## 2. IDENTIFICATION, ASSESSMENT AND RANKING OF IMPACTS TO REACH THE PROPOSED ALTERNATIVES INCLUDING THE <u>PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE</u> WITHIN THE SITE

**Note:** In this section the focus is on the identified issues, impacts and risks that influenced the identification of the alternatives. This includes how aspects of the receiving environment have influenced the selection.

(a) List the identified impacts and risks for each alternative.

| Alternative 1:   | Tree Mast - Preferred Alternative: Noise (Very-low negative); Visual (Low – medium negative); |
|--|---|
| Allemative 1.  | Cultural Historical (low-negative); Socio-economic (low positive)                             |
| Alternative 2: Lattice Mast: Not Preferred Alternative: Noise (Very-low negative); Visual (high – medium |   |
| Allemative 2.  | negative); Cultural Historical (low-negative) Socio-economic (low-positive)                   |
| Alternative 3:   | Monopole Mast: Not Preferred Alternative: Noise (Very-low negative); Visual (high – medium    |
| Allemative 3.  | negative); Cultural Historical (low-negative) Socio-economic (low positive)                   |
| No-go Alternative:   | Socio-economic (Low-negative)   |

(b) Describe the impacts and risks identified for each alternative, including the nature, significance, consequence, extent, duration and probability of the impacts, including the degree to which these impacts can be reversed; may cause irreplaceable loss of resources; and can be avoided, managed or mitigated.

The following table serves as a guide for summarising each alternative. The table should be repeated for each alternative to ensure a comparative assessment. (The EAP has to select the relevant impacts identified in blue in the table below for each alternative and repeat the table for each impact and risk).

| Alternative 1:  | Proposed 25m high Tree Mast – (Preferred)  |
|---|--|
| PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE  |  |
| Potential impact and risk:  | Noise Low-negative   |
| Nature of impact:   | Noise impact from machinery on the property and neighbouring residential properties during construction.   |
| Extent and duration of impact:  | Local, Duration of construction phase  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  | Localised noise disturbance on the site  |
| Probability of occurrence:  | Probable   |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 | Negligible   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | Definite   |
| Indirect impacts:   | Slight increase in localised ambient noise levels (negligible)   |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  | Low-negative   |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | Medium - Low negative  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | Medium   |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | <ul> <li>The following measures should be implemented amongst others:         <ul> <li>The Contractor shall endeavour to keep noise generating activities to a minimum.</li> <li>Construction only to take place during normal working hours. No construction on Sundays.</li> <li>Compliance with the appropriate legislation with respect to noise shall be mandatory.</li> <li>Implementation of the EMPr.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  | Medium   |
| Proposed mitigation:  | The following measures should be implemented amongst others:  The Contractor shall endeavour to keep noise generating activities to a minimum.  Construction only to take place during normal working hours. No construction on Sundays.  Compliance with the appropriate legislation with respect to noise shall be mandatory.  Implementation of the EMPr.   |
| Residual impacts:   | Negligible   |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  | Low - negative   |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    | Low - negative   |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE   |  |
| Potential impact and risk:  | The activity is expected to have very low negative noise impact during the operational phase, mainly due to an on-site generator.  |
| Nature of impact:   | Noise from the on-site generator during power outages or load shedding.  |
| Extent and duration of impact:  | Local, during the operational phase  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  | Localised noise disturbance on site  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |  |

| Probability of accurrence:  | Probable  |
|---|---|
| Probability of occurrence:  Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable                        |   |
| loss of resources:  | Negligible  |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | Definite  |
| Indirect impacts:   | Slight increase in localised ambient noise levels (negligible)  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  | Low-negative  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation   | Low-negative  |
| (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)   | Low-negative  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | Medium  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | Very-low negative   |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  | Very-low negative   |
| Proposed mitigation:  | <ul> <li>The following measures should be implemented amongst others:         <ul> <li>The Contractor shall endeavour to keep noise generating activities to a minimum.</li> <li>Build a Sound Wall Around the Generator. Building a wall around the generator is one of the quickest ways to drastically reduce its noise output.</li> <li>A lot of the noise that a generator produces comes from vibration. To reduce that noise, you can add vibration dampening material to the engine housing.</li> <li>Add a Muffler. In the same way that a quality muffler can reduce the noise output of a vehicle, adding a muffler to your generator can help reduce its noise output as well.</li> <li>Reduce Vibration in the Engine Housing. To reduce that noise, you can add vibration dampening material to the engine housing.</li> <li>Add Padding Beneath the Generator. Lay down some rubber waffle padding. This padding will help reduce vibration between the generator and whatever surface it is resting on, making for a quick and affordable way to reduce the generator noise.</li> <li>Employ another noise mitigation measures that will reduce the noise of the generator during the operational phase.</li> <li>Implement the Operational Environmental Management Programme (EMPr) during the operational phase of the proposed activity.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
| Residual impacts:   | Negligible  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  | Very-low negative   |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation  |   |
| (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)   | Very-low negative   |
| DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE   |   |
| Potential impact and risk:  | The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure', as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.  |
| Nature of impact:   |   |
| Extent and duration of impact:  |   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  |   |
| Probability of occurrence:  |   |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   |   |
| Indirect impacts:   |   |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  |   |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  |   |
| Proposed mitigation:  |   |
| Residual impacts:   |   |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  |   |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    |   |
| (0.g. 2017, 110 alorn, 1110 alorn 1 light, 1 light, or 1 ory 1 light,                                 |   |

| Alternative 1:                         | Proposed 25m high Tree Mast – (Preferred)   |
|--|---|
| PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE |   |
| Potential impact and risk:             | Visual impact: Low-Medium negative. The development of the mast will have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) and is located within an agricultural area of Dal Josafat, Paarl. |
| Nature of impact:                      | Unsightly views due to construction site  |

| Extent and duration of impact:  | Local, Duration of construction phase  |
|---|--|
| Consequence of impact or risk:  | Localised visual disturbance on site   |
| Probability of occurrence:  | Definite   |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 | Negligible   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | Low  |
| Indirect impacts:   | Low  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  | Low-Medium negative  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | Medium - negative  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | Medium   |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | Visual impact mitigation measures will be dealt with in the Environmental Management Programme ("EMPr"). The EMPr must be enforced and monitored by the Environmental Control Officer ("ECO"). The following measures should be implemented amongst others:  • The contractor shall restrict all his activities, materials, equipment and personnel to within the area specified/demarcated.  • Construction material must be stored in areas designated by the site agent and in a neat and orderly manner and must not damage natural vegetation.  • The contractor must ensure that all structures, equipment, materials and facilities used or created on site for or during construction activities are removed once the project has been completed. The construction site must be cleared and cleaned to the satisfaction of the ECO.  • Immediately after the demolishing of the campsite, the contractor shall restore the site to its original state, paying particular attention to its appearance relative to the general landscape.  • Construction only to take place during normal working hours.  • Implementation of the EMPr. |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  | Probable   |
| Proposed mitigation:  | The following measures should be implemented amongst others:  The Contractor shall endeavour to keep noise generating activities to a minimum.  Construction only to take place during normal working hours. No construction on Sundays.  Compliance with the appropriate legislation with respect to noise shall be mandatory.  Implementation of the EMPr.   |
| Residual impacts:   | Very Low-negative  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  | Low - negative   |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    | Low - negative   |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE   | •  |
| Potential impact and risk:  | Visual impact: Low-Medium negative   |
| Nature of impact:   | The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an agricultural area of Dal Josafat, Paarl.   |
| Extent and duration of impact:  | Local, Permanent   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  | Low-Medium negative  |
| Probability of occurrence:  | Definite   |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 | Low - negative   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | Very Likely  |
| Indirect impacts:   | Negligible (Possibly during the harvesting season and holiday season).   |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  | Low-Medium - negative  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | Low-Medium - negative  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | Highly Unlikely (Low)  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | Medium   |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  | Medium   |
| Proposed mitigation:  | <ul> <li>Restrict the height of the mast to only 25m;</li> <li>Construct a tree mast;</li> <li>Construct the mast adjacent to existing mature trees; and</li> <li>Implementation of the EMPr.</li> </ul>   |

| Residual impacts:   | Very Low - negative  |
|---|--|
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  | Very Low - negative  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    | Low - Medium negative  |
| DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE   |  |
| Potential impact and risk:  | The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure', as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant. |
| Nature of impact:   |  |
| Extent and duration of impact:  |  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  |  |
| Probability of occurrence:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   |  |
| Indirect impacts:   |  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  |  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  |  |
| Proposed mitigation:  |  |
| Residual impacts:   |  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  |  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    |  |

| Alternative 1:  | Proposed 25m high Tree Mast – (Preferred)  |
|---|--|
| PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE  |  |
| Potential impact and risk:  | Socio-Economic (Low - Positive)  |
| Nature of impact:   | Temporary jobs will be created in the construction industry during the construction phase.   |
| Extent and duration of impact:  | Local, Duration of construction phase  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  | Low - Positive (temporary job creation)  |
| Probability of occurrence:  | Definite   |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 | N/A. This is a positive impact   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | N/A. This is a positive impact   |
| Indirect impacts:   | Very - Low - Positive (contribute to temporary construction jobs).   |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  | Low - Positive   |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | Low - Positive   |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | N/A. This is a positive impact. Temporary jobs will be created during the construction phase.  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | N/A. This is a positive impact. Temporary jobs will be created during the construction phase. No mitigation measures required.   |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  | N/A. This is a positive impact. Temporary jobs will be created during the construction phase. No mitigation measures required.   |
| Proposed mitigation:  | N/A. This is a positive impact. Temporary jobs will be created during the construction phase. No mitigation measures required.   |
| Residual impacts:   | Low – Positive (Temporary jobs to be created during the construction phase).   |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  | Low – Positive   |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    | Low - Positive   |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE   |  |
| Potential impact and risk:  | Socio-economic aspect (Medium – Positive)  |
| Nature of impact:   | The proposed activity will increase the coverage of telecommunications services, including providing a more reliable and wider coverage. The proposed mast will have a positive impact on the socio-economics of the surrounding area as it will provide communication users with the option of faster internet coverage, cheaper cellular rates and available, stable network coverage which could be critical in the case of an emergency. |
| Extent and duration of impact:  | Regional, Long-term  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  | Please see above. The activity will increase the cellular network coverage within the area. Medium – Positive  |
| Probability of occurrence:  | Highly Probable  |

| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 | N/A. Unlikely to cause any loss of resources. This is a positive impact.   |
|---|--|
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | N/A. This is a positive impact.  |
| Indirect impacts:   | Low – Positive indirect impacts associated with the activity. Improved mobile network coverage within the surrounding area.              |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  | Medium - Positive  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | Low - Positive   |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | N/A. This is a positive impact that will improve the cellular network coverage within the surrounding area.                              |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | N/A. This is a positive impact.  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  | N/A. This is positive impact.  |
| Proposed mitigation:  | N/A. This is a positive impact. No mitigation measures required.   |
| Residual impacts:   | Low - Positive   |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  | Low - Positive   |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    | Low - Positive   |
| DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE   |  |
| Potential impact and risk:  | The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure', as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant. |
| Nature of impact:   |  |
| Extent and duration of impact:  |  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  |  |
| Probability of occurrence:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   |  |
| Indirect impacts:   |  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  |  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  |  |
| Proposed mitigation:  |  |
| Residual impacts:   |  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  |  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    |  |

| Alternative 1:  | Proposed 25m high Tree Mast – (Preferred)   |
|---|---|
| PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE  |   |
| Potential impact and risk:  | Heritage and Cultural-Historic Aspects – Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is expected to have an impact on heritage and cultural-historic aspects.   |
| Nature of impact:   | The loss of heritage, cultural or historic aspects during construction.   |
| Extent and duration of impact:  | Local, Duration of construction phase   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  | Medium - negative   |
| Probability of occurrence:  | Probable  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 | Medium  |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | Medium  |
| Indirect impacts:   | Very - Low Negative   |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  | Low - Negative  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | Medium - Negative   |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | Medium (Likely)   |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | <ul> <li>If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil<br/>bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial<br/>ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and<br/>bone remains, structures and other built features, rock art and<br/>rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must<br/>immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and<br/>must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has<br/>been obtained from HWC.</li> </ul> |

|   | T   |
|---|---|
|   | <ul> <li>Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed.</li> <li>Implementation of the EMPr.</li> </ul>  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  | Medium (Likely)   |
| Proposed mitigation:  | <ul> <li>If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock art and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC.</li> <li>Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed.</li> <li>Implementation of the EMPr.</li> </ul> |
| Residual impacts:   | Negligible  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  | Low - Negative  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation  | Low- Negative   |
| (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)   | Low- regulive   |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE   |   |
| Potential impact and risk:  | Heritage and Cultural-Historic Aspects – Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is expected to have an impact on heritage and cultural-historic aspects.   |
| Nature of impact:   | The loss of heritage, cultural or historic aspects during the operational phase   |
| Extent and duration of impact:  | Local, Duration the operational phase   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  | Medium - Negative   |
| Probability of occurrence:  | Probable  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 | Low   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | Medium  |
| Indirect impacts:   | Very – Low Negative   |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  | Low - Negative  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | Medium - Negative   |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | Medium (Likely)   |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | <ul> <li>If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock art and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC.</li> <li>Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed.</li> <li>Implementation of the EMPr.</li> </ul> |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  | Medium (Likely)   |
| Proposed mitigation:  | <ul> <li>If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock art and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC.</li> <li>Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these</li> </ul>   |

|   | should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage   |
|---|--|
|   | Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to   |
|   | be informed.   |
|   | Implementation of the EMPr.  |
| Residual impacts:   | Negligible   |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  | Low – Negative   |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | Low – Negative   |
| DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE   |  |
| Potential impact and risk:  | The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure', as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant. |
| Nature of impact:   |  |
| Extent and duration of impact:  |  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  |  |
| Probability of occurrence:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   |  |
| Indirect impacts:   |  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  |  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  |  |
| Proposed mitigation:  |  |
| Residual impacts:   |  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  |  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    |  |

| Alternative 1:  | Proposed 25m high Tree Mast – (Preferred)   |  |
|---|---|--|
| PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE  |   |  |
| Potential impact and risk:  | Ecological aspect   |  |
| Nature of impact:   | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects. The proposed site is not located within a Critical Biodiversity Area ("CBA") or Ecological Support Area ("ESA") and is totally transformed from its natural state due to past development activities on the property. The site contains no indigenous vegetation and is covered with kikuyu grass.   |  |
| Extent and duration of impact:  | Local, Duration of construction phase   |  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  | Negligible  |  |
| Probability of occurrence:  | Highly Unlikely   |  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 | Highly Unlikely   |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | Definite  |  |
| Indirect impacts:   | Insignificant   |  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  | Negligible  |  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | Negligible  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | Low (Highly Likely)   |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | <ul> <li>The EMPr must be enforced and monitored by the Environmental Control Officer ("ECO"). The following measures should be implemented amongst others:         <ul> <li>The contractor shall restrict all his activities, materials, equipment and personnel to within the area specified/demarcated.</li> <li>No further encroachment onto the degraded ESA on site, construction activities to be clearly restricted to demarcated construction area.</li> <li>Construction material must be stored in areas designated by the site agent and in a neat and orderly manner and must not damage natural vegetation.</li> <li>The contractor must ensure that all structures, equipment, materials and facilities used or created on site for or during construction activities are removed once the project has been</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |  |

|   | completed. The construction site must be cleared and cleaned  |
|---|---|
|   | to the satisfaction of the ECO.   |
|   | Immediately after the demolishing of the campsite, the  |
|   | contractor shall restore the site to its original state, paying   |
|   | particular attention to its appearance relative to the general landscape.   |
|   | Construction only to take place during normal working hours.  |
|   | Implementation of the EMPr.   |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  | Medium  |
|   | The EMPr must be enforced and monitored by the Environmental Control Officer ("ECO"). The following measures should be implemented amongst others:  |
|   | The contractor shall restrict all his activities, materials,      The contractor shall restrict all his activities, materials,  |
|   | equipment and personnel to within the area specified/demarcated.  |
|   | No further encroachment onto the degraded ESA on site,  |
|   | construction activities to be clearly restricted to demarcated  |
|   | construction area.  |
|   | Construction material must be stored in areas designated by   |
|   | the site agent and in a neat and orderly manner and must not  |
| Proposed mitigation:  | damage natural vegetation.  |
|   | The contractor must ensure that all structures, equipment,      The contractor must ensure that all structures th |
|   | materials and facilities used or created on site for or during construction activities are removed once the project has been  |
|   | completed. The construction site must be cleared and cleaned  |
|   | to the satisfaction of the ECO.   |
|   | Immediately after the demolishing of the campsite, the  |
|   | contractor shall restore the site to its original state, paying   |
|   | particular attention to its appearance relative to the general  |
|   | landscape.  |
|   | <ul> <li>Construction only to take during normal working hours.</li> <li>Implementation of the EMPr.</li> </ul>   |
| Residual impacts:   | Negligible  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  | Negligible  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation  |   |
|   |   |
| (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)   | Negligible  |
| (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  OPERATIONAL PHASE  |   |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE  Potential impact and risk:   |   |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE  Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact:  | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects   |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE  Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact:  Extent and duration of impact:  | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk:   | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence:  | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable   | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence:  | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:  | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Degree to which the impact can be reversed: Indirect impacts: Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:   | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Degree to which the impact can be reversed: Indirect impacts: Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation   | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Degree to which the impact can be reversed: Indirect impacts: Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)   | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Degree to which the impact can be reversed: Indirect impacts: Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Degree to which the impact can be reversed: Indirect impacts: Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Degree to which the impact can be managed:   | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Degree to which the impact can be reversed: Indirect impacts: Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) Degree to which the impact can be managed: Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:   | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Degree to which the impact can be reversed: Indirect impacts: Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Degree to which the impact can be managed: Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Proposed mitigation:   | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Degree to which the impact can be reversed: Indirect impacts: Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Degree to which the impact can be managed: Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Proposed mitigation: Residual impacts:   | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Degree to which the impact can be reversed: Indirect impacts: Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Degree to which the impact can be managed: Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Proposed mitigation: Residual impacts: Cumulative impact post mitigation:  | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Degree to which the impact can be reversed: Indirect impacts: Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Degree to which the impact can be managed: Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Proposed mitigation: Residual impacts:   | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Degree to which the impact can be reversed: Indirect impacts: Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Degree to which the impact can be managed: Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Proposed mitigation: Residual impacts: Cumulative impact post mitigation: Significance rating of impact after mitigation   | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Degree to which the impact can be reversed: Indirect impacts: Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) Degree to which the impact can be managed: Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Proposed mitigation: Residual impacts: Cumulative impact post mitigation: Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Degree to which the impact can be reversed: Indirect impacts: Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Degree to which the impact can be managed: Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Proposed mitigation: Residual impacts: Cumulative impact post mitigation: Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE   | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects during the operational phase.  The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure',   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Degree to which the impact can be reversed: Indirect impacts: Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Proposed mitigation: Residual impacts: Cumulative impact post mitigation: Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE  | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects during the operational phase.  The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure',   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Degree to which the impact can be reversed: Indirect impacts: Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) Degree to which the impact can be managed: Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Proposed mitigation: Residual impacts: Cumulative impact post mitigation: Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE Potential impact and risk: Nature of impact:   | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects during the operational phase.  The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure',   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: Consequence of impact or risk: Probability of occurrence: Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: Degree to which the impact can be reversed: Indirect impacts: Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) Degree to which the impact can be avoided: Degree to which the impact can be managed: Degree to which the impact can be mitigated: Proposed mitigation: Residual impacts: Cumulative impact post mitigation: Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE Potential impact and risk: Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact: | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects during the operational phase.  The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure',   |

| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 |  |
|---|--|
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   |  |
| Indirect impacts:   |  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  |  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  |  |
| Proposed mitigation:  |  |
| Residual impacts:   |  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  |  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    |  |

| (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)   |   |
|---|---|
| Alternative 2:  | Lattice Mast (25m in height) – Not Preferred  |
| PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE  |   |
| Potential impact and risk:  | Noise Low-negative  |
| Nature of impact:   | Noise impact from machinery on the property and neighbouring residential properties during construction.  |
| Extent and duration of impact:  | Local, Duration of construction phase   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  | Localised noise disturbance on site   |
| Probability of occurrence:  | Probable  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 | Negligible  |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | Definite  |
| Indirect impacts:   | Slight increase in localised ambient noise levels (negligible)  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  | Low-negative  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | Low negative  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | Medium  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | The following measures should be implemented amongst others:  The Contractor shall endeavour to keep noise generating activities to a minimum.  Construction only to take place during normal working hours.  No construction on Sundays.  Compliance with the appropriate legislation with respect to noise shall be mandatory.  Implementation of the EMPr. |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  | Medium  |
| Proposed mitigation:  | The following measures should be implemented amongst others:  The Contractor shall endeavour to keep noise generating activities to a minimum.  Construction only to take place during normal working hours.  No construction on Sundays.  Compliance with the appropriate legislation with respect to noise shall be mandatory.  Implementation of the EMPr. |
| Residual impacts:   | Negligible  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  | Low - negative  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    | Very-low negative   |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE   |   |
| Potential impact and risk:  | The activity is expected to have very low negative noise impact during the operational phase, mainly due to an on-site generator.   |
| Nature of impact:   | Noise from the on-site generator during power outages or load shedding.   |
| Extent and duration of impact:  | Local, during the operational phase   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  | Localised noise disturbance on site   |
| Probability of occurrence:  | Probable  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 | Negligible  |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | Definite  |
| Indirect impacts:   | Slight increase in localised ambient noise levels (negligible)  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  | Low-negative  |

| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | Low-negative  |
|---|---|
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | Medium  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | Very-low negative   |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  | Very-low negative   |
| Proposed mitigation:  | The following measures should be implemented amongst others:  • The Contractor shall endeavour to keep noise generating activities to a minimum.  • Build a Sound Wall Around the Generator. Building a wall around the generator is one of the quickest ways to drastically reduce its noise output.  • A lot of the noise that a generator produces comes from vibration. To reduce that noise, you can add vibration dampening material to the engine housing.  • Add a Muffler. In the same way that a quality muffler can reduce the noise output of a vehicle, adding a muffler to your generator can help reduce its noise output as well.  • Reduce Vibration in the Engine Housing. To reduce that noise, you can add vibration dampening material to the engine housing.  • Add Padding Beneath the Generator. Lay down some rubber waffle padding. This padding will help reduce vibration between the generator and whatever surface it is resting on, making for a quick and affordable way to reduce the generator noise.  • Employ another noise mitigation measures that will reduce the noise of the generator during the operational phase.  • Implement the Operational Environmental Management Programme (EMPr) during the operational phase of the proposed activity. |
| Residual impacts:   | Negligible  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  | Very-low negative   |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    | Very-low negative   |
| DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE   |   |
| Potential impact and risk:  | The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure', as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.  |
| Nature of impact:   |   |
| Extent and duration of impact:  |   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  |   |
| Probability of occurrence:  Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:     |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   |   |
| Indirect impacts:   |   |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  |   |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  |   |
| Proposed mitigation:  |   |
| Residual impacts:   |   |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  |   |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    |   |
| Alternative 2:  | Lattice Mast (25m in height) – Not Preferred  |

| Alternative 2:  | Lattice Mast (25m in height) – Not Preferred  |
|---|---|
| PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE                                |   |
| Potential impact and risk:  | Visual impact: High-Medium negative. The development of the mast will have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height), and is located within an agricultural area of Dal Josafat, Paarl. |
| Nature of impact:   | Unsightly views due to construction site  |
| Extent and duration of impact:  | Local, Duration of construction phase   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  | Localised visual disturbance on site  |
| Probability of occurrence:  | Definite  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: | Negligible  |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:                           | Low   |

| Indirect impacts:   | Low  |
|---|--|
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  | Low-Medium negative  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)                 | High - Medium - negative   |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | Medium   |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | Visual impact mitigation measures will be dealt with in the Environmental Management Programme ("EMPr"). The EMPr must be enforced and monitored by the Environmental Control Officer ("ECO"). The following measures should be implemented amongst others:  • The contractor shall restrict all his activities, materials, equipment and personnel to within the area specified/demarcated.  • Construction material must be stored in areas designated by the site agent and in a neat and orderly manner and must not damage natural vegetation.  • The contractor must ensure that all structures, equipment, materials and facilities used or created on site for or during construction activities are removed once the project has been completed. The construction site must be cleared and cleaned to the satisfaction of the ECO.  • Immediately after the demolishing of the campsite, the contractor shall restore the site to its original state, paying particular attention to its appearance relative to the general landscape.  • Construction only to take place during normal working hours.  • Implementation of the EMPr. |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  | Probable   |
| Proposed mitigation:  | The following measures should be implemented amongst others:  The Contractor shall endeavour to keep noise generating activities to a minimum.  Construction only to take place during normal working hours. No construction on Sundays.  Compliance with the appropriate legislation with respect to noise shall be mandatory.  Implementation of the EMPr.   |
| Residual impacts:   | Very Low-negative  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  | Low - negative   |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  OPERATIONAL PHASE | Medium-Low negative  |
| Potential impact and risk:  | Visual impact: Medium-negative   |
| Nature of impact:   | The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an agricultural area of Dal Josafat, Paarl.   |
| Extent and duration of impact:  | Local, Permanent   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  Probability of occurrence:  | Low-Medium negative  Definite  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:   | Low - negative   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | Very Likely  |
| Indirect impacts:   | Negligible (Possibly during the harvesting season and holiday season).   |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation: Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation                              | Medium - negative  |
| (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)   | Medium - negative  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | Highly Unlikely (Low)  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | Medium Medium  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  Proposed mitigation:  | Restrict the height of the mast to only 25m;     Construct a lattice mast; and     Implementation of the EMPr.   |
| Residual impacts:   | Very Low - negative  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  | Low - negative   |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)                    | Medium - negative  |
| Potential impact and risk:  | The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure', as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.   |

| Nature of impact:   |  |
|---|--|
| Extent and duration of impact:  |  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  |  |
| Probability of occurrence:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   |  |
| Indirect impacts:   |  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  |  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  |  |
| Proposed mitigation:  |  |
| Residual impacts:   |  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  |  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    |  |

| AH 11 A   | Lattice Mast (25m in height) – Not Preferred   |
|---|--|
| Alternative 2:  | Lunice Musi (25ffi in neight) - Not rieteffed  |
| PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE  |  |
| Potential impact and risk:  | Socio-Economic (Low - Positive)  |
| Nature of impact:   | Temporary jobs will be created in the construction industry during the construction phase.   |
| Extent and duration of impact:  | Local, Duration of construction phase  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  | Low - Positive (temporary job creation)  |
| Probability of occurrence:  | Definite   |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 | N/A. This is a positive impact   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | N/A. This is a positive impact   |
| Indirect impacts:   | Very - Low - Positive (contribute to temporary construction jobs).   |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  | Low - Positive   |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | Low - Positive   |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | N/A. This is a positive impact. Temporary jobs will be created during the construction phase.  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | N/A. This is a positive impact. Temporary jobs will be created during the construction phase. No mitigation measures required.   |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  | N/A. This is a positive impact. Temporary jobs will be created during the construction phase. No mitigation measures required.   |
| Proposed mitigation:  | N/A. This is a positive impact. Temporary jobs will be created during the construction phase. No mitigation measures required.   |
| Residual impacts:   | Low – Positive (Temporary jobs to be created during the construction phase).   |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  | Low - Positive   |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    | Low – Positive   |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE   |  |
| Potential impact and risk:  | Socio-economic aspect (Medium – Positive)  |
| Nature of impact:   | The proposed activity will increase the coverage of telecommunications services, including providing a more reliable and wider coverage. The proposed mast will have a positive impact on the socio-economics of the surrounding area as it will provide communication users with the option of faster internet coverage, cheaper cellular rates and available, stable network coverage which could be critical in the case of an emergency. |
| Extent and duration of impact:  | Regional, Long-term  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  | Please see above. The activity will increase the cellular network coverage within the area. Medium – Positive  |
| Probability of occurrence:  | Highly Probable  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 | N/A. Unlikely to cause any loss of resources. This is a positive impact.   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | N/A. This is a positive impact.  |
| Indirect impacts:   | Low – Positive indirect impacts associated with the activity. Improved mobile network coverage within the surrounding area.  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  | Medium - Positive  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | Low – Positive   |

| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | N/A. This is a positive impact that will improve the cellular network coverage within the surrounding area.                              |
|---|--|
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | N/A. This is a positive impact.  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  | N/A. This is positive impact.  |
| Proposed mitigation:  | N/A. This is a positive impact. No mitigation measures required.   |
| Residual impacts:   | Low - Positive   |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  | Low - Positive   |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    | Low - Positive   |
| DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE   |  |
| Potential impact and risk:  | The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure', as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant. |
| Nature of impact:   |  |
| Extent and duration of impact:  |  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  |  |
| Probability of occurrence:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   |  |
| Indirect impacts:   |  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  |  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  |  |
| Proposed mitigation:  |  |
| Residual impacts:   |  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  |  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    |  |

| Alternative 2:  | Lattice Mast (25m in height) – Not Preferred  |
|---|---|
| PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE  |   |
| Potential impact and risk:  | Heritage and Cultural-Historic Aspects – Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is expected to have an impact on heritage and cultural-historic aspects.   |
| Nature of impact:   | The loss of heritage, cultural or historic aspects during construction.   |
| Extent and duration of impact:  | Local, Duration of construction phase   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  | Medium - Negative   |
| Probability of occurrence:  | Probable  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 | Low   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | Medium  |
| Indirect impacts:   | Very – Low Negative   |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  | Low - Negative  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | Medium - Negative   |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | Medium (Likely)   |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | <ul> <li>If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock art and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC.</li> <li>Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed.</li> <li>Implementation of the EMPr.</li> </ul> |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  | Medium (Likely)   |

| Proposed mitigation:  | <ul> <li>If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock art and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC.</li> <li>Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed.</li> <li>Implementation of the EMPr.</li> </ul> |
|---|---|
| Residual impacts:   | Negligible  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  | Low - Negative  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation  | Low - Negative  |
| (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)   | Low Hegalive  |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE   | Heritage and Cultural-Historic Aspects – Due to the site location and nature  |
| Potential impact and risk:  | of the activity, the activity is expected to have an impact on heritage and cultural-historic aspects.  |
| Nature of impact:   | The loss of heritage, cultural or historic aspects during the operational phase   |
| Extent and duration of impact:  | Local, Duration the operational phase   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  | Medium - Negative Probable  |
| Probability of occurrence:  | riobable  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 | Low   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | Medium  |
| Indirect impacts:   | Very – Low Negative   |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  | Low - Negative  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | Medium - Negative   |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | Medium (Likely)   |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | <ul> <li>If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock art and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC.</li> <li>Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed.</li> <li>Implementation of the EMPr.</li> </ul> |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  | Medium (Likely)   |
| Proposed mitigation:  | <ul> <li>If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock art and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC.</li> <li>Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed.</li> <li>Implementation of the EMPr.</li> </ul> |
| Residual impacts:   | Negligible  |
|   | Low – Negative  |

| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    | Low – Negative   |
|---|--|
| DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE   |  |
| Potential impact and risk:  | The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure', as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant. |
| Nature of impact:   |  |
| Extent and duration of impact:  |  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  |  |
| Probability of occurrence:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   |  |
| Indirect impacts:   |  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  |  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  |  |
| Proposed mitigation:  |  |
| Residual impacts:   |  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  |  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    |  |

| (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)   | <u></u>   |
|---|---|
| Alternative 2:  | Lattice Mast (25m in height) – Not Preferred  |
| PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE  |   |
| Potential impact and risk:  | Ecological aspect   |
| Nature of impact:   | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects. The proposed site is not located within a Critical Biodiversity Area ("CBA") or Ecological Support Area ("ESA") and is totally transformed from its natural state due to past development activities on the property. The site has no indigenous vegetation and is covered with kikuyu grass.  |
| Extent and duration of impact:  | Local, Duration of construction phase   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  | Negligible  |
| Probability of occurrence:  | Highly Unlikely   |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 | Highly Unlikely   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | Definite  |
| Indirect impacts:   | Insignificant   |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  | Negligible  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | Negligible  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | Low (Highly Likely)   |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | <ul> <li>The EMPr must be enforced and monitored by the Environmental Control Officer ("ECO"). The following measures should be implemented amongst others: <ul> <li>The contractor shall restrict all his activities, materials, equipment and personnel to within the area specified/demarcated.</li> <li>No further encroachment onto the degraded ESA on site, construction activities to be clearly restricted to demarcated construction area.</li> <li>Construction material must be stored in areas designated by the site agent and in a neat and orderly manner and must not damage natural vegetation.</li> <li>The contractor must ensure that all structures, equipment, materials and facilities used or created on site for or during construction activities are removed once the project has been completed. The construction site must be cleared and cleaned to the satisfaction of the ECO.</li> <li>Immediately after the demolishing of the campsite, the contractor shall restore the site to its original state, paying particular attention to its appearance relative to the general landscape.</li> <li>Construction only to take place during normal working hours.</li> </ul> </li></ul> |

|   | Implementation of the EMPr.   |
|---|---|
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  | Medium  |
| Proposed mitigation:  | <ul> <li>The EMPr must be enforced and monitored by the Environmental Control Officer ("ECO"). The following measures should be implemented amongst others: <ul> <li>The contractor shall restrict all his activities, materials, equipment and personnel to within the area specified/demarcated.</li> <li>No further encroachment onto the degraded ESA on site, construction activities to be clearly restricted to demarcated construction area.</li> <li>Construction material must be stored in areas designated by the site agent and in a neat and orderly manner and must not damage natural vegetation.</li> <li>The contractor must ensure that all structures, equipment, materials and facilities used or created on site for or during construction activities are removed once the project has been completed. The construction site must be cleared and cleaned to the satisfaction of the ECO.</li> <li>Immediately after the demolishing of the campsite, the contractor shall restore the site to its original state, paying particular attention to its appearance relative to the general landscape.</li> <li>Construction only to take during normal working hours.</li> <li>Implementation of the EMPr.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
| Residual impacts:   | Negligible  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  | Negligible  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation  | Negligible  |
| (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)   | regilgible  |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE   | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not  |
| Potential impact and risk:  | expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects during the operational phase.  |
| Nature of impact:   |   |
| Extent and duration of impact:  |   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  |   |
| Probability of occurrence:  Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable                        |   |
| loss of resources:  |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   |   |
| Indirect impacts:   |   |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  |   |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  |   |
| Proposed mitigation:  |   |
| Residual impacts:   |   |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  |   |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    |   |
| DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE   | 1   |
| Potential impact and risk:  | The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure', as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.  |
| Nature of impact:   |   |
| Extent and duration of impact:  |   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  |   |
| Probability of occurrence:  Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable                        |   |
| loss of resources:  |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   |   |
| Indirect impacts:   |   |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  |   |

| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation   |  |
|---|--|
| (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:          |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:          |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:        |  |
| Proposed mitigation:                                |  |
| Residual impacts:                                   |  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:                  |  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation      |  |
| (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) |  |

| Alternative 3:  | Monopole Mast (25m in height) – Not Preferred  |
|---|--|
| PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE  |  |
| Potential impact and risk:  | Noise Low-negative   |
| Nature of impact:   | Noise impact from machinery on the property and neighbouring residential properties during construction.   |
| Extent and duration of impact:  | Local, Duration of construction phase  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  | Localised noise disturbance on the site  |
| Probability of occurrence:  | Probable   |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 | Negligible   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | Definite   |
| Indirect impacts:   | Slight increase in localised ambient noise levels (negligible)   |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  | Low - negative   |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | Low - negative   |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | Medium   |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | The following measures should be implemented amongst others:  The Contractor shall endeavour to keep noise generating activities to a minimum.  Construction only to take place during normal working hours. No construction on Sundays.  Compliance with the appropriate legislation with respect to noise shall be mandatory.  Implementation of the EMPr. |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  | Low-negative   |
| Proposed mitigation:  | The following measures should be implemented amongst others:  The Contractor shall endeavour to keep noise generating activities to a minimum.  Construction only to take place during normal working hours. No construction on Sundays.  Compliance with the appropriate legislation with respect to noise shall be mandatory.  Implementation of the EMPr. |
| Residual impacts:   | Negligible   |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  | Low - negative   |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    | Low - negative   |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE   | T  |
| Potential impact and risk:  | The activity is expected to have very low negative noise impact during the operational phase, mainly due to an on-site generator.  |
| Nature of impact:   | Noise from the on-site generator during power outages or load shedding.  |
| Extent and duration of impact:  | Local, during the operational phase  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  | Localised noise disturbance on site  |
| Probability of occurrence:  | Probable   |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 | Negligible   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | Definite   |
| Indirect impacts:   | Slight increase in localised ambient noise levels (negligible)   |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  | Low-negative   |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | Low-negative   |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | Medium   |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | Very-low negative  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  | Very-low negative  |

| Proposed mitigation:  | <ul> <li>The following measures should be implemented amongst others:         <ul> <li>The Contractor shall endeavour to keep noise generating activities to a minimum.</li> <li>Build a Sound Wall Around the Generator. Building a wall around the generator is one of the quickest ways to drastically reduce its noise output.</li> <li>A lot of the noise that a generator produces comes from vibration. To reduce that noise, you can add vibration dampening material to the engine housing.</li> <li>Add a Muffler. In the same way that a quality muffler can reduce the noise output of a vehicle, adding a muffler to your generator can help reduce its noise output as well.</li> <li>Reduce Vibration in the Engine Housing. To reduce that noise, you can add vibration dampening material to the engine housing.</li> <li>Add Padding Beneath the Generator. Lay down some rubber waffle padding. This padding will help reduce vibration between the generator and whatever surface it is resting on, making for a quick and affordable way to reduce the generator noise.</li> <li>Employ another noise mitigation measures that will reduce the noise of the generator during the operational phase.</li> <li>Implement the Operational Environmental Management Programme (EMPr) during the operational phase of the proposed activity.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
|---|---|
| Residual impacts:   | Negligible  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  | Very-low negative   |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    | Very-low negative   |
| DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE   |   |
| Potential impact and risk:  | The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure', as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.  |
| Nature of impact:   |   |
| Extent and duration of impact:  |   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  |   |
| Probability of occurrence:  |   |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   |   |
| Indirect impacts:   |   |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  |   |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  |   |
| Proposed mitigation:  |   |
| Residual impacts:   |   |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  |   |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    |   |
|   | Managala Mart (25m in hairsh) Nat Bratawad  |

| Alternative 3:  | Monopole Mast (25m in height) – Not Preferred   |
|---|---|
| PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE  |   |
| Potential impact and risk:  | Visual impact: High-Medium negative. The development of the mast will have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height), and is located within an agricultural area of Dal Josafat, Paarl. |
| Nature of impact:   | Unsightly views due to construction site  |
| Extent and duration of impact:  | Local, Duration of construction phase   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  | Localised visual disturbance on site  |
| Probability of occurrence:  | Definite  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 | Negligible  |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | Low   |
| Indirect impacts:   | Low   |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  | Low-Medium negative   |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | High-Medium negative  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | Medium  |

| Degree to which the impact can be managed:   | Visual impact mitigation measures will be dealt with in the Environmental Management Programme ("EMPr"). The EMPr must be enforced and monitored by the Environmental Control Officer ("ECO"). The following measures should be implemented amongst others:  • The contractor shall restrict all his activities, materials, equipment and personnel to within the area specified/demarcated.  • Construction material must be stored in areas designated by the site agent and in a neat and orderly manner and must not damage natural vegetation.  • The contractor must ensure that all structures, equipment, materials and facilities used or created on site for or during construction activities are removed once the project has been completed. The construction site must be cleared and cleaned to the satisfaction of the ECO.  • Immediately after the demolishing of the campsite, the contractor shall restore the site to its original state, paying particular attention to its appearance relative to the general landscape.  • Construction only to take place during normal working hours.  • Implementation of the EMPr. |
|--|--|
|  | · ·  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  Proposed mitigation:   | The following measures should be implemented amongst others:  The Contractor shall endeavour to keep noise generating activities to a minimum.  Construction only to take place during normal working hours. No construction on Sundays.  Compliance with the appropriate legislation with respect to noise shall be mandatory.  Implementation of the EMPr.   |
| Residual impacts:  | Very Low-negative  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:   | Medium - Low negative  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation   | Medicin Low negative   |
| (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  | Low - negative   |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE  |  |
|  |  |
| Potential impact and risk:   | Visual impact: High-Medium negative  |
|  | Visual impact: High-Medium negative  The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an agricultural area of Dal Josafat, Paarl.  |
| Potential impact and risk:   | The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an  |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact:  Extent and duration of impact:  | The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an agricultural area of Dal Josafat, Paarl.  Local, Permanent   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact:  Extent and duration of impact:  Consequence of impact or risk:  | The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an agricultural area of Dal Josafat, Paarl.  Local, Permanent  Low-Medium negative  |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact:  Extent and duration of impact:  Consequence of impact or risk:  Probability of occurrence:  Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable  | The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an agricultural area of Dal Josafat, Paarl.  Local, Permanent  Low-Medium negative  Definite  |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact:  Extent and duration of impact:  Consequence of impact or risk:  Probability of occurrence:  Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:   | The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an agricultural area of Dal Josafat, Paarl.  Local, Permanent  Low-Medium negative  Definite  Low - negative  |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact:  Extent and duration of impact:  Consequence of impact or risk:  Probability of occurrence:  Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:  Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  | The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an agricultural area of Dal Josafat, Paarl.  Local, Permanent  Low-Medium negative  Definite  Low - negative  Very Likely   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact:  Extent and duration of impact:  Consequence of impact or risk:  Probability of occurrence:  Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:  Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  Indirect impacts:   | The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an agricultural area of Dal Josafat, Paarl.  Local, Permanent  Low-Medium negative  Definite  Low - negative  Very Likely  Negligible (Possibly during the harvesting season and holiday season).   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact:  Extent and duration of impact:  Consequence of impact or risk:  Probability of occurrence:  Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:  Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  Indirect impacts:  Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:   | The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an agricultural area of Dal Josafat, Paarl.  Local, Permanent  Low-Medium negative  Definite  Low - negative  Very Likely   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact:  Extent and duration of impact:  Consequence of impact or risk:  Probability of occurrence:  Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:  Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  Indirect impacts:   | The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an agricultural area of Dal Josafat, Paarl.  Local, Permanent  Low-Medium negative  Definite  Low - negative  Very Likely  Negligible (Possibly during the harvesting season and holiday season).   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact:  Extent and duration of impact:  Consequence of impact or risk:  Probability of occurrence:  Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:  Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  Indirect impacts:  Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation  | The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an agricultural area of Dal Josafat, Paarl.  Local, Permanent  Low-Medium negative  Definite  Low - negative  Very Likely  Negligible (Possibly during the harvesting season and holiday season).  Medium - negative  |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact:  Extent and duration of impact:  Consequence of impact or risk:  Probability of occurrence:  Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:  Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  Indirect impacts:  Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  | The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an agricultural area of Dal Josafat, Paarl.  Local, Permanent  Low-Medium negative  Definite  Low - negative  Very Likely  Negligible (Possibly during the harvesting season and holiday season).  Medium - negative  Medium - negative   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact:  Extent and duration of impact:  Consequence of impact or risk:  Probability of occurrence:  Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:  Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  Indirect impacts:  Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an agricultural area of Dal Josafat, Paarl.  Local, Permanent  Low-Medium negative  Definite  Low - negative  Very Likely  Negligible (Possibly during the harvesting season and holiday season).  Medium - negative  Medium - negative  Highly Unlikely (Low)  |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact:  Extent and duration of impact:  Consequence of impact or risk:  Probability of occurrence:  Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:  Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  Indirect impacts:  Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an agricultural area of Dal Josafat, Paarl.  Local, Permanent  Low-Medium negative  Definite  Low - negative  Very Likely  Negligible (Possibly during the harvesting season and holiday season).  Medium - negative  Highly Unlikely (Low)  Medium   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact:  Extent and duration of impact:  Consequence of impact or risk:  Probability of occurrence:  Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:  Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  Indirect impacts:  Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  Degree to which the impact can be managed:  Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  | The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an agricultural area of Dal Josafat, Paarl.  Local, Permanent  Low-Medium negative  Definite  Low - negative  Very Likely  Negligible (Possibly during the harvesting season and holiday season).  Medium - negative  Highly Unlikely (Low)  Medium  • Restrict the height of the mast to only 25m; • Construct a monopole mast; and  |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact:  Extent and duration of impact:  Consequence of impact or risk:  Probability of occurrence:  Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:  Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  Indirect impacts:  Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  Degree to which the impact can be managed:  Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  Proposed mitigation:  Residual impacts:  Cumulative impact post mitigation:   | The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an agricultural area of Dal Josafat, Paarl.  Local, Permanent  Low-Medium negative  Definite  Low - negative  Very Likely  Negligible (Possibly during the harvesting season and holiday season).  Medium - negative  Highly Unlikely (Low)  Medium  • Restrict the height of the mast to only 25m; • Construct a monopole mast; and • Implementation of the EMPr.  Very Low - negative  Very Low - negative  |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact:  Extent and duration of impact:  Consequence of impact or risk:  Probability of occurrence:  Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:  Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  Indirect impacts:  Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  Proposed mitigation:  Residual impacts:  Cumulative impact post mitigation:  Significance rating of impact after mitigation   | The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an agricultural area of Dal Josafat, Paarl.  Local, Permanent  Low-Medium negative  Definite  Low - negative  Very Likely  Negligible (Possibly during the harvesting season and holiday season).  Medium - negative  Highly Unlikely (Low)  Medium  Medium  • Restrict the height of the mast to only 25m; • Construct a monopole mast; and • Implementation of the EMPr.  Very Low - negative   |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact:  Extent and duration of impact:  Consequence of impact or risk:  Probability of occurrence:  Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:  Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  Indirect impacts:  Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  Degree to which the impact can be managed:  Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  Proposed mitigation:  Residual impacts:  Cumulative impact post mitigation:   | The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an agricultural area of Dal Josafat, Paarl.  Local, Permanent  Low-Medium negative  Definite  Low - negative  Very Likely  Negligible (Possibly during the harvesting season and holiday season).  Medium - negative  Highly Unlikely (Low)  Medium  • Restrict the height of the mast to only 25m; • Construct a monopole mast; and • Implementation of the EMPr.  Very Low - negative  Very Low - negative  |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact:  Extent and duration of impact:  Consequence of impact or risk:  Probability of occurrence:  Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:  Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  Indirect impacts:  Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  Proposed mitigation:  Residual impacts:  Cumulative impact post mitigation:  Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)   | The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an agricultural area of Dal Josafat, Paarl.  Local, Permanent  Low-Medium negative  Definite  Low - negative  Very Likely  Negligible (Possibly during the harvesting season and holiday season).  Medium - negative  Medium - negative  Highly Unlikely (Low)  Medium  • Restrict the height of the mast to only 25m; • Construct a monopole mast; and • Implementation of the EMPr.  Very Low - negative  Very Low - negative  Medium-Low negative  The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure',  |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact:  Extent and duration of impact:  Consequence of impact or risk:  Probability of occurrence:  Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:  Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  Indirect impacts:  Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  Degree to which the impact can be managed:  Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  Proposed mitigation:  Residual impacts:  Cumulative impact post mitigation:  Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE  | The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an agricultural area of Dal Josafat, Paarl.  Local, Permanent  Low-Medium negative  Definite  Low - negative  Very Likely  Negligible (Possibly during the harvesting season and holiday season).  Medium - negative  Medium - negative  Highly Unlikely (Low)  Medium  • Restrict the height of the mast to only 25m; • Construct a monopole mast; and • Implementation of the EMPr.  Very Low - negative  Very Low - negative  Medium-Low negative  |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact:  Extent and duration of impact:  Consequence of impact or risk:  Probability of occurrence:  Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:  Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  Indirect impacts:  Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  Degree to which the impact can be managed:  Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  Proposed mitigation:  Residual impacts:  Cumulative impact post mitigation:  Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE  Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact:                                 | The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an agricultural area of Dal Josafat, Paarl.  Local, Permanent  Low-Medium negative  Definite  Low - negative  Very Likely  Negligible (Possibly during the harvesting season and holiday season).  Medium - negative  Medium - negative  Highly Unlikely (Low)  Medium  • Restrict the height of the mast to only 25m; • Construct a monopole mast; and • Implementation of the EMPr.  Very Low - negative  Very Low - negative  Medium-Low negative  The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure',  |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact:  Extent and duration of impact:  Consequence of impact or risk:  Probability of occurrence:  Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:  Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  Indirect impacts:  Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  Degree to which the impact can be managed:  Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  Proposed mitigation:  Residual impacts:  Cumulative impact post mitigation:  Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE  Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact:  Extent and duration of impact: | The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an agricultural area of Dal Josafat, Paarl.  Local, Permanent  Low-Medium negative  Definite  Low - negative  Very Likely  Negligible (Possibly during the harvesting season and holiday season).  Medium - negative  Medium - negative  Highly Unlikely (Low)  Medium  • Restrict the height of the mast to only 25m; • Construct a monopole mast; and • Implementation of the EMPr.  Very Low - negative  Very Low - negative  Medium-Low negative  The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure',  |
| Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact:  Extent and duration of impact:  Consequence of impact or risk:  Probability of occurrence:  Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:  Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  Indirect impacts:  Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  Degree to which the impact can be managed:  Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  Proposed mitigation:  Residual impacts:  Cumulative impact post mitigation:  Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE  Potential impact and risk:  Nature of impact:                                 | The development of the mast will most probably have a visual impact because of the height of the mast (25m in height) located within an agricultural area of Dal Josafat, Paarl.  Local, Permanent  Low-Medium negative  Definite  Low - negative  Very Likely  Negligible (Possibly during the harvesting season and holiday season).  Medium - negative  Medium - negative  Highly Unlikely (Low)  Medium  • Restrict the height of the mast to only 25m; • Construct a monopole mast; and • Implementation of the EMPr.  Very Low - negative  Very Low - negative  Medium-Low negative  The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure',  |

| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 |  |
|---|--|
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   |  |
| Indirect impacts:   |  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  |  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  |  |
| Proposed mitigation:  |  |
| Residual impacts:   |  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  |  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    |  |

| (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)   |  |
|---|--|
| Alternative 3:  | Monopole Mast (25m in height) – Not Preferred  |
| PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE  |  |
| Potential impact and risk:  | Socio-Economic (Low - Positive)  |
| Nature of impact:   | Temporary jobs will be created in the construction industry during the construction phase.   |
| Extent and duration of impact:  | Local, Duration of construction phase  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  | Low - Positive (temporary job creation)  |
| Probability of occurrence:  | Definite   |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 | N/A. This is a positive impact   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | N/A. This is a positive impact   |
| Indirect impacts:   | Very - Low - Positive (contribute to temporary construction jobs).   |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  | Low - Positive   |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | Low – Positive   |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | N/A. This is a positive impact. Temporary jobs will be created during the construction phase.  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | N/A. This is a positive impact. Temporary jobs will be created during the construction phase. No mitigation measures required.   |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  | N/A. This is a positive impact. Temporary jobs will be created during the construction phase. No mitigation measures required.   |
| Proposed mitigation:  | N/A. This is a positive impact. Temporary jobs will be created during the construction phase. No mitigation measures required.   |
| Residual impacts:   | Low – Positive (Temporary jobs to be created during the construction phase).   |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  | Low - Positive   |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    | Low – Positive   |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE   |  |
| Potential impact and risk:  | Socio-economic aspect (Medium – Positive)  |
| Nature of impact:   | The proposed activity will increase the coverage of telecommunications services, including providing a more reliable and wider coverage. The proposed mast will have a positive impact on the socio-economics of the surrounding area as it will provide communication users with the option of faster internet coverage, cheaper cellular rates and available, stable network coverage which could be critical in the case of an emergency. |
| Extent and duration of impact:  | Regional, Long-term  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  | Please see above. The activity will increase the cellular network coverage within the area. Medium – Positive  |
| Probability of occurrence:  | Highly Probable  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 | N/A. Unlikely to cause any loss of resources. This is a positive impact.   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | N/A. This is a positive impact.  |
| Indirect impacts:   | Low – Positive indirect impacts associated with the activity. Improved mobile network coverage within the surrounding area.  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  | Medium - Positive  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | Low - Positive   |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | N/A. This is a positive impact that will improve the cellular network coverage within the surrounding area.  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | N/A. This is a positive impact.  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  | N/A. This is positive impact.  |

| Proposed mitigation:  | N/A. This is a positive impact. No mitigation measures required.   |
|---|--|
| Residual impacts:   | Low - Positive   |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  | Low - Positive   |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    | Low - Positive   |
| DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE   |  |
| Potential impact and risk:  | The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure', as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant. |
| Nature of impact:   |  |
| Extent and duration of impact:  |  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  |  |
| Probability of occurrence:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   |  |
| Indirect impacts:   |  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  |  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  |  |
| Proposed mitigation:  |  |
| Residual impacts:   |  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  |  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    |  |

| Alternative 3:  | Monopole Mast (25m in height) – Not Preferred   |
|---|---|
| PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE  |   |
| Potential impact and risk:  | Heritage and Cultural-Historic Aspects – Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is expected to have an impact on heritage and cultural-historic aspects.   |
| Nature of impact:   | The loss of heritage, cultural or historic aspects during the operational phase   |
| Extent and duration of impact:  | Local, Duration of construction phase   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  | Medium - Negative   |
| Probability of occurrence:  | Probable  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 | Low   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | Medium  |
| Indirect impacts:   | Very – Low Negative   |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  | Low - Negative  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | Medium - Negative   |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | Medium (Likely)   |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | <ul> <li>If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock art and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC.</li> <li>Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed.</li> <li>Implementation of the EMPr.</li> </ul> |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  | Medium (Likely)   |
| Proposed mitigation:  | <ul> <li>If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil<br/>bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial<br/>ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and<br/>bone remains, structures and other built features, rock art and<br/>rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must</li> </ul>   |

| Residual impacts:  Cumulative impact post mitigation:  Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)                 | immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC.  • Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed.  • Implementation of the EMPr.  Negligible  Low – Negative   |
|---|---|
| Potential impact and risk:  | Heritage and Cultural-Historic Aspects – Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is expected to have an impact on heritage and cultural-historic aspects.   |
| Nature of impact:   | The loss of heritage, cultural or historic aspects during the operational phase   |
| Extent and duration of impact:  | Local, Duration the operational phase   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  | Medium - Negative   |
| Probability of occurrence:  | Probable  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:   | Low   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | Medium  |
| Indirect impacts:   | Very – Low Negative   |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  | Low - Negative  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)   | Medium - Negative   |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | Medium (Likely)   |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | <ul> <li>If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock art and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC.</li> <li>Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed.</li> <li>Implementation of the EMPr.</li> </ul> |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  | Medium (Likely)   |
| Proposed mitigation:  | <ul> <li>If any archaeological remains (including but not limited to fossil bones and fossil shells, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts and bone remains, structures and other built features, rock art and rock engravings) are discovered during construction they must immediately be reported to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from HWC.</li> <li>Should any human remains/burial or archaeological material be disturbed, exposed or uncovered during construction, these should immediately be reported to the South African Heritage Resources Agency and HWC. The ECO and Engineer are also to be informed.</li> <li>Implementation of the EMPr.</li> </ul> |
| Residual impacts:   | Negligible  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE | Low – Negative  Low – Negative  |
| Potential impact and risk:  | The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure', as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.  |
| Nature of impact:   |   |

| Extent and duration of impact:  |  |
|---|--|
| Consequence of impact or risk:  |  |
| Probability of occurrence:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable  |  |
| loss of resources:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   |  |
| Indirect impacts:   |  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  |  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation   |  |
| (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)   |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  |  |
| Proposed mitigation:  |  |
| Residual impacts:   |  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  |  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation  |  |
| (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)   | Managala Mast (25m in haiseh) Nat Brafawad   |
| Alternative 3:  | Monopole Mast (25m in height) – Not Preferred  |
| PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE  |  |
| Potential impact and risk:  | Ecological aspect  |
| Nature of impact:   | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects. The proposed site is not located within a Critical Biodiversity Area ("CBA") or Ecological Support Area ("ESA") and is totally transformed from its natural state due to past development activities on the property. The site contains no indigenous vegetation and is covered with kikuyu grass.  |
| Extent and duration of impact:  | Local, Duration of construction phase  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  | Negligible   |
| Probability of occurrence:  | Highly Unlikely  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable  | Highly Halilah   |
| loss of resources:  | Highly Unlikely  |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | Definite   |
| Indirect impacts:   | Insignificant  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  | Negligible   |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | Negligible   |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | Low (Highly Likely)  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | The EMPr must be enforced and monitored by the Environmental Control Officer ("ECO"). The following measures should be implemented amongst others:  • The contractor shall restrict all his activities, materials, equipment and personnel to within the area specified/demarcated.  • No further encroachment onto the degraded ESA on site, construction activities to be clearly restricted to demarcated construction area.  • Construction material must be stored in areas designated by the site agent and in a neat and orderly manner and must not damage natural vegetation.  • The contractor must ensure that all structures, equipment, materials and facilities used or created on site for or during construction activities are removed once the project has been completed. The construction site must be cleared and cleaned to the satisfaction of the ECO.  • Immediately after the demolishing of the campsite, the contractor shall restore the site to its original state, paying particular attention to its appearance relative to the general landscape.  • Construction only to take place during normal working hours. |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  | Implementation of the EMPr.  Medium  |
| Proposed mitigation:  | The EMPr must be enforced and monitored by the Environmental Control Officer ("ECO"). The following measures should be implemented amongst others:   |

|  | <ul> <li>The contractor shall restrict all his activities, materials, equipment and personnel to within the area specified/demarcated.</li> <li>No further encroachment onto the degraded ESA on site, construction activities to be clearly restricted to demarcated construction area.</li> <li>Construction material must be stored in areas designated by the site agent and in a neat and orderly manner and must not damage natural vegetation.</li> <li>The contractor must ensure that all structures, equipment, materials and facilities used or created on site for or during construction activities are removed once the project has been completed. The construction site must be cleared and cleaned to the satisfaction of the ECO.</li> <li>Immediately after the demolishing of the campsite, the contractor shall restore the site to its original state, paying particular attention to its appearance relative to the general landscape.</li> <li>Construction only to take during normal working hours.</li> <li>Implementation of the EMPr.</li> </ul> |
|--|---|
| Residual impacts:  | Negligible  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:   | Negligible  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)   | Negligible  |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE  | Due to the site location and nature of the activity, the activity is not  |
| Potential impact and risk:   | expected to have any impacts on ecological or biodiversity aspects during the operational phase.  |
| Nature of impact:  |   |
| Extent and duration of impact:   |   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:   |   |
| Probability of occurrence:   |   |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:  |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  |   |
| Indirect impacts:  Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  |   |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) Degree to which the impact can be avoided: |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:   |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:   |   |
| Proposed mitigation:   |   |
| Residual impacts:  |   |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:   |   |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)   |   |
| DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE  | The project as proposed does not require 'decommissioning' or 'closure',  |
| Potential impact and risk:   | as such the potential impacts thereof is considered irrelevant.   |
| Nature of impact:  |   |
| Extent and duration of impact:   |   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:   |   |
| Probability of occurrence:   |   |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:  |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  |   |
| Indirect impacts:  |   |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:   |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:   |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:   |   |
| Proposed mitigation:   |   |
|  |   |

| Residual impacts:                                   |  |
|---|--|
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:                  |  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation      |  |
| (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) |  |

Note: The EAP may decide to include this section as Appendix J to the BAR. (This section was added as Appendix J to the BAR).