

PRE-APPLICATION BAR PUBLIC PARTICIPATION COMMENTS AND RESPONSES REPORT: PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF A 25M HIGH TELECOMMUNICATION MAST ON ERF 43547, KROMBOOM PARKWAY, ATHLONE, WESTERN CAPE

14/01/2019	<p>Good day</p> <p>NEMA PRE-APPLICATION BAR - 25M HIGH TELECOMMUNICATION MAST ON ERF 43547, KROMBOOM PARKWAY, ATHLONE</p> <p>The above case refers,</p> <p>Heritage Western Cape (HWC) is in receipt of the letter dated 13 December 2018 for the pre-application BAR comments.</p> <p>Please note the comment dated 32 July 2018 still stands.</p> <p>Kind regards, Waseefa Dhansay</p>	Heritage Western Cape	Telecommunications Mast	<p>Dear Waseefa,</p> <p>I hereby acknowledge receipt of email dated 14 January 2019.</p> <p>Your Department's comment is duly noted.</p> <p>Thank you for providing comment on this NEMA application.</p> <p>Kind regards, Emile Esquire</p>	EnviroAfrica
30/01/2019	<p>Hi Emile</p> <p>Where on the website does it deal with medical issues related to having a mast on your doorstep?</p> <p>I only find impact to the ecological environment.</p> <p>Regards, Roekeya Bardien</p>	Roekeya Bardien	Telecommunications Mast	<p>Dear Roekeya,</p> <p>Your email correspondence dated 30 January 2019, refers.</p> <p>Your comment is noted.</p> <p>Please find attached correspondence from the Department of Health dated 23 July 2015 and will be attached to the draft Basic Assessment Report (BAR) as Appendix KS. In the aforementioned correspondence dated 23 July 2015 it was confirmed that "numerous measurement surveys, which have been conducted around the world and in South Africa, have shown that the actual levels of public exposure as a result of base station emissions invariably are only a fraction of the ICNIRP guidelines, even in instances where members of the public have been really concerned about their exposure to these emissions. At present there is no confirmed scientific evidence that points to any health hazard associated with the very low levels of exposure that the general public would typically experience in the vicinity of a cellular base station. The Department is therefore satisfied that the health of the general public is not being compromised by their exposure to the microwave emissions of cellular base stations. This also means that local and other authorities, in considering the environmental impact of any particular base station, do not need to and should not attempt, from a public health point of view, to set any restrictions with respect to parameters such as distance to the mast, duration of exposure, height of the mast, etc."</p> <p>Furthermore, please note that an additional location alternative will be assessed in the draft BAR as alternative 2 which will be located to the west of Kromboom Parkway (M5), further away from the park. Please find attached locality map showing the location of alternative 1 and alternative 2. Alternative 2 will be screened by a number of large trees, thereby reducing the associated visual impact of the proposed telecommunication mast.</p> <p>Thank you for providing comment on the aforementioned proposed development.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p>	EnviroAfrica
31/01/2019	<p>Dear Emile</p> <p>Cape Nature would like to thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed development and would like to make the following comments. Please note that our comments only pertain to the biodiversity related impacts and not the overall desirability of the proposed development.</p> <p>CapeNature commented on the Background Information Document for the proposed development in which we indicated that there are no terrestrial or freshwater biodiversity constraints to the proposed development based on desktop information, however we would comment in further detail on the Basic Assessment Report (BAR).</p> <p>The information contained within the Pre-Application BAR, including the site description, the site photographs and fine scale locality map provides further evidence that the footprint is completely transformed and there will not be any impact on biodiversity.</p> <p>In conclusion, CapeNature does not object to the application provided the EMP is implemented.</p> <p>CapeNature reserves the right to revise initial comments and request further information based on any additional information that may be received.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p>	CapeNature	Telecommunications Mast	<p>Dear Rhett,</p> <p>Your department's comment on the Pre-Application BAR dated 31 January 2019, refers.</p> <p>Please note that your comment is duly noted.</p> <p>Kindly note that an additional location alternative will be included and assessed in the draft BAR that will go out for public comment in due course.</p> <p>Thank you for providing comment on the Pre-Application BAR for the aforementioned proposal.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p>	EnviroAfrica

07/02/2019	<p>The above BAR with reference 16/3/3/6/7/1/A2/1/3213/18, lease proposal with reference T13/6/1/2/1659/A00 (130002701) and our comment on the lease proposal dated 10/11/2017 and 21/12/2017 refers.</p> <p>With the circulation of the lease application, referred to above, this office initially highlighted concerns around the position of the telecommunication infrastructure and the impact it may have on the existing park. The applicant responded by providing information requested by this office and the matter was resolved. The proposal was subsequently supported, subject to various conditions. The conditions are set out below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Minimum of 1 000 litre trees (Cape Ash) with a minimum stem girth of 100mm is required. The above specifications must be noted on the Landscape Plan. 2. Proposed shrub species and planting density to be specified. 3. The landscape Plan must stipulate that the applicant will be responsible for the implementation (including all costs) as well as the on-going maintenance of landscaping. Further note that the trees may only be pruned in consultation with the Area Head: Recreation and Parks. 4. Considering the current water crises, the applicant is required to consider alternative and sustainable water sources for irrigation purposes. Please indicate which water source will be used as the use of potable water will not be permitted. This office recommends that landscaping only be implemented prior to the start of winter season (i.e. around May/June) - this is dependent on rainfall and an extension for the implementation of landscaping may be granted, if required. Details around the water source and timing of implementation should be noted on the landscape Plan. 	City of Cape Town	Telecommunications Mast	<p>Dear Rashaad,</p> <p>Your email with attached correspondence dated 07 February 2019, refers.</p> <p>Please note that your department's comment is duly noted.</p> <p>Kindly note that an additional location alternative will be considered as alternative 2 in the Revised Pre-Application Basic Assessment Report (Pre-App BAR) that will go out for public comment in due course. Please find attached map that shows alternative 2 which will be located to the west of Kromboom Parkway (M5), further away from the park. Please find attached locality map showing the location of alternative 1 and alternative 2. Alternative 2 will be screened by a number of large trees, thereby also reducing the associated visual impact of the proposed telecommunication mast. Alternative 2 will be assessed as the preferred alternative. The telecommunication mast, alternative 2, will be landscaped with Cape Ash as requested by the City of Cape Town. A number of trees will be planted around the proposed base station which will mitigate the visual impact of the base station. The proposed telecommunication mast's palisade fence will be painted green in order to blend in with the surrounding environment. Please find attached site plan for alternative 2.</p>	EnviroAfrica
"	<p>5. The applicant shall inform the Department as to when construction will commence. The applicant will be responsible for the repair of any damage caused to the above property during construction period. Please ensure that photos are taken of the site prior to commencing with the construction.</p> <p>I has, however, been noted that the proposed location of the cellular infrastructure shown in the BAR application is different to the location proposed in the lease application. The images below illustrate the difference in terms of location of the proposed cellular infrastructure.</p> <p>Based on the information available, please be advised that this office does not support the location of the proposed telecommunication infrastructure as illustrated in the BAR. The applicant is required to provide clarity around why the location differs from that proposed in the lease application. The applicant is also required to provide plans that accurately reflects the location of the existing park.</p> <p>Yours Faithfully, C. Engelbrecht</p>	City of Cape Town	Telecommunications Mast	<p>Please find attached correspondence from the Department of Health dated 23 July 2015 and will be attached to the draft Basic Assessment Report (BAR) as Appendix K5. In the aforementioned correspondence dated 23 July 2015 it was confirmed that <i>"numerous measurement surveys, which have been conducted around the world and in South Africa, have shown that the actual levels of public exposure as a result of base station emissions invariably are only a fraction of the ICNIRP guidelines, even in instances where members of the public have been really concerned about their exposure to these emissions. At present there is no confirmed scientific evidence that points to any health hazard associated with the very low levels of exposure that the general public would typically experience in the vicinity of a cellular base station. The Department is therefore satisfied that the health of the general public is not being compromised by their exposure to the microwave emissions of cellular base stations. This also means that local and other authorities, in considering the environmental impact of any particular base station, do not need to and should not attempt, from a public health point of view, to set any restrictions with respect to parameters such as distance to the mast, duration of exposure, height of the mast, etc."</i></p> <p>The applicant will inform the City of Cape Town of the approval of the proposed telecommunication mast as well as the proposed construction date once approved by the Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning (DEA&DP). Please note that the proposed telecommunication mast will not use water during the operational phase, and minimal amount of water will be used during the construction phase. The updated Environmental Management Programme (EMPr) will be implemented to mitigate visual impact during the construction and operational phases. Your department's recommendations will be included in the EMPr.</p> <p>Thank you for providing comment on the Pre-Application BAR for the aforementioned proposal.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p>	EnviroAfrica
07/02/2019	<p><u>1. The Residents' Association</u></p> <p>Please find attached our submission regarding the telecommunication mast proposed for the 8th Avenue Park on the corners of 8th Avenue and Sundown Roads.</p> <p>This submission has been formulated with the permission, contribution and participation of residents from the neighbourhood of Garlandale as well as Rondebosch East. These residents form the Interested Parties in relation to 8th Avenue Park.</p> <p>We have has a series of meeting in the park in 2018 to inform residents of the proposal. Following this, there has been extensive interaction with street committees in the area and finally a Public Meeting, in 2019, was held with Ward Councillor, Mr Kleinshmidt, present.</p> <p>The result of the interaction with all interested parties lie in the pages which follow. We trust that this document will put into perspective the impact this proposed telecommunications mast will have on the intended purpose for which the park was erected.</p> <p>Yours faithfully Garlandale - Rondebosch East Residents' Association.</p>	Garlandale Rondebosch East Residents Association	Telecommunications Mast	<p>Dear Roekeya,</p> <p>Your email dated 07 February 2019 with attached comment, refers.</p> <p>Please note that your comment is duly noted.</p> <p>Kindly see my responses to your comments raised:</p> <p><u>The Residents Association</u> Your objection is noted.</p>	EnviroAfrica

"	<p>2. The Location 3. The Environmental Assessment Practitioner Dear Interested and Affected Parties,</p> <p>NEMA PRE-APPLICATION BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT FOR COMMENT - PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF A 25M HIGH TELECOMMUNICATION MAST ON ERF 43547, 8TH AVENUE, KROMBOOM PARKWAY, ATHLONE, WESTERN CAPE</p> <p>Please see the WeTransfer link at https://we.tl/t-p248Harar8 to view and download the electronic copy of the Pre-Application Basic Assessment Report (BAR) for public comment for the proposed development of a telecommunication mast and base station on Erf 43547, 8th Avenue, Kromboom Parkway, Athlone, Western Cape. You can also access the Pre-App BAR on our website at https://enviroafrica.co.za/projects/for-public-participation/ under the projects for public participation section.</p>	Garlandale Rondebosch East Residents Association	Telecommunications Mast	<p>The Location Please note that an additional location alternative will be considered as alternative 2 in the Revised Pre-Application Basic Assessment Report (Pre-App BAR) that will go out for public comment in due course. Please find attached map that shows alternative 2 which will be located to the west of Kromboom Parkway (M5), further away from the park. Please find attached locality map showing the location of alternative 1 and alternative 2. Alternative 2 will be screened by a number of large trees, thereby also reducing the associated visual impact of the proposed telecommunication mast. Alternative 2 will be assessed as the preferred alternative.</p> <p>The Environmental Assessment Practitioner Noted.</p>	EnviroAfrica
"	<p>You as a registered Interested and Affected Party (I&AP) has 30 days to comment on the Pre-App BAR for comment (as per DEADP requirement). Should comments not be received within the prescribed timeframes, it would be assumed that no comments are forthcoming and DEADP will continue to process the application in the absence of your department's comments. Please note that the commenting period is from 07 January 2019 to 07 February 2019. The commenting period ends 07 February 2019.</p> <p>Comments are to be submitted to the: Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning (DEADP) Directorate: Development Management (Region 1) Attention: Mr Rainer Chambeau Private Bag X9086, Cape Town, 8000 Tel: 021 483 2729 / Fax: 021 483 4372 / Rainer.Chambeau@westerncape.gov.za</p> <p>All comments are to be copied to the Environmental Assessment Practitioner – see details below: EnviroAfrica CC ATT: Emile Esquire P.O. Box 5367 Helderberg 7135 Fax: 086 512 0154 / Tel: 021 8511616 / E-mail: emile@enviroafrica.co.za</p>	Garlandale Rondebosch East Residents Association	Telecommunications Mast	Noted.	EnviroAfrica
"	<p>Please note that the period from 15 December 2018 to 06 January 2019 is excluded from the reckoning of days in terms of the public participation process.</p> <p>Should you have any further queries, please do not hesitate to contact us.</p>	Garlandale Rondebosch East Residents Association	Telecommunications Mast	Noted.	
"	<p>The Park The Park measures 56m (North South) x 62m (Eas West). The bulk of the area form the gate westwards is taken up by park equipment and benches. From the equipment towards the M5 fence is taken up by trees and strip of open ground where children play ball.</p> <p>It is unthinkable that the area of the park where kids play ball will shrunk to such a small area that they will barely be able to play without running into the without running into the fence surround of the tower area.</p> <p>In addition to this, the area shown by yourselves is 6.5x15m. This will lead to either having to cut down trees to allow for the 6.5m, seeing as the tree closest to the fence is 5m from the fence line. Or moving the space further east and then you are in line of the park equipment and benches.</p> <p>As the community of Rondebosch East and Garlandale, we will certainly oppose cutting down trees and removal / and or relocation of park equipment. The layout of the park currently shade being provided for picnics and park equipment and benches for community enjoyment is intergral to our community social cohesion project currently undertaken by Garlandale - Rondebosch East Residents Association and RENEW (neighbourhood watch).</p> <p>In the pages which follows, you will come to understand our objection to the space being taken in the park for any other use but park activities.</p>	Garlandale Rondebosch East Residents Association	Telecommunications Mast	<p>The Park Please note that an additional location alternative will be considered as alternative 2 in the Revised Pre-Application Basic Assessment Report (Pre-App BAR) that will go out for public comment in due course. Please find attached map that shows alternative 2 which will be located to the west of Kromboom Parkway (M5), further away from the park. Please find attached locality map showing the location of alternative 1 and alternative 2. Alternative 2 will be screened by a number of large trees, thereby also reducing the associated visual impact of the proposed telecommunication mast. Alternative 2 will be assessed as the preferred alternative.</p>	EnviroAfrica

"	<p>The Maildrop We, the Residents of Rondebosch East and Garlandale, deem this list to be an insult to the residents of 2 neighbourhoods. We are aware of the notifications and advertisements placed in public spaces, but the Maildrop was the most important communication tool for the Assessment Practitioner.</p> <p>The park is used by people living in the area bordered by Klipfontein Road in the North and Kromboom Road in the South AND the M5 in the west and trainline in the East. To do a maildrop at 15 residences, is quit frankly insulting and unacceptable and we strongly object to half hearted effort to engage with the community.</p> <p>The Community 8th Avenue Community Park Over the past few years, 8th Avenue Park have been developed into a venue for community social events. A park which used to house broken park equipment, and sandy patches instead of grass and no lighting was turned into the community get-together-venue of choice.</p> <p>In 2012, the Garlandale Rondebosch East Residents' Association (GRERA) was consulted as a Civic Organisation and we requested upgrading of the neglected park. Over the 4 year period to 2016, our requests were met progressively with each passing financial year as the City could not afford all our requested in 1 single financial year.</p>	Garlandale Rondebosch East Residents Association	Telecommunications Mast	<p>The Maildrop Adequate Public Participation was undertaken as part of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process. An advertisement was placed in the People's Post on 26 June 2018, site poster placement and maildrops done on 13 June 2018. Please note that you as a registered Interested and Affected Party (I&AP) will be given an opportunity to comment on the Revised Pre-App BAR that will go out for public comment in due course.</p> <p>The Community I take note of the history of 8th Avenue Park. Please note that an additional location alternative will be considered as alternative 2 in the Revised Pre-Application Basic Assessment Report (Pre-App BAR) that will go out for public comment in due course. Please find attached map that shows alternative 2 which will be located to the west of Kromboom Parkway (M5), further away from the park. Please find attached locality map showing the location of alternative 1 and alternative 2. Alternative 2 will be screened by a number of large trees, thereby also reducing the associated visual impact of the proposed telecommunication mast. Alternative 2 will be assessed as the preferred alternative.</p>	EnviroAfrica
"	<p>Starting on the watch of former Ward Councillor, Anthea Green, the park equipment was upgraded and regularly maintained. She then arranged for an irrigation system to be installed and the area to be grassed. The next phase was the installation of lighting to keep the area free of criminal activity at night.</p> <p>Families then started hosting kiddy birthday parties in the park and kids could be seen kicking ball or playing creckett on the now grasses areas. The park has even played host to a number of community meetings to discuss various issues deemed to be public significance. And for a while, the park seemed to be in regular use.</p> <p>Then the neighbourhood was struck by an unprecedented crime wave that seemed to keep everyone indoors. The formation of an informal neighbourhood watch became our saviour as ordinary citizens patrolled the streets to curb crime. This informal group set up street committees and residents worked as a unit to regain our confidence in walking the streets and playing in the park.</p> <p>The informal group later matured into RENEW (Rondebosch East Neighbourhood Watch). RENEW have reclaimed the streets from criminal activity and since 2018, the park became part of the reclamation process. By hosting community events in the park, the park has become a safe place for kids of the neighbourhood to enjoy where they feel happy, protected and carefree.</p> <p>The next few pages document just a few of the events RENEW have hosted in the park over the past few months. The evidence is clear that this park is home to several community affairs and the loss of the park would have severe negative influence on the community. In addition to this, the City has allocated R120 000.00 in the 2019/2020 Budget for upgrading 8th Avenue Park to further the cause of residents in making the park home to all community events.</p>	Garlandale Rondebosch East Residents Association	Telecommunications Mast	<p>The Community I take note of the history of 8th Avenue Park. Please note that an additional location alternative will be considered as alternative 2 in the Revised Pre-Application Basic Assessment Report (Pre-App BAR) that will go out for public comment in due course. Please find attached map that shows alternative 2 which will be located to the west of Kromboom Parkway (M5), further away from the park. Please find attached locality map showing the location of alternative 1 and alternative 2. Alternative 2 will be screened by a number of large trees, thereby also reducing the associated visual impact of the proposed telecommunication mast. Alternative 2 will be assessed as the preferred alternative.</p>	EnviroAfrica
"	<p>The Kindship displayed at these events have brought people closer and consequently mad the community safer. We simply cannot sit back and have our home base taken away from us with the construction of a Cellular Tower within the boundaries of the 8th Avenue Park to..... a park which is Home to a close Knit Community.</p> <p>It has taken years and the hardwork of members of RENEW and GRERA to mould a Neighbourhood of Strangers into a Community of Friends and the 8th Avenue Park has played an integral role in making this dream a reality for the Neighbourhood.</p>	Garlandale Rondebosch East Residents Association	Telecommunications Mast	<p>The Community I take note of the history of 8th Avenue Park. Please note that an additional location alternative will be considered as alternative 2 in the Revised Pre-Application Basic Assessment Report (Pre-App BAR) that will go out for public comment in due course. Please find attached map that shows alternative 2 which will be located to the west of Kromboom Parkway (M5), further away from the park. Please find attached locality map showing the location of alternative 1 and alternative 2. Alternative 2 will be screened by a number of large trees, thereby also reducing the associated visual impact of the proposed telecommunication mast. Alternative 2 will be assessed as the preferred alternative.</p>	EnviroAfrica

	<p><u>The Petition Opposed to the Construction of the Telecommunication Mast</u></p> <p>Dear Roekeya,</p> <p>As per the meeting regarding the proposed cell phone mast in 8th Avenue, we totally oppose the erection of this tower in the Gardale / Rondebosch East neighbourhood.</p> <p>Reasons being for the negative health effects the electromagnetic field emits which contribute to: Varios cancers (scientific evidence has proven masts are direct causes of cancer) Headaches, brain tumors, AlzheimersDiabetes, Attention deficit disorders. It has thermal effects on cellular level.</p> <p>In South Africa the cellphone industry is still unregulated and uncontrolled, leaving the citizens open to corporate abuse and mongering. Recently Olle Johansson an associate professor at the department of neuroscience at the Karolinska Institute in Sweden and a scientific advisor to South Africa's Electromagnetic Radiation Research foundation by Tracy-Lee Dorny, wrote an impassioned plae to the SA government. He said several studies had demonstrated cellular DNA damage disruptions and alterations because of exposure to electromagnetic fields. Anything that's going to make you sick is of concern in our community. Therefore we totally oppose the cell phone mast.</p> <p>Please confirm receipt of the opposition and that it is sent trough the necessary authorities.</p> <p>Thanking you. Mrs. C. Jamal</p>	<p>Garlandale Rondebosch East Residents Association</p>	<p>Telecommunications Mast</p>	<p><u>The petition opposed to the construction of the telecommunication mast</u></p> <p>Your objection is noted. Please find attached correspondence from the Department of Health dated 23 July 2015 and will be attached to the draft Basic Assessment Report (BAR) as Appendix KS. In the aforementioned correspondence dated 23 July 2015 it was confirmed that "numerous measurement surveys, which have been conducted around the world and in South Africa, have shown that the actual levels of public exposure as a result of base station emissions invariably are only a fraction of the ICNIRP guidelines, even in instances where members of the public have been really concerned about their exposure to these emissions. At present there is no confirmed scientific evidence that points to any health hazard associated with the very low levels of exposure that the general public would typically experience in the vicinity of a cellular base station. The Department is therefore satisfied that the health of the general public is not being compromised by their exposure to the microwave emissions of cellular base stations. This also means that local and other authorities, in considering the environmental impact of any particular base station, do not need to and should not attempt, from a public health point of view, to set any restrictions with respect to parameters such as distance to the mast, duration of exposure, height of the mast, etc."</p> <p>Thank you for providing comment on the Pre-Application BAR for the aforementioned proposal.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p>	<p>EnviroAfrica</p>
<p>12/06/2019</p>	<p>Dear Rainer,</p> <p>The Pre-Application Basic Assessment Report (BAR) for aforementioned proposed development was made available for public comment from 07 January 2019 to 07 February 2019.</p> <p>However, please note that the location of the preferred site has subsequently changed. Please find attached locality map showing the old site in relation to the new site.</p> <p>In addition, the proposed mast will be located on the same property (Erf 43547), to the west of Kromboom Parkway (M5 Road).</p> <p>Please advise what level of Public Participation Process (PPP) would be required for the new site and moving this EIA Application forward.</p> <p>Do I now need another to do another round of PPP on the Pre-App BAR or do I now compile the NEMA Application and Submit the Draft BAR (Post-App BAR) for comment?</p> <p>Your urgent response would highly appreciated.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p>	<p>EnviroAfrica</p>	<p>Telecommunications Mast</p>		<p>EnviroAfrica</p>
<p>28/01/2019</p>	<p>The draft Basic Assessment Report ("BAR") dated December 2018 and received by this Department on 13 December 2018, and this Directorate's acknowledgement thereof dated 19 December 2018, refer.</p> <p>1. Public Participation</p> <p>1.1. Please note that the proof of the Public Participation Process conducted must be included in the final BAR to be submitted to the competent authority.</p> <p>2. Environmental Management Programme report ("EMPr")</p> <p>2.1. The EMPr does not meet the requirements of Appendix 4 of the NEMA EIA Regulations, 2014 due to the following:</p> <p>2.1.1. The details of the EAP that prepared the EMPr has not been provided;</p> <p>2.1.2. The curriculum vitae of the EAP has not been provided;</p> <p>2.1.3. A map or site layout has not been provided; and</p>	<p>DEA&DP</p>	<p>Telecommunications Mast</p>	<p>Dear Rainer,</p> <p>I hereby acknowledge receipt of the attached correspondence dated 28 January 2019.</p> <p>Please note that the aforementioned comment on the Pre-Application DBAR is duly noted.</p> <p>Kindly see my responses to your points raised below:</p> <p>1.1. Noted. Proof of the Public Participation Process undertaken can be found under Appendix F of the DBAR.</p> <p>2.1.1. Noted. The EMPr has been updated and the EAP details was included in the EMPr that will go out for comment in due course.</p> <p>2.1.2. Noted. The EAP curriculum vitae has been included in Point 1 of the EMPr and it was also attached as Appendix K7 of the Pre-App DBAR.</p> <p>2.1.3. Noted. A locality map of the proposed site was included in the EMPr. The updated EMPr will go out for public comment with the Pre-Application DBAR in due course.</p>	<p>EnviroAfrica</p>

	<p>2.1.4. A description of proposed impact management actions to remedy, control or stop any action, activity or process, which causes pollution or environmental degradation has not been provided as required in terms of section (1)(f) of Appendix 4 of the NEMA EIA Regulations, 2014.</p> <p>2.2. Please ensure that the site co-ordinates are correct as Page 7 differs from page 12 of the BAR, and same goes for pages 5 and 6 in the EMPr.</p> <p>Please note that the activity may not commence prior to an Environmental Authorisation being obtained from the competent authority.</p> <p>Kindly quote the abovementioned reference number in any future correspondence in respect of the pre-application process.</p> <p>The Department reserves the right to revise or withdraw comments or request further information based on any information received.</p> <p>Your interest in the future of our environment is greatly appreciated.</p> <p>Yours faithfully</p>	DEA&DP	Telecommunications Mast	<p>2.1.4. Noted. However, a description of the proposed impact management actions were included into the updated EMPr. The updated EMPr will go out for public comment with the Pre-Application DBAR in due course.</p> <p>2.2. Noted. Corrections were made to the EMPr and BAR. The updated EMPr will go out for public comment with the Pre-Application DBAR in due course.</p> <p>Thank you for providing comment on the Pre-Application BAR for the aforementioned proposal.</p> <p>Kind regards</p>	EnviroAfrica
REVISED PRE-APPLICATION BAR PUBLIC PARTICIPATION COMMENTS AND RESPONSES REPORT: PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF A 25M HIGH TELECOMMUNICATION MAST ON ERF 43547, KROMBOOM PARKWAY, ATHLONE, WESTERN CAPE					
06/09/2019	<p>The revised pre-application BAR dated September 2019 and received by this Department on 04 September 2019, refers.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> This letter serves as an acknowledgement of receipt of the aforementioned document by this Directorate. This Directorate will review the revised pre-application draft BAR and provide comment within the legislated timeframe. Kindly quote the abovementioned reference number in any future correspondence in respect of this report. Please note that the activity may not commence prior to an environmental authorisation being granted by this Department. <p>This Directorate reserves the right to revise or withdraw comments or request further information based on any information received.</p> <p>Your interest in the future of our environment is greatly appreciated.</p> <p>Yours faithfully Head of Department</p>	DEA&DP	Telecommunications Mast	<p>Dear Rainer,</p> <p>I hereby acknowledge receipt of the Department's correspondence dated 06 September 2019.</p> <p>Please note that the contents of the aforementioned letter dated 06 September 2019 is duly noted.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p>	EnviroAfrica
05/09/2019	<p>Dear Mr Clive September,</p> <p>You as a registered Interested and Affected Party (I&AP) has 30 days to comment on the revised Pre-Application Basic Assessment Report (Pre-App BAR) for comment (as per DEADP requirement). Should comments not be received within the prescribed timeframes, it would be assumed that no comments are forthcoming and DEADP will continue to process the application in the absence of your comments. Please note that a copy of the revised Pre-App BAR can be accessed on our website at https://enviroafrica.co.za/projects/for-public-participation/ . The commenting period ends 07 October 2019.</p> <p>Comments are to be submitted to the: Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning (DEADP) Directorate: Development Management (Region 1) Attention: Mr. Rainer Chambeau Private Bag X9086, Cape Town, 8000 Tel: 021 483 2729 / Fax: 021 483 4372/ E-mail: rainer.chambeau@westerncape.gov.za</p> <p>Should you have any further queries, please do not hesitate to contact us.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>	EnviroAfrica	Telecommunications Mast	<p>Dear Rainer</p> <p>After looking at the revised BAR I support ONLY Alternative 2 at co-ordinates 33°58'11.50"S, 18°29'38.93"E (locality map below-shown with red location bubble) as this has the least impact on surrounding residents, the community usable space and especially the 8th Avenue children's play park.</p> <p>Kind regards Clive September</p>	Clive September

03/10/2019	Based on the revised bar, I support Alternative 2 at co-ordinates 33°58'11.50"S, 18°29'38.93"E as it has the least impact on the surrounding residents, especially the children using the recreational park area.	Tenille September	Telecommunications Mast	Dear Tenille September, Your email correspondence dated 03 October 2019, refers. Your comment is duly noted. Thank you for providing comment on the revised Pre-App BAR for the aforementioned project. Kind regards,	EnviroAfrica
04/10/2019	Dear Sir The revised pre-application BAR dated September 2019 and received by this Department on 04 September 2019 and this Directorate's acknowledgement letter 06 Spetemeber 2019, refer. 1. Project Description According to the project description, the proposed development entails the development of a 25m high tree telecommunication mast and associated infrastructure (4 equipment shelters), enclosed with a 2.4m high palisade fence, with a development footprint measuring approximately 97.5m ² . You are however required to amend the project description to include the proposed landscaping around the base station. 2. Screening Report 2.1. Your attention is drawn to Government Notice No. 960 of 5 July 2019: Notice of the Requirement to submit a Report Generated by the National Web Based Environmental Screening Tool in terms of Section 24(5)(h) of the NEMA and Regulation 16(1)(b)(v) of the EIA Regulations, 2014 (as amended). 2.2. Please note that the submission of a report generated from the National Web Based Environmental Screening Tool will be compulsory as of 04 October 2019 when submitting an application for environmental authorisation in terms of Regulation 19 and 21 of the NEMA EIA Regulations, 2014 (as amended).	DEA&DP	Telecommunications Mast	Dear Rainer, I hereby acknowledge receipt of the Department's comment dated 04 October 2019. The Department's comment dated 04 October 2019 is duly noted. Please see responses to the points raised below: 1. Noted. The project description will be updated in the draft BAR and will include the proposed landscaping around the base station. 2.1. Noted. 2.2. Noted.	EnviroAfrica
"	2.3. However, a screening report is herewith attached for your consideration (Appendix A). Please note that according to the screening tool developed by the National Department of Environmental Affairs, the proposed site is located within sensitivity area from an agricultural perspective, a low sensitivity area from an aquatic biodiversity perspective, a high sensitivity area from an archaeological and cultural heritage theme perspective, a high sensitivity area from civil aviation theme perspective, a medium sensitivity area from a relative plant species theme perspective, a medium sensitivity area from a defence theme perspective, and a very high sensitivity area from a terrestrial biodiversity perspective. Please note that the screening report must be considered as part of the Environmental Impact Assessment ("EIA") Process. Further, the screening report has identified a number of specialist studies to be conducted. It is the responsibility of the Environmental Assessment Practitioner to confirm whether these specialist studies will be conducted or provide a motivation as to why the specialist studies will not be considered as part of the EIA process.	DEA&DP	Telecommunications Mast	2.3. Noted. * Visual Impact Assessment (VIA). The VIA was attached to the pre-application BAR as Appendix G2. *Agriculture Impact Assessment. No Agriculture Impact Assessment is required as the site is completely transformed and degraded and is located within Kromboom Parkway Road Reserve. The site is not zoned for agricultural purposes and is located within the urban area. Please refer to Appendix C for the site photos. * Archaeological and Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment. The proposed development does not require an Archaeological and Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment as Heritage Western Cape provided comment on 31 July 2019, and has indicated that there is no reason to believe that the proposed development at Kromboom Parkway Road Reserve, will impact on Heritage Resources, and that no further action under Section 38 of the National Heritage Resources Act (Act 25 of 1999) is required. * Palaeontology Impact Assessment. The proposed development does not require a Palaeontological Impact Assessment. The preferred site is completely transformed and degraded and is located within Kromboom Parkway Road Reserve. Heritage Western Cape provided comment on 31 July 2019, and has indicated that there is no reason to believe that the proposed development at Kromboom Parkway Road Reserve, will impact on Heritage Resources, and that no further action under Section 38 of the National Heritage Resources Act (Act 25 of 1999) is required. However, should any heritage resources, including evidence of graves and human burials, archaeological material and palaeontological material be discovered during the execution of the activities above, all works must be stopped immediately and Heritage Western Cape must be notified without delay.	EnviroAfrica

		DEA&DP	Telecommunications Mast	<p>* Terrestrial Biodiversity Impact Assessment. No Terrestrial Biodiversity Impact Assessment is required for the proposed development as the proposed site (alternative 2) is completely transformed and degraded due to past development activities on the property. The proposed site is not located within a Critical Biodiversity Area (CBA) or Ecological Support Area (ESA) and is located within Kromboom Parkway Road Reserve. The Biodiversity Sensitivity map was attached as Appendix D of the Pre-App BAR. Also refer to Appendix C for the site photos.</p> <p>* Aquatic Biodiversity Impact Assessment. No Aquatic Biodiversity Impact Assessment is required for the proposed development as the proposed site (alternative 2) is completely transformed and degraded due to past development activities on the property. The proposed site is not located within a Critical Biodiversity Area (CBA) or Ecological Support Area (ESA) and is located within Kromboom Parkway Road Reserve. The Biodiversity Sensitivity map was attached as Appendix D of the Pre-App BAR. The proposed site is not located within 32m of any surface water resources. Also refer to Appendix C for the site photos.</p> <p>* Civil Aviation Assessment. No Civil Aviation Assessment is required. The South African Civil Aviation Authority provided an obstacle approval on 19 January 2019 and was attached to the Pre-App BAR as Appendix E2.</p> <p>* Defence Assessment. The proposed site may have a medium sensitivity according to the screening tool, but the site is located along Kromboom Parkway Road Reserve and is completely transformed. No Defence Assessment required.</p> <p>* RFI Assessment. Given the small nature and extent of the proposed development, no RFI Assessment would be required.</p> <p>* Geotechnical Assessment. Given the small nature and extent of the proposed development, no Geotechnical Assessment would be required. Building plan approval will be required from the City of Cape Town.</p>	EnviroAfrica
	<p>3. Alternatives</p> <p>3.1. This Directorate notes that an additional site/location alternative has been identified and assessed. Initially Alternative 1 was considered the preferred mast structure and location for the area, however based on the comments received from Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs), an alternative site location was considered. Alternative 2 now deemed as the preferred site/location alternative, as it would have less visual impacts (where compared to Alternative 1) and is located adjacent to some tall trees which acts as mitigation.</p> <p>3.2. It is understood that Alternative 2 is also located on Erf 43547, but west of Kromboom Parkway.</p>	DEA&DP	Telecommunications Mast	<p>* Plant Species Assessment. No Plant Species Assessment is required as the proposed site (alternative 2) is completely transformed from its natural condition due to past development activities on the property, and that the site is located within the Kromboom Parkway Road Reserve. The site is covered with some kikuyu grass. Please refer to Appendix C of the Pre-App BAR for the site photos.</p> <p>* Animal Species Assessment. No Animal Species Assessment is required as the proposed site (alternative 2) is completely transformed from its natural condition due to past development activities on the property, and that the site is located within the Kromboom Parkway Road Reserve. The site is covered with some kikuyu grass. Please refer to Appendix C of the Pre-App BAR for the site photos.</p> <p>3.1. Noted.</p> <p>3.2. Noted.</p>	EnviroAfrica
	<p>3.3. A tree mast design was considered the preferred design alternative as it has the capacity to hold the necessary required equipment as well as being able to blend in with the surroundings due to the presence of all tall mature trees surrounding the site. Landscaping around the base station is also proposed to minimize potential visual impacts associated with the proposed development.</p> <p>4. Services This Directorate notes that confirmation of electricity will be sourced from the landowner. The power requirements are relatively low, and the site will be accessed via Kromboom Parkway (M5).</p> <p>5. Environmental Management Programme ("EMPr") 5.1. Please correct the spelling error on page 8, the first paragraph under heading "Recommendation of Impact MITIGATION/minimization" reads "three" instead of "tree".</p> <p>5.2. Suitable working hours need to be inserted into the EMPr specifically with regards to the construction of phase, this must be in accordance with the National Building Regulations /SANS 10400-F:2010 i.e. between 06h00 and 18h00 on weekdays.</p>	DEA&DP	Telecommunications Mast	<p>3.3. Noted. Landscaping around the base station will be done.</p> <p>4. Noted. The site will be accessed from Kromboom Parkway (M5).</p> <p>5.1. Noted. I have made the required changes to the EMPr.</p> <p>5.2. Noted. I have inserted the suitable working hours into the EMPr. The suitable working hours is from 06:00 – 18:00 weekdays.</p>	EnviroAfrica
	<p>6. Public Participation</p> <p>6.1. You are reminded that all comments received on the revised Pre-Application draft BAR must be adequately addressed prior to submission of the application for environmental authorisation.</p> <p>6.2. The City of Cape Town's comment dated 07 February 2019, must be addressed. According to their comment, the location of the mast differed from the lease application and was not supported. The landowner consent form for the new site must therefore be provided along with your application for Environmental Authorisation.</p> <p>6.3. Please note that the proof of the Public Participation Process conducted must be included in the BAR to be submitted to the competent authority as part of the application.</p>	DEA&DP	Telecommunications Mast	<p>6.1. Noted.</p> <p>6.2. Noted. The City of Cape Town's comment dated 07 February 2019 will be addressed. The landowner consent form for the new site (alternative 2) will be inserted into the application form.</p> <p>6.3. Noted. The proof of the Public Participation Process (PPP) undertaken will be attached to the draft BAR as Appendix F.</p>	EnviroAfrica

"	<p>7. General</p> <p>7.1. The applicant is reminded of their general duty of care and the remediation of environmental damage. Section 28(1) of the NEMA specifically states that- <i>"Every person who causes, has caused or may cause significant pollution or degradation of the environment must take reasonable measures to prevent such pollution or degradation from occurring, continuing or recurring, or, in so far as such harm to the environment is authorised by law or cannot reasonably be avoided or stopped, to minimise and rectify such pollution or degradation of the environment."</i></p> <p>7.2. Please note that the pre-application consultation is an advisory process and does not pre-empt the outcome of any future application which may be submitted to the Department. No information provided, views expressed and/or comments made by officials during the pre-application consultation should in any way be seen as an indication or confirmation: * that additional information or documents will not be requested; or * of the outcome of the application.</p> <p>8. Please note that the activity may not commence prior to an Environmental Authorisation being granted by the Department.</p>	DEA&DP	Telecommunications Mast	<p>7.1. Noted.</p> <p>7.2. Noted.</p> <p>8. Noted.</p>	EnviroAfrica
"	<p>Kindly quote the abovementioned reference number in any future correspondence in respect of the pre-application.</p> <p>The Department reserves the right to revise or withdraw comments or request further information based on any information received.</p> <p>Your interest in the future of our environment is greatly appreciated.</p> <p>Yours faithfully</p>	DEA&DP	Telecommunications Mast	<p>Thank you for providing comment on the Pre-Application BAR for the aforementioned proposal.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p>	EnviroAfrica
07/10/2019	<p>Dear Sir/Madam</p> <p>This email serves to register an objection to the proposed site for the telecommunications mast, named as Kromboom Alt 2. Our objection is based on the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Our property borders the Sangrove Drive Park at number 32 Sangrove Drive and a 25 m mask on the canal side will be very visible above the tree line should the site Alt 2, be the preferred site for the mast. 2. The infrastructure details are not detailed in the proposed development communication letter, but a large monopole with associated antenna and clearing an area of almost a 100m meters would be a considerable eyesore well within the view of all the houses surrounding Sangrove Park and would lead to property devaluation due to the large visible mast within the line of sight. 3. In association with this, the removal of established trees within the 100 m radius for the mast site, would break the sound barriers that the trees afford from the sound of traffic on the M5 and again, add to the general noise pollution that we already have to contend with living so close to the M5. 4. The more serious objection is the one of property devaluation – we pay a substantial amount in rates on this side of the M5 as opposed to the Athlone side of the M5 and these rates will not be decreased or changed due to a 25m telecommunications mast spoiling the natural environment, but the properties themselves will be devalued in resale opportunities as a result. 	Jacky Withers	Telecommunications Mast	<p>Dear Jacky Withers,</p> <p>Your email correspondence dated 07 October 2019, refers.</p> <p>Please see my responses to your points raised below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. The proposed telecommunication base station will be landscaped with some shrubs in order to mitigate the associated visual impact. The large trees to the east and west of the proposed site (Alt 2) will provide some visual screening. 2. Noted. It is proposed that a 25m high monopole tree mast be constructed. The proposed telecommunication base station will be landscaped with some shrubs in order to mitigate the associated visual impact. The large trees to the east and west of the proposed site (Alt 2) will provide some visual screening. More detail is provided in the Pre-Application Basic Assessment Report (Pre-App BAR), which can also be accessed on our website at https://enviroafrica.co.za/projects/for-public-participation/ 3. Noted. However, please be advised that no trees will be removed during the construction phase. 4. Noted. The telecommunication mast is unlikely to have a negative impact on property values as the site is located within the Kromboom Parkway Road Reserve and will resemble a tree type telecommunication structure. The proposed telecommunication base station will be landscaped with some shrubs in order to mitigate the associated visual impact. 	EnviroAfrica

	<p>5. The threat of radiation or non-ionizing radiation from the mast is also of grave concern. There is enough scientific literature to support the idea that persons living where telecommunication masts are erected are vulnerable to disorders and disease like cancer, lung disease, sleep disturbances due to the noise from the masts, to name a few. The fact that some of the houses on the Alternative site 2 are within 50 meters of the proposed site for the mast is also an issue of grave concern for radiation exposure. The effect of the intensity of the radiation cannot be said to fit guidelines that ensure minimal health impact as radiation affects individuals differently and cannot be seen in the light of 'one rule fits all'.</p> <p>6. The fact that the notice is affixed to park railing and that there has been no communication with residents in terms of flyers or letters – assuming that everyone visits the park or even sees the notice is unacceptable communication regarding such a serious matter.</p> <p>7. The mast will impact on the safety, health and well being of the local community and should not be proposed for Alternative site 2.</p> <p>As home owners next to the Alternative site 2 – we object most strongly to the erection of this mast on this proposed site.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>	Jacky Withers	Telecommunications Mast	<p>5. Noted. However, please find attached correspondence from the Department of Health dated 23 July 2015 and was attached to the Pre-App BAR as Appendix K4. In the aforementioned correspondence dated 23 July 2015 it was confirmed that "numerous measurement surveys, which have been conducted around the world and in South Africa, have shown that the actual levels of public exposure as a result of base station emissions invariably are only a fraction of the ICNIRP guidelines, even in instances where members of the public have been really concerned about their exposure to these emissions. At present there is no confirmed scientific evidence that points to any health hazard associated with the very low levels of exposure that the general public would typically experience in the vicinity of a cellular base station. The Department is therefore satisfied that the health of the general public is not being compromised by their exposure to the microwave emissions of cellular base stations. This also means that local and other authorities, in considering the environmental impact of any particular base station, do not need to and should not attempt, from a public health point of view, to set any restrictions with respect to parameters such as distance to the mast, duration of exposure, height of the mast, etc."</p> <p>6. Noted. Please note that maildrops were also done along Sangrove Drive and the poster placed against the palisade fence at Sangrove Park. Please refer to Appendix F of the Pre-App BAR for the public participation undertaken.</p> <p>7. Noted. The site will be enclosed with a 2.4m high palisade fence for safety and security reasons. Please refer to Appendix K4 of the Pre-App BAR for the correspondence from the Department of Health dated 23 July 2015.</p> <p>Your objection is duly noted.</p> <p>Thank you providing comment on the Pre-App BAR for the aforementioned proposed development.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p>	EnviroAfrica
07/10/2019	<p>Dear Mr Esquire, Below my letter from Monday 7 October. I have not received any feedback. Can you please acknowledge receipt of this letter.</p> <p>Dear Mr Esquire,</p> <p>Your letter dated 30 August 2019, Proposed development of a 25m high telecommunication mast on erf 43547, Kromboom Parkway refers. NEMA EIA Public participation process.</p> <p>I strongly object to this above proposed development. Base on personal and community interest, my reasons include:</p> <p>* These towers have substantial associated infrastructure, e.g. the broad base, the protection around it, plus the actual tower. 97.5 square metres is a huge block to clear and cordon off, considering the space available on that side of the canal (Which runs right next to Rover Park).</p> <p>* Alternative 2 (Atlas Tower's preferred location) is right next to a small neighbourhood park which is a precious green patch in our community. Rover Park has lots of residents, parents and young children, as well as animals frequenting it on a daily basis, especially during mid mornings and later afternoons. Having a cell tower so close to this area where people come out to enjoy a sense of community, breathe and relax would have a deleterious effect!</p> <p>* It would be an eyesore to have this tower base and its infrastructure located in such extremely close proximity to this neighbourhood park - and would be highly visible past the tree trunks.</p>	Karin Roux	Telecommunications Mast	<p>Dear Karin,</p> <p>Your e-mail correspondences dated 07 October 2019 and 10 October 2019, refers.</p> <p>Please see my response to your points raised below:</p> <p>1. Noted. The proposed telecommunication mast and base station has a relatively small footprint (97,5m²) and will be situated on an undeveloped part of Erf 43547 (Kromboom Parkway Road Reserve).</p> <p>2. Noted. Please note that Alternative 1 is located within 8th Avenue Park and Alternative 2 is located approximately 30m east of Rover Park. Alternative 2 will have no biophysical impact on Rover Park or the canal to the east of the proposed site. The area around the telecommunication base station (Alternative 2) will be landscaped with indigenous shrubs and trees in order to mitigate the associated visual impact.</p> <p>3. Noted. Alternative 2 will have no biophysical impact on Rover Park or the canal to the east of the proposed site. The area around the telecommunication base station (Alternative 2) will be landscaped with indigenous shrubs and trees in order to mitigate the associated visual impact.</p>	EnviroAfrica

	<p>* The mast would sadly also be very visible seeing it'll be so high, plus most of the trees on the fence boundary are deciduous.</p> <p>* The safety of living in close proximity to such telecommunication towers has also not been conclusively proven.</p> <p>* I don't believe our immediate area needs a boost in cellphone reception or traffic as the existing infrastructure seems to cope with demand.</p> <p>I suggest that further alternatives are investigated as an urgent matter please.</p> <p>Thank you, sincerely, K Roux</p> <p>Mrs K Roux 30 Rover Road Rondebosch 7700 Preferred communication method: rouxkarin1@gmail.com</p>	Karin Roux	Telecommunications Mast	<p>4. Noted. The area around the telecommunication bas station (Alternative 2) will be landscaped with indigenous shrubs and trees in order to mitigate the associated visual impact. People usually use public parks lees in winter than in summer, due to cold winter weather.</p> <p>5. Noted. However, the Department of Health issued a correspondence on 23 July 2015, which was also attached to the revised Pre-App Basic Assessment Report (Pre-App BAR) as Appendix K5. In the aforementioned correspondences dated 23 July 2015 it was confirmed that "numerous measurement surveys, which have been conducted around the world and in South Africa, have shown that the actual levels of public exposure as a result of base station emissions invariably are only a fraction of the ICNIRP guidelines, even in instances where members of the public have been really concerned about their exposure to these emissions. At present there is no confirmed scientific evidence that points to any health hazard associated with the very low levels of exposure that the general public would typically experience in the vicinity of a cellular base station. The Department is therefore satisfied that the health of the general public is not being compromised by their exposure to the microwave emissions of cellular base stations. This also means that local and other authorities, in considering the environmental impact of any particular base station, do not need to and should not attempt, from a public health point of view, to set any restrictions with respect to parameters such as distance of the mast, duration of exposure, height of mast, et. ". Furthermore, the proposed telecommunication mast will be enclosed with a 2.4m high palisade fence for safety and security reasons.</p> <p>6. Noted. As the population of an area increases, so does the demand for reliable network coverage.</p> <p>Please note that your objection to the proposed development is noted.</p> <p>Thank you for providing comment on the revised Pre-App BAR for the aforementioned proposed development.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p>	EnviroAfrica
02/10/2019	<p>Reference is made to the above-mentioned document dated 05 September 2019 with DEA&DP Pre-Application reference number 16/3/3/6/7/1/A2/1/3213/18.</p> <p>The Department perused the above-mentioned report and has the following comments:</p> <p>* Any development within the 1:100 year flood line and/or delineated riparian habitat, whichever is the greatest distance or on the area within 100m from the edge of a watercourse or within 500m from any boundary of a wetland or a pan constitutes a water use activity and must be authorised and registered in terms of the National Water Act (Act 36 of 1998) and before the proposed development may commence.</p> <p>* No abstraction of surface or groundwater may be done without the prior authorisation from this Department, unless it is a Schedule 1 Use or an Existing Lawful Use.</p> <p>* A stormwater management plan must be implemented. The clean stormwater system must be separate from the dirty stormwater system.</p> <p>* No surface, ground or stor water may be polluted as a result of any activities emanating from the site.</p> <p>* Solid waste must be managed in accordance with the requirements of the relevant legislation.</p> <p>* All the requirements of the National Water Act, 1998 (Act 36 of 1998) regarding water use and pollution management must be adhered to at all times.</p> <p>Should you have any further questions in this regard you are welcome to contact this office.</p>	Department of Water & Sanitation	Telecommunications Mast	<p>Dear M. Noqhamza,</p> <p>I hereby acknowledge receipt of your Department's comment dated 02 October 2019.</p> <p>Please note that the contents of the aforementioned letter dated 02 October 2019 is duly noted.</p> <p>The proposed development does not require water and no water will be abstracted from any surface or groundwater source during the construction and operational phase.</p> <p>The proposed development is not located within 32m of a watercourse or within 500m of any wetland. The site is transformed and degraded and is located within the Kromboom Parkway Road Reserve on Erf 43547.</p> <p>Stormwater will be managed in accordance with the Environmental Management Programme (EMPr). No surface, ground or stormwater will be polluted by the proposed activity.</p> <p>Furthermore, solid waste will be managed in accordance with the EMPr.</p> <p>Thank you for providing comment on the aforementioned development proposal.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p>	EnviroAfrica

05/11/2019	<p>The revised draft Basic Assessment Report (dBAR), dated September 2019, has reference. The revised dBAR was sent to key City departments for comment. A summary of their comment is below and detailed comments attached in Appendix A.</p> <p>1. Catchment Stormwater and River Management - Motlatsi Nkhoesa This application is supported, however the following should be noted, 1. Alt 2 is situated ±9m from a flood plain and should the position of Alt 2 be revised this office reserves the right to review the comments as deemed appropriate, 2. The Kromboom perennial canal is situated ±135m from Alt 1 and ±22m from Alt 2, 3. The DEA&DP_Revised Pre-App Basic_Assessment_Report_Kromboom Park, Section 8: 3. GROUNDWATER, SOIL AND GEOLOGICAL STABILITY OF THE SITE (Pg 15), 4. SURFACE WATER (Pg 16), 6. BIODIVERSITY (Pg 22), does not reflect point 1.2 above.</p> <p>2. Recreation and Parks Department - Cythna Minnaar This office has no objection to the proposed revised location of the cellular mast and associated and also supports the proposed screening measures. The applicant is, however, required to make the necessary amendments to the proposed landscape plan, prior to any further approvals being issued.</p> <p>3. Environmental Management - Rashaad Samaai The Environmental Management department has no objection to the construction of a Telecommunication Base Station at Alternative Site 2, provided that the mitigation measures described in the dBAR are implemented.</p> <p>My Department reserves the right to revise its comment based on new information received.</p> <p>Kind regards, Any Greenwood</p>	City of Cape Town	Telecommunications Mast	<p>Dear Rashaad,</p> <p>I hereby acknowledge receipt of the attached comment from the City of Cape Town (CoCT) dated 05 November 2019.</p> <p>Please note that the contents of the aforementioned correspondence dated 05 November 2019 is duly noted.</p> <p>Kindly see responses to your department's comments raised below:</p> <p>1. Catchment Stormwater and River Management – Motlatsi Nkhoesa 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. Noted. Amendment and correction will be made in the Post-Application draft BAR (Post-App BAR).</p> <p>2. Recreation and Parks Department – Cythna Minnaar Noted. The required amendments will be made to the landscape plan.</p> <p>3. Environmental Management – Rashaad Samaai Noted. The recommendations of the CoCT will be inserted into the landscape plan and the mitigation measures as described in the draft BAR and EMPr will be implemented.</p> <p>Thank you for providing comment on the aforementioned development proposal.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p>	EnviroAfrica
------------	---	-------------------	-------------------------	---	--------------