

PROJECT IMPACT ASSESSMENT, SIGNIFICANCE AND MITIGATION MEASURES SUMMARY

The following impact rating approach used by Enviro Africa CC is a basic exponential rating system to assess actual and potential negative environmental impacts.

Positive environmental impacts are also listed. All positive impacts need to be enhanced or increased where possible but positive impacts are not rated or given a score since the rating is based on risks.

Environmental activities or aspects are identified, based on:

- the phase of the project,
- the nature (or description) of the actual and potential impacts of the activities.

For every project activity or aspect, various environmental impacts are listed. Every negative impact is allocated a value as per each of the following criteria:

- Likelihood (Probability)
- Extent (Severity)
- Duration (Frequency)
- Consequence (Receiving environment and Toxicity)

Once a value is allocated for each of the criterion, the scores are averaged to determine the final impact rating see Table 1 below.

Enviro Africa then further assesses environmental significance, based on the nature of the impact, as per the score and colour key which forms part of Table 1 below. This results in impacts having either a low (indicated in green), medium (indicated in yellow) or high (indicated in orange and red) significance.

- Note:**
- i. One environmental aspect or project activity e.g. site clearance may have multiple impacts in different areas.
 - ii. The various impacts per aspect/project activity are documented in the Quantification of Aspects and Impact/s Significance Rating form (Table 2 Annexure B).
 - iii. As a baseline, impact rating values/scores are allocated taking the **worst case** scenario into account i.e. with no mitigation. The baseline rating is compared with those after mitigation has been taken into account i.e. the post-mitigation rating. Post mitigation rating is used for the actual impact assessment.

| SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA | Very High | Moderately High | Medium | Moderately Medium | Low | Very Low | Score |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|-------|
| Value | 32 | 16 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 1 | |
| Likelihood / Probability (L/P) | Impact will definitely occur | Very likely for impact to occur | Impact may occur once annually | Impact may occur less than once annually but at least twice every five years | Impact may occur one to two times (maximum) in project's life | Very unlikely for impact to occur / Impact will not occur | |
| Extent / Severity (E/S) | Impact potentially reaches beyond national boundaries | Impact has definite provincial potential national consequences | Impact will a potentially affect neighbouring province | Impact confined to local province | Impact confined to local region but not province wide | Impact confined to project property / site | |
| Duration / Frequency (D/F) | Continual / daily occurrence | Impact will occur once a week | Impact will occur once a month | Impact will occur once a year | Impact will occur once every ten years | Possible that impact will never occur in Project's | |
| Consequence: Receiving environment (C: RE) | Very sensitive, pristine area – protected site or species permanently or seasonally present | Unused industrially zoned area containing only indigenous fauna / flora species | Unused industrially zoned area containing indigenous and alien fauna / flora species | Semi-disturbed area already rehabilitated / recovered from prior impact | Disturbed area undergoing rehabilitation / recovering from prior impacts | Disturbed area, already in need of rehabilitation prior to impact | |
| Consequence: Toxicity (C:T) | Impact is poisonous to natural environment and is not contained - no rehabilitation possible - permanent irreversible impact | Impact is potentially poisonous to natural environment and is not contained – only partial rehabilitation possible – potential permanent irreversible impact | Impact is potentially poisonous to natural environment and is partially contained – some rehabilitation possible and is potentially reversible | Impact is potentially poisonous to natural environment and is partially contained – complete rehabilitation possible | Impact is potentially poisonous to natural environment but is completely contained | Impact is not poisonous to natural environment | |
| FINAL RATING (average score) | | | | | | | |

ENVIRONMENTAL RATING SIGNIFICANCE KEY:

| SIGNIFICANCE | RATING | Final rating score / value range |
|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| Significant | Very High | 25 to 32 |
| | High | 19 to <25 |
| Increasing Significance | Medium | 13 to <19 |
| | Moderately Medium | 6 to <13 |
| Insignificant | Low | 3 to <6 |
| | Very Low | 1 to <3 |

Table 1: Environmental Significance rating methodology (rating criteria and scoring to allocate significance)

C. ASPECT / ACTIVITY: Site establishment

| No. | IMPACT | Pre-Mitigation Score (Baseline) | | | Post-Mitigation Score (Impact) | | | Short Description of Mitigation Measures | |
|-----|---|---------------------------------|-----|-----|--------------------------------|------|------|--|--|
| | | L/P | E/S | D/F | C: RE | C: T | C: T | | |
| 1 | Temporary roads for site establishment | 32 | 2 | 8 | 32 | 1 | 32 | 9,2 | Clear designation of temporary road area and requirements for vehicles to be confined to roads on site. Utilisation of dust suppression on roads e.g. using chemical road sealers. Fugitive particulate emissions minimised by enforcing speed limits on dirt roads. |
| 2 | Gaseous emissions due to use of vehicles/machinery | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 1 | 32 | 22,6 | Vehicles serviced regularly/well maintained. Vehicles not allowed to idle for extended periods. Routine site and vehicle checks. |
| 3 | Dust (particulate) emission generation | 32 | 8 | 32 | 32 | 1 | 32 | 13,8 | Utilisation of dust suppression on roads e.g. using chemical road sealers. Fugitive particulate emissions minimised by enforcing speed limits on dirt roads. Vehicles confined to roads only. Vehicles serviced regularly/well maintained. Vehicles not allowed to idle for extended periods. Routine site and vehicle checks. |
| 4 | Poor access control/fencing | 32 | 1 | 32 | 8 | 1 | 32 | 5,2 | Secure fencing of site to take place before any materials/equipment brought to site. Access to be controlled via locked gate and security services. |
| 5 | Demarcation of lay down area | 32 | 2 | 8 | 32 | 1 | 32 | 7,8 | Lay down area clearly defined before any material/equipment arrives on site. Lay down area to be within area applied for as part of BAR. Routine site inspection for adherence to lay down area parameters. |
| 6 | Ablutions for site labour (non-adherence to designated areas) | 32 | 2 | 32 | 1 | 8 | 32 | 10,2 | Training and awareness regarding designated ablation areas and need for adherence. Provision of sufficient ablations area in line with legal requirements on site. Ad hoc site visits to check compliance in line with training. |
| 7 | Littering | 32 | 16 | 16 | 8 | 1 | 32 | 7,4 | Training and awareness regarding littering. Provision of sufficient rubbish bins on site. Ad hoc checks to ensure compliance in line with training. |
| 8 | Habitat loss (effect on fauna) | 32 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 32 | 9,2 | Due to the nature of the development, habitat loss will take place irrespective of mitigation measures. |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|--|----|---|----|----|----|------|----|---|----|----|---|------|--|
| 9 | Animal interaction/fatalities | 16 | 1 | 16 | 8 | 1 | 8,4 | 16 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 6 | Designation of no-go areas on site to be defined at on-site start up meeting. Environmental awareness/training. Routine site compliance checks. |
| 10 | Visual impact of site clearance/dust | 32 | 2 | 32 | 1 | 1 | 13,6 | 16 | 2 | 32 | 1 | 1 | 10,4 | Utilisation of dust suppression on roads e.g. using chemical road sealers. Fugitive particulate emissions minimised by enforcing speed limits on dirt roads. Vehicles confined to roads only. Vehicles serviced regularly/well maintained. Vehicles not allowed to idle for extended periods. Routine site and vehicle checks. |
| 11 | Resource use: water | 32 | 1 | 32 | 2 | 1 | 13,6 | 32 | 1 | 32 | 2 | 1 | 13,6 | Training and awareness regarding sound water use/management. Storm water management plan in place at on-site start up meeting. Ad hoc checks to ensure compliance in line with training and management plans/programmes. |
| 12 | Resource use: land | 32 | 2 | 32 | 8 | 1 | 15 | 32 | 1 | 32 | 2 | 1 | 13,6 | Training and awareness regarding land management on site. Ad hoc checks to ensure compliance in line with training and management plans/programmes. |
| 13 | Resource use: hydrocarbons/fuels | 32 | 2 | 32 | 8 | 16 | 18 | 32 | 2 | 32 | 8 | 2 | 15,2 | Training and awareness regarding efficient fuel/hydrocarbon use. Ad hoc checks to ensure compliance in line with training and management plans/programmes. |
| 14 | Recycling of waste products where possible | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | Demarcation and preservation of heritage/culturally sensitive aspects of site for inclusion in development | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Storage of fuels/hazardous chemical substances | 32 | 2 | 4 | 32 | 4 | 14,8 | 16 | 2 | 4 | 32 | 4 | 11,6 | Training and awareness regarding use and storage of fuel/oil/HCSs. Adequate drip trays and spill clean up kits provided. HCSs and fuel stores stored in line with legal requirements. Routine monitoring of vehicle loads and vehicles for leaks. |

D. ASPECT / ACTIVITY: Construction

| No. | IMPACT | L/P | E/S | D/F | C: RE | Pre-Mitigation Score (Baseline) | L/P | E/S | D/F | C: RE | Post-Mitigation Score (Impact) | Short Description of Mitigation Measures |
|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|-------|---------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-------|--------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Poor access control/fencing | 32 | 1 | 32 | 8 | 14,8 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 5,2 | Secure fencing of site to take place before any materials/equipment brought to site. Access to be controlled via locked gate and security services. |
| 2 | Demarcation of lay down area | 32 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 8,8 | 32 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 7,8 | Lay down area clearly defined before any material/equipment arrives on site. Lay down area to be within area applied for as part of BAR. Routine site inspection for adherence to lay down area parameters. |
| 3 | Ablutions for site labour (non-adherence to designated areas) | 32 | 2 | 32 | 1 | 15 | 32 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 10,2 | Training and awareness regarding designated ablation areas and need for adherence. Provision of sufficient ablutions area in line with legal requirements on site. Ad hoc site visits to check compliance in line with training. |
| 4 | Littering | 32 | 16 | 16 | 8 | 14,6 | 16 | 2 | 16 | 2 | 7,4 | Training and awareness regarding littering. Provision of sufficient rubbish bins on site. Ad hoc checks to ensure compliance in line with training. |
| 5 | Habitat loss (effect on fauna) | 32 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 9,2 | 32 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 9,2 | Due to the nature of the development, a small degree of habitat loss will take place irrespective of mitigation measures. |
| 6 | Animal interaction/fatalities | 16 | 1 | 16 | 8 | 8,4 | 16 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 6 | Designation of no-go areas on site to be defined at on-site start up meeting. Environmental awareness/training. Routine site compliance checks. |
| 7 | Aesthetic impact during construction | 32 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7,6 | 16 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4,4 | Use natural topography where possible to screen final layout of building material. Park vehicles and store equipment at designated areas on site using natural topography/vegetation/ buildings as screens. Fugitive particulate emissions minimised by enforcing speed limits on dirt roads and using dust suppression on roads. Vehicles confined to roads only. Routine site and vehicle checks. |

E. ASPECT / ACTIVITY: Operation and Maintenance

| No. | IMPACT | L/P | E/S | D/F | C: RE | C: T | Pre-Mitigation Score (Baseline) | L/P | E/S | D/F | C: RE | C: T | Post-Mitigation Score (Impact) | Short Description of Mitigation Measures |
|-----|--|-----|-----|-----|-------|------|---------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-------|------|--------------------------------|--|
| 1 | Poor access control/fencing | 32 | 1 | 32 | 8 | 1 | 14,8 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 5,2 | Secure fencing of site to take place before any materials/equipment brought to site. Access to be controlled via locked gate and security services. |
| 2 | Disturbance of no-go areas established for rehabilitation/memorial park garden areas | 32 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 8,8 | 32 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 7,8 | No-go areas must be clearly defined and demarcated with information provided as to what is being achieved in terms of rehabilitation and conservation. Routine site inspection by maintenance or security personnel to ensure adherence to demarcated areas. |
| 3 | Ablutions on site (non-adherence to designated areas) | 32 | 2 | 32 | 1 | 8 | 15 | 32 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 10,2 | Provision of sufficiently accessible ablution areas in line with legal requirements for site. |
| 4 | Littering | 32 | 16 | 16 | 8 | 1 | 14,6 | 16 | 2 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 7,4 | Training and awareness regarding littering. Provision of sufficient rubbish bins on site. Ad hoc checks to ensure compliance in line with training. |
| 5 | Habitat/biodiversity restoration and conservation | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Freshwater/watercourse rehabilitation, restoration and conservation | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Aesthetic impact during normal operation/grave preparation | 32 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 7,6 | 16 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4,4 | Use natural topography where possible to screen final layout of development. Landscaping on dominant visual impact side (e.g. facing public road) to avoid excessive visual impact. Park vehicles and store equipment at designated areas on site using natural topography/vegetation/ buildings as screens. Fugitive particulate emissions minimised by enforcing speed limits on dirt roads and using dust suppression on roads. Vehicles confined to roads only. Routine site and vehicle checks. |

