"No-Go" Development Alternative															
	Nature of Impact			Without Mitigation (Baseline)  Magnitude				Receiving Without		With Mitigation			With Mitigation		
Number	Aspect	Impact	Probability (Likelihood)	Extent	Duration (Frequency)	(Intensity/ Severity)	Environment (Consequence)	Mitigation Score (Baseline)	Probability (Likelihood)	Extent	Duration (Frequency	Magnitude (Intensity/ Severity)	Receiving Environment (Consequence)	Score (Impact Assessment)	Proposed Mitigation
	•	*	Plea	ase note that	impacts below re		acts associated wit	n the "no-go" devel	opment alternat	tive.					
						CONSTR	UCTION PHASE								
1		pressure on existing services (water ge, annd solid waste services) due to increase in population	-16	-4	-8	-16	-8	-11							The implementation of the no-go option would mean the status quo of the environment will remain the same. It must be noted that illegal settling may continue due to the expected increase in population (increasing (i) loss of environmental features, and (ii) pressure on existing services)
2	Botanical	Loss of Protected Plant species due to edge effects and informal settling	-8	-2	-4	-8-	-8	-6							The implementation of the no-go option would mean the status quo of the environment will remain the same. It must be noted that illegal settling may continue due to the expected increase in population (increasing (i) loss of environmental features, and (iii) pressure on existing services)
3	Freshwater	Contamination of watercourses by lack of adequate services (e.g. sweape teatment) due to exciton of informal housing in close proximity to the watercourses.	-8	-4	-8	-16	-8	-9							The implementation of the no-go option would mean the status quo of the environment will remain the same. It must be noted that illegal setting may continue due to the expected increase in population (increasing (i) loss of environmental features, and (ii) pressure on existing services)
4		Erosion & Sedimentation	-16	-2	-8	-16	-4	-10							The area is susceptible to erosion which may result in sedimentation of watercourses present within the proposed site for development.
5	Visual	Negative visual impact of the proposed development	-8	-2	-16	-8	-4	-8							The implementation of the no-go option would mean the status quo of the environment will remain the same. No mitigation measures recommended.