

Nature of Impact			Without Mitigation (Baseline)					With Mitigation					With Mitigation Score (Impact Assessment)	Proposed Mitigation	
Number	Aspect	Impact	Probability (Likelihood)	Extent	Duration (Frequency)	Magnitude (Intensity/Severity)	Receiving Environment (Consequence)	Without Mitigation Score (Baseline)	Probability (Likelihood)	Extent	Duration (Frequency)	Magnitude (Intensity/Severity)			Receiving Environment (Consequence)
CONSTRUCTION PHASE															
1	Botanical	Loss of Swartland Shale Renosterveld (CR)	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2							The implementation of the no-go option would mean the status quo of the environment will remain the same. No mitigation measures recommended.
2		Loss of ESAs	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2							The implementation of the no-go option would mean the status quo of the environment will remain the same. No mitigation measures recommended.
3		Soil Contamination	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2							The implementation of the no-go option would mean the status quo of the environment will remain the same. No mitigation measures recommended.
4	Freshwater	Loss of Riparian Habitat	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2							The implementation of the no-go option would mean the status quo of the environment will remain the same. No mitigation measures recommended.
5		Alteration of Hydrology of the drainage line	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2							The implementation of the no-go option would mean the status quo of the environment will remain the same. No mitigation measures recommended.
6		Surface water & ground water contamination	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2							The implementation of the no-go option would mean the status quo of the environment will remain the same. No mitigation measures recommended.
7		Erosion & Sedimentation	-16	-2	-8	-16	-4	-10							The area is susceptible to high erosion which may result in sedimentation of watercourses present within the proposed site for development.
8	Heritage	Loss of Heritage Resources	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2							The implementation of the no-go option would mean the status quo of the environment will remain the same. No mitigation measures recommended.
9	Dust	Dust from site topsoil removal, construction, rehabilitation	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2							The implementation of the no-go option would mean the status quo of the environment will remain the same. No mitigation measures recommended.
10	Visual	Negative visual impact of the proposed development	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2							The implementation of the no-go option would mean the status quo of the environment will remain the same. No mitigation measures recommended.