

DEA SCREENING TOOL_ SPECIALIST ASSESSMENTS IDENTIFIED (COMMENTS AND MOTIVATIONS)

THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF AN INSTREAM DAM ON PORTIONS 2 AND 3 OF FARM NO. 1100, BONATHABA, MALMESBURY, WESTERN CAPE

| No | Specialist Assessment | Comments/ Motivations |
|----|---|---|
| 1 | Landscape/ Visual Impact Assessment | The proposed site is zoned for agricultural purposes and is surrounded by agricultural land uses. The proposed site is located on an undeveloped part of the property and is located adjacent to an existing fam road with limited vehicles utilizing this farm road. The type of development proposed is in line with the surrounding land use and therefore, will not be a novel visual impact. Therefore, it is envisaged that a Visual Impact Assessment will not be required. |
| 2 | Archaeological and Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) | A Notice of Intent to Develop will be submitted to HWC. Response received from HWC will be acted on accordingly and a HIA will be conducted should it be required by HWC. As per section 38 of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act 25 of 1999), and more specifically section 38. (1) (c) - any development or other activity which will change the character of a site- <i>(i) exceeding 5 000 m² in extent;</i> This subsection is applicable to this application as the proposed development is approximately 170 000m ² (17ha). Thus, a Heritage Assessment may be required <i>subject to response received from HWC on NID.</i> |
| 3 | Palaeontological Impact Assessment | As per the PalaeoSensitivity Map , the site is located within an area of low paleonotological sensitivity (see Figure 1 below/ accessed at: <u>https://sahris.sahra.org.za/map/palaeo</u>). Therefore, although no palaeontological studies are required, a protocol for finds is required and will be included addressed in the Draft EIR phase. |

| CAUDIC | |
|---|----------------------------|
| SAHKIS | |
| A Explore Calendar Maps Help | |
| PalaeoSensitivity Map | |
| Figure 1. Site palaeonotological sensitivity (Blue | |
| The proposed site is located within the Swartland | |
| vegetation type classified as Critically Endangered (GN | |
| No animals were noted on site during the site visit. It is e | - |
| Terrestrial Impact Assessment will be required (please see comm | |
| 4 Biodiversity which will comment on and characterize aspects of t | |
| Assessment component present in the proposed site for development | |
| to mitigate any potential impacts on terrestrial biodivers | |
| stipulated in the Environmental Impact Report (EIR). | _ |
| that a Terrestrial Biodiversity Assessment will not be | required for the proposed |
| development. As per the DEA Screening Tool, the Aquatic Biodivers | ity Thoma is classified as |
| Low. However, due to the nature of the proposed dev | - |
| Aquatic Biodiversity 5 Aquatic Biodiversity | |
| Impact Assessment support the proposed Water Use Authorisation (WU | |
| submitted). A Freshwater Impact Assessment has been | , |
| Hydrological | |
| 6 Assessment Please see motivation above. | |
| All comments received from I&APs will be addressed | and responded to by the |
| Socio-economic relevant personnel, namely the EAP, Applicant, and/o | or Specialists. Conditions |
| Assessment and measures, which will be addressed and stipulated | in the EIR and EMPr, will |
| be implemented to mitigate any impacts on socioecon | nomic development within |

| | | the area and surrounds. Therefore, it is envisaged that a Socio-economic |
|---|------------------------------|--|
| | | Assessment will not be required. |
| 8 | | Although the Plant Species Theme was classified as Medium (see DEA |
| | | Screening Tool), it is envisaged that a Botanical Assessment will be required. A |
| | | Botanical Assessment has been undertaken to determine the presence of any |
| | Plant Species | plant species of conservational value within the proposed development footprint. |
| | Assessment | It must be noted that conditions and mitigation measures will be addressed and |
| | | stipulated in the EIR and EMPr to mitigate potential impact(s) of the proposed |
| | | development on plant species of concervational importance, present within the |
| | | development footprint. |
| | Animal Species Assessment | No animals were noted on site during the site visit however, conditions and |
| | | measures will be addressed and stipulated in the EIR and EMPr to mitigate |
| 9 | | potential impact(s) of the proposed development on animal species. This will |
| | | include conducting earth moving activities in phases (to enable any animal |
| | | species which may be present to move away from the area and to reduce soil |
| | | erosion). Therefore, it is envisaged that no Animal Species Assessment will be |
| | | required. |

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you require any further information or clarity on the above.

Best Regards,

Anthony Mader



Environmental Assessment Practitioner

EnviroAfrica cc

- p: +27 21 851 1616 m: +27 83 309 9211
- f: +27 86 512 0154
- a: Unit 7, Pastorie Park, Reitz St, Somerset West, 7130P.O. Box 5367, Helderberg, 7135
- w: www.enviroafrica.co.za e: anthony@enviroafrica.co.za