the water provision system and to enforce agreed upon operational rules. Such a person would probably be employed by the irrigation boards.

26 Offset

The concept of an offset has been introduced in current environmental practice when the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA) has been promulgated in 1998. According to the concept land that is sacrificed for development is replaced by land elsewhere to make up for the loss of ecosystem services.

The loss of ecosystem services as a result of current abstraction from the Waboom River is inevitable and is set to continue and even increase when the new weir has been constructed. For this loss it is difficult to see than any land will ever be acquired according to the offset principle, but perhaps another form of offset could be contemplated.

There are a number of tributaries in the upper catchment of the Breede River that are still relatively unimpacted and with a high conservation value. Some of these are densely overgrown with alien vegetation.

It is suggested that the concerned irrigation boards are levied with a small amount, as an offset. This money can be ringfenced for conservation purposes, such as the control of alien vegetation in upper catchments. Moreover, irrigation boards could "adopt" such a sub-catchment, in a self-regulatory manner, without any administrative support from the authorities, apart from regular monitoring and oversight.

Such an approach would greatly enhance the changes for a successful Water Use License Application for the construction and operation of the envisaged weir.

27 Waboom Mountain Catchment

The high ground up the mountains with its very high seasonal rainfall is the life blood of the farming activities in the valley below and hence deserve special consideration.

The upper catchment is overgrown in places with exotic and invasive eucalypt trees. These mature blue gum trees evapotranspirate a substantial volume of water, water that could have flowed down the Waboom River. This water was bound to decant into the river downstream, instead of dissipating into the atmosphere. It could have benefitted the aquatic ecosystem and be used for irrigation.

It only makes economic sense to the farming community to eradicate these blue gum trees, as it is sensible to get rid of the black wattle and Port Jackson trees and other alien vegetation.

If the applicants show commitment to control invasive trees in the mountain catchment and elsewhere along the Waboom River, the BGCMA is more likely to consider the WULA favourably.

28 Conclusions



Figure 17 has been adapted from one of the most recent DWS policy documents.

Figure 17 Minimum Requirements for a S21(c) and (i) Application

An anthropogenic activity can impact on any of the ecosystem drivers or responses and this can have a knock-on effect on all of the other drivers and responses. This, in turn, will predictably impact on the ecosystem services. The WULA and the EAI must provide mitigation measured for these impacts.

The conclusions can be structured along the outline that is provided by Figure 17.

The main driver of the Snel River ecosystem is the water that comes down the river from the high ground up the Waaihoek Mountains. This is countered by the largescale water abstraction for extensive farming. The main impacts are the limiting of the hydroperiod, lowering of water levels and the creep of dry conditions up the river. The agricultural return flow impacts on the water quality.

The geomorphology of the river has been substantially modified.

The connectivity of the river with its riparian zone has been seriously compromised.

Despite of the substantial aquatic habitat availability, biodiversity has been deleteriously impacted upon, as indicated by the SASS5 score. There is a real risk that this tendency will continue as more water is abstracted from the envisaged weir in the upper reaches of the Waboom River.

The real loss of ecosystem services is felt lower down the Breede River. Most of the tributaries have been extensively modified over millennia of intensive farming. The river is reduced to a "saline trickle" during the dry season (quote from a well-known environmentalist).

The current abstraction from the Waboom River probably already is on the level of the Ecological Reserve, perhaps even lower. The construction of the envisaged weir would at least formalise current abstractions and allow for better control by the authorities.

There is little doubt that a license is required for the envisaged project, according to the Risk Assessment, and that lesser forms of approval such a letter of consent or a General Authorisation are entirely inappropriate.

29 References

Anonymous. 2017. Determination of Water Resources Classes and Resource Quality Objectives for the Water Resources in the Breede-Gouritz Water Management Area. Status Quo Report. Department of Water and Sanitation, Pretoria.

Anonymous. 2013. Approver reserve and recommended conditions for the protection of the Breede River aquatic system in the H1 – H7 secondary catchments, in the Breede Water Management Area. Department of Water and Sanitation, Pretoria. Internal correspondence.

Anonymous. 2012. Pre-feasibility and feasibility study for augmentation of the Western Cape Water Supply by means of further surface water developments. Ecological water requirement assessment. Riverine water requirements. Department of Water Affairs, Pretoria.

Kleynhans, C.J. 1999. Assessment of Ecological Importance and Sensitivity. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria.

Kleynhans, C.J. 1999. *Procedure for the desktop estimate of the water quantity component of the ecological reserve for use in the national water balance.* Department of Water and Sanitation, Pretoria.

http://www.dwa.gov.za/Documents/Policies/WRPP/River%20Ecosystems.htm

Kotze, G., G. Marneweck, A. Batchelor, D. Lindley & Nacelle Collins. 2009. *A technique for rapidly assessing ecosystem services supplied by wetlands.* Water Research Commission, Pretoria.

Van Driel, D. 2017. Fresh Water Report Jan du Toit River for the proposed crusher on Groenvlei Portion 6 of Farm 589, Rawsonville, Western Cape. WATSAN Africa, Cape Town

30 Appendix

SASS5 Score	Sheet									
Date	20 Oct 17	Taxon	Weight	Score	Taxon	Weight	Score	Taxon	Weight	Score
Locality	Waboomsrivier	Porifera	5		Hemiptera			Diptera		
	Upper Sampling Point	Coelenterata	1		Belostomatidae	3		Athericidae	Weight Sc 100 1 ridae 5 1 10 5 1 115 1 1 10 1 1 10 1 1 10 3 1 10 5 1 10 5 1 11 5 1 11 5 1 11 5 1 11 5 1 11 5 1 12 5 1 13 5 1 14 5 1 15 3 1 16 3 1 17 3 1 18 3 1 19 3 1 10 3 1 11 1 1 12 3 1 13 1 1	
		Turbellaria	3		Corixidae	3		Blepharoceridae		
		Oligochaeta	1		Gerridae	5		Ceratopogonidae	5	5
Coordinates	33°29' 52.46"	Huridinea	3		Hydrometridae	6		Chironomidae	Weight 10 15 2 1 0 6 3 1 5 1 5 1 5 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 6 3 3 6 5 3 6 1 5 3 6 1<	2
	19°16'48.18"	Crustacea			Naucoridae	7		Culicidae	1	
		Amphipodae	13		Nepidae	3		Dixidae	10	10
DO mg/l	9.3	Potamonautidae	3		Notonectidae	3		Empididae	6	
Temperature °C	13.9	Atyidae	8		Pleidae	4		Ephydridae	3	
pН	7.15	Palaemonidae	10		Veliidae	5		Muscidae	1	
EC mS/m	16	Hydracarina	8		Megaloptera			Psychodidae	1	
		Plecoptera			Corydalidae	10		Simuliidae	5	5
SASS5 Score	100	Notonemouridae	14		Sialidae	8		Syrphidae	1	
Number of Taxa	14	Perlidae	12	12	Trichoptera			Tabanidae	5	
ASPT	7,1	Ephemeroptera			Dipseudopsidae	10		Tipulidae	5	5
		Baetidae 1 sp	4		Ecnomidae	8	8	Gastropoda		
Other Biota	Galaxias	Baetidae 2 sp	6	6	Hydropsychidae 1 sp	4		Ancylidae	6	
	Tadpoles	Baetidae >3 sp	12		Hydropsychidae 2 sp	6		Bulinidae	3	
		Caenidae	6		Hydropsychidae <2 sp	12		Hydrobiidae	3	
		Ephemeridae	15		Phylopotamidae	10		Lymnaeidae	3	
		Heptageniidae	13		Polycentropodidae	12		Physidae	3	
		Leptophlebiidae	9	9	Psychomyidae	8	8	Planorbidae	3	
		Oligoneuridae	15		Cased Caddis			Thiaridae	3	
Comments		Polymitarcyidae	10		Barbarochthonidae	13	13	Viviparidae	3 3 5	
		Prosopistomatida	15		Calamoceratidae	11		Pelecipoda		
		Teloganodiadae	12		Glossostomatidae	11	11	Corbiculidae	5	
		Trichorythidae	9		Hydroptilidae	6		Sphariidae	3	
		Odonata			Hydrosalpingidae	15		Unionidae	6	
		Calopterygidae	10		Leptostomatidae	10				
		Clorocyphidae	10		Leptoceridae	6	6			
		Chorolestidae	8		Petrothrincidae	11				
		Coenagrionidae	4		Pisulidae	10				
		Lestidae	8		Sericostomatidae	13				
		Platycnemidae	10		Coleoptera					
		Protoneuridae	8		Dyticidae	5				
		Aesthnidae	8		Elmidae Dryopidae	8				
		Corduliidae	8		Gyrinidae	5				
		Gomphidae	6		Haliplidae	5				
		Libellulidae	4		Helodidae	12				
		Lepidoptera			Hydraenidae	8				
		Pyralidae	12		Hydrophilidae	5				
					Limnichidae	10				
					Psephenidae	10				
Score				27			46			27

SASS5 Score										
Date	20 Oct 17	' Taxon	Weight	Score	Taxon	Weight	Score	Taxon	Weight	Score
Locality	Waboomsrivier	Porifera	5		Hemiptera			Diptera		
	Lower Sampling Point	Coelenterata	1		Belostomatidae	3		Athericidae	10	
		Turbellaria	3		Corixidae	3		Image: scalar stressWeightScoreDiptera1010Athericidae1010Blepharoceridae151Ceratopogonidae52Culicidae11Dixidae101Empididae61Ephydridae31Psychodidae11Simuliidae55Syrphidae11Tabanidae51Gastropoda31Hydrobiidae31Physidae31Tipulidae31Hydrobiidae31Physidae31Hydrobiidae31Urimaeidae31Physidae31Tipulidae31Corbiculidae31Thiaridae31Corbiculidae51Sphariidae31Unionidae61Image: stress11Image: stress11 <td< td=""><td></td></td<>		
		Oligochaeta	1	1	Gerridae	5		Ceratopogonidae	5	
Coordinates	33°30' 34.03"	Huridinea	3		Hydrometridae	6		Chironomidae	Weight S 10 1 10 1 2 1 11 1 11 1 11 1 11 1 11 1 11 1 11 1 11 1 11 1 33 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 4 1 5 1 4 1 5	2
	19°15'27.40"	Crustacea			Naucoridae	7		Culicidae	1	
		Amphipodae	13		Nepidae	3		Dixidae	10	
DO mg/l	9.4	Potamonautidae	3		Notonectidae	3		Empididae	6	
Temperature °C	14.0	Atyidae	8		Pleidae	4		Ephydridae	3	
рН	7.93	Palaemonidae	10		Veliidae	5		Muscidae	1	
EC mS/m	51	Hydracarina	8	8	Megaloptera			Psychodidae	1	
		Plecoptera			Corydalidae	10		Simuliidae	5	5
SASS5 Score	40	Notonemouridae	14		Sialidae	8		Syrphidae	1	
Number of Taxa	7	Perlidae	12		Trichoptera			Tabanidae	5	
ASPT	5,7	Ephemeroptera			Dipseudopsidae	10		Tipulidae	5	
		Baetidae 1 sp	4		Ecnomidae	8		Gastropoda		
Other Biota	Galaxias	Baetidae 2 sp	6	6	Hydropsychidae 1 sp	4		Ancylidae	6	
	Tadpoles	Baetidae >3 sp	12		Hydropsychidae 2 sp	6		Bulinidae	3	
		Caenidae	6		Hydropsychidae <2 sp	12		Hydrobiidae	3	
		Ephemeridae	15		Phylopotamidae	10		Lymnaeidae	3	
		Heptageniidae	13		Polycentropodidae	12		Physidae	3	
		Leptophlebiidae	9		Psychomyidae	8		Planorbidae	3	
		Oligoneuridae	15		Cased Caddis			Thiaridae	3	
Comments		Polymitarcyidae	10		Barbarochthonidae	13		Viviparidae	5	
		Prosopistomatida	15		Calamoceratidae	11		Pelecipoda		
		Teloganodidae	12	12	Glossostomatidae	11		Corbiculidae	5	
		Trichorythidae	9		Hydroptilidae	6		Sphariidae	3	
		Odonata			Hydrosalpingidae	15		Unionidae	6	
		Calopterygidae	10		Leptostomatidae	10				
		Clorocyphidae	10		Leptoceridae	6				
		Chorolestidae	8		Petrothrincidae	11				
		Coenagrionidae	4		Pisulidae	10				
		Lestidae	8		Sericostomatidae	13				
		Platycnemidae	10		Coleoptera					
		Protoneuridae	8		Dyticidae	5				
		Aesthnidae	8		Elmidae Dryopidae	8				
		Corduliidae	8		Gyrinidae	5				
		Gomphidae	6	6	Haliplidae	5				
		Libellulidae	4		Helodidae	12				
		Lepidoptera			Hydraenidae	8				
		Pyralidae	12		Hydrophilidae	5				
					Limnichidae	10				
					Psephenidae	10				
Score				33			0			7

Table A3 3: EWR summary Table for the Wabooms River at node Niv6 in Quaternery Catchment H10F

Desktop Version 2, Generated on 21/08/2009 Summary of Desktop (Version 2) estimate for Quaternary Catchment Area: Total Runoff : Niv6.

Annual Flows (Mill. cu. m or index values): ·#-8.362 MAR 6.380 S.Dev. -0.763 CV Br. 0.000 Q75 Q75/MMF -0.000 150 0.243 BFI Index CV(JJA+JFM) Index 🎟 8.094

Ecological Category = D

 Total EWR
 =
 1.464 (17.51 %MAR)

 Naint. Lowflow
 =
 0.861 (10.29 %MAR)

 Drought Lowflow
 =
 0.861 (10.29 %MAR)

 Maint. Highflow
 =
 0.604 (7.22 %MAR)

Monthly Distributions (Mill. cu. m.) Distribution Type : W.Cape(wet)

Month	Natural	Flows		Modified Flows (EWR)					
			I	low flows	High	Flows	Total	Flows	
	Mean	SD	CV	Maint.	Drought	Maint	•	Maint.	
Oct	0.209	0.433	2,071	0.085	0.085	0.037		0.122	
Nov	0.061	0.163	2.683	0.058	0.058	0.000		0.058	
Dec	0.006	0.025	4.306	0.054	0.054	0.000		0.054	
Jan	0.080	0.631	7.921	0.043	0.043	0.000		0.043	
Feb	0.011	0.069	6.185	0.038	0.038	0.000		0.038	
Mar	0.026	0.175	6.756	0.040	0.040	0.000		0.040	
Apr	0.025	0.110	4.330	0.041	0.041	0.037		0.078	
Nav	0.575	1.236	2.148	0.062	0.062	0.037		0.099	
Jun	1.517	1.836	1.211	0.089	0.089	0.037		0.126	
Jul	2.295	2.211	0.963	0.113	0.113	0.275		0.389	
Aug	2.650	3.302	1.246	0.122	0.122	0.143		0.265	
Sen	0.908	1.181	1.301	0.115	0.115	0.037		0.152	



Figure 18 Option 1 New Weir



Figure 19 Option 2 New Weir

31 Declaration of Independance

I, Dirk van Driel, as the appointed independent specialist hereby declare that I:

- Act/ed as the independent specialist in this application
- Regard the information contained in this report as it relates to my specialist input/study to be true and correct and;
- Do not have and will not have any financial interest in the undertaking of the activity, other than remuneration for work performed in terms of the NEMA, the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2010 and any specific environmental management act;
- Have and will not have vested interest in the proposed activity;
- Have disclosed to the applicant, EAP and competent authority any material information have or may have to influence the decision of the competent authority or the objectivity of any report, plan or document required in terms of the NEMA, the environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2010 and any specific environmental management act.
- Am fully aware and meet the responsibilities in terms of the NEMA, the Environmental Impacts Assessment Regulations, 2010 (specifically in terms of regulation 17 of GN No. R543) and any specific environmental management act and that failure to comply with these requirements may constitute and result in disqualification;
- Have ensured that information containing all relevant facts on respect of the specialist input / study was distributed or made available to interested and affected parties and the public and that participation by interested and affected parties facilitated in such a manner that all interested and affected parties were provided with reasonable opportunity to participate and to provide comments on the specialist input / study;
- Have ensured that all the comments of all the interested and affected parties on the specialist input were considered, recorded and submitted to the competent authority in respect of the application;
- Have ensured that the names of all the interested and affected parties that participated in terms of the specialist input / study were recorded in the register of interested and affected parties who participated in the public participation process;
- Have provided the competent authority with access to all information at my disposal regarding the application, weather such information is favourable or not and;
- Am aware that a false declaration is an offence in terms of regulation 71 of GN No. R543.

DYAN DRIEL

Signature of the specialist: Name of the company: Watsan Africa

Date: 18 December2017

32 Résumé

Dr Dirk van Driel PhD, MBA, PrSciNat, MWISA Water Scientist PO Box 681 Melkbosstrand 7437 <u>saligna2030@gmail.com</u> 079 333 5800 / 022 492 2102

Experience	
WATSAN Africa, Cape Town. Scientist	2011 - present
USAID/RTI, ICMA & Chemonics. Iraq & Afghanistan Program manager.	2007 -2011
City of Cape Town Acting Head: Scientific Services, Manager: Hydrobiology.	1999-2007
Department of Water & Sanitation, South Africa Senior Scientist	1989 – 1999
Tshwane University of Technology, Pretoria Head of Department	1979 – 1998
 University of Western Cape and Stellenbosch University 1994- 1998 part-time Lectured post-graduate courses in Water Management and Environmental Management to under-graduate civil engineering students Served as external dissertation and thesis examiner 	
 Service Positions Project Leader, initiator, member and participator: Water Research Commission (WRC), Pretoria. Director: UNESCO West Coast Biosphere, South Africa Director (Deputy Chairperson): Grotto Bay Home Owner's Association Member Dassen Island Protected Area Association (PAAC) Membership of Professional Societies South African Council for Scientific Professions. Registered Scientist No. 400041/96 	
- Water Institute of South Africa. Member	

Recent Reports & Water Use License Applications

- Process Review Kathu Wastewater Treatment Works
- Effluent Irrigation Report Tydstroom Abattoir Durbanville
- River Rehabilitation Report Slangkop Farm, Yzerfontein
- Fresh Water and Estuary Report Erf 77 Elands Bay
- Ground Water Revision, Moorreesburg Cemetery
- Fresh Water Report Delaire Graff Estate, Stellenbosch
- Fresh Water Report Quantum Foods (Pty) Ltd. Moredou Poultry Farm, Tulbagh
- Fresh Water Report Revision, De Hoop Development, Malmesbury
- Fresh Water Report, Idas Valley Development Erf 10866, Stellenbosch
- Wetland Delineation Idas Valley Development Erf 10866, Stellenbosch
- Fresh Water Report, Idas Valley Development Erf 11330, Stellenbosch
- Fresh Water Report, La Motte Development, Franschhoek
- Ground Water Peer Review, Elandsfontein Exploration & Mining
- Fresh Water Report Woodlands Sand Mine Malmesbury
- Fresh Water Report Brakke Kuyl Sand Mine, Cape Town
- Wetland Delineation, Ingwe Housing Development, Somerset West
- Fresh Water Report, Suurbraak Wastewater Treatment Works, Swellendam
- Wetland Delineation, Zandbergfontein Sand Mine, Robertson
- Storm Water Management Plan, Smalblaar Quarry, Rawsonville
- Storm Water Management Plan, Riverside Quarry
- Water Quality Irrigation Dams Report, Langebaan Country Estate
- Wetland Delineation Farm Eenzaamheid, Langebaan
- Wetland Delineation Erf 599, Betty's Bay
- Technical Report Bloodhound Land Speed Record, Hakskeenpan
- Technical Report Harkerville Sand Mine, Plettenberg Bay
- Technical Report Doring Rivier Sand Mine, Vanrhynsdorp
- Rehabilitation Plan Roodefontein Dam, Plettenberg Bay
- Technical Report Groenvlei Crusher, Worcester
- Technical Report Wiedouw Sand Mine, Vanrhynsdorp
- Technical Report Lair Trust Farm, Augrabies
- Technical Report Schouwtoneel Sand Mine, Vredenburg