

REASONS FOR THE DECISION

In reaching its decision, the competent authority, inter alia, considered the following:

- a) The information contained in the, Environmental Authorisation application form received by the competent authority on the **07th March 2018**, the Basic Assessment Report (BAR) received by the competent authority on the **11th of April 2019**.
- b) Relevant information contained in the Departmental information base, including, the Department's circular on the One Environmental Management System dated 8 December 2014;
- c) The objectives and requirements of relevant legislation, policies and guidelines, including Section 2 of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) ("NEMA");
- d) The comments received from Interested and Affected Parties ("I&APs") and the responses provided thereon, as included in the EIAR dated **11th of April 2019**;
- e) This application was submitted in terms of the 2014 NEMA Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations ("EIA Regulations");
- f) The sense of balance of the negative and positive impacts and proposed mitigation measures;
- g) No site visits were conducted. The competent authority had sufficient information before it to make an informed decision without conducting a site visit; and
- h) The financial provision to the amount of **R130 036.25.00** provided in the form of cash deposits on the **26th of April 2019**, which is in line with mining activities for the rehabilitation and/ or management of negative environmental impact.

All information presented to the competent authority was taken into account in the consideration of the application for environmental authorisation. A summary of the issues which, according to the competent authority, were the most significant reasons for the decision is set out below.

1. Exemption

No Exemption from NEMA and its Regulation was granted to the applicant by the competent authority. No Public Participation Process ("PPP") in accordance with Regulation 4(3) in terms of the National Exemption Regulations and Regulation 41 in terms of the EIA Regulation 2014 was conducted by the Department of Mineral Resources; the applicant and the Environmental Assessment Practitioner did the PPP and the Department was satisfied with the documentation that was submitted as a proof of the whole process.

2. Public Participation

No deviations requested and accepted by the Department from certain requirements of Regulation 41 of Government Notice No. R. 326.

The PPP conducted as part of the EIAR process included:

- Notification to landowner and adjacent landowners;
- fixing a notice board at the site and any alternative site where the listed activity/ies;

All the concerns raised by I&APs were responded to and adequately addressed during the PPP. Specific management and mitigation measures have been considered in this environmental authorisation and in the EMP/closure plan to adequately address the concerns raised.

The Department concurs with the Environmental Assessment Practitioner's responses to the issues raised during the PPP and has included appropriate conditions in this environmental authorisation.

3. Alternatives

The proposed mining area is selected as it contains good quality sand located in a convenient position in close proximity to transport routes. The layout and technology of this sand mining project has been determined by the shape, position, and orientation of the mineral resource.

No other alternatives in regard to the preferred site, activities and technology is considered as the current planning is to be best possible option at this stage to ensure minimal environmental disturbance and cost effective prospecting operation.

4. Impacts, assessment and mitigation measures

All the negative impacts identified and assessed shall be mitigated in accordance with the Environmental Management plan mitigation measures.

5. NEMA Principles

The NEMA Principles (set out in Section 2 of NEMA, which apply to the actions of all Organs of State, serve as guidelines by reference to which any Organ of State must exercise any function when taking any decision, and which must guide the interpretation, administration and implementation of any other law concerned with the protection or management of the environment), inter alia, provides for:

- the effects of decisions on all aspects of the environment to be taken into account;
- the consideration, assessment and evaluation of the social, economic and environmental impacts of activities (disadvantages and benefits), and for decisions to be appropriate in the light of such consideration and assessment;
- the co-ordination and harmonisation of policies, legislation and actions relating to the environment;
- the resolving of actual or potential conflicts of interest between Organs of State through conflict resolution procedures; and
- the selection of the best practicable environmental option.

6. Conclusion

In view of the above, the NEMA principles, compliance with the conditions stipulated in this environmental authorisation, and compliance with the EMP/closure plan, the competent authority is satisfied that the proposed listed activity/ies will not conflict with the general objectives of Integrated Environmental Management stipulated in Chapter 5 of NEMA and that any potentially detrimental environmental impacts resulting from the listed activity/ies can be mitigated to acceptable levels.

-END-