Comments-Responses Table

| Initial pre-application phase | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|---|
| I&AP | Comment received | Response to | Respondent |
| CapeNature (03/08/2022) | Good day Herewith CapeNature's comment on this application. | | |
| | 1. It is understood the dam will be used to store ELU water and is an off-channel dam. It is clear from the site verification survey and botanical compliance statement that the proposed dam development area has been transformed by agricultural activity. The development site is also unselected as per the WCBSP, but lies adjacent to the mapped NFEPA Olifant's River. | 1. Noted | 1. EnviroAfrica |
| | 2. There are no botanical or faunal to the development. The botanical compliance statement is accepted and all recommendations must be implemented. | 2. Noted. | 2. EnviroAfrica |
| | 3. Regarding the aquatic assessment: 3.1 The assessment proposes erosion control and stormwater management especially regarding the dam wall. What are the methods to be used | 3.1 It has now become clear that the toe of the new wave dam wall must be paved, as the wall touches upon the 1 in 100 year floodline. Paving can be of concrete, but rock and cement will suffice. This was taken up with Bester Engineers of Ceres and they will add this to the primary design of the dam wall. | 3.1 Dr Dirk von Driel (appointed freshwater specialist) |

| to achieve such mitigation? | | |
|---|--|--|
| 3.2 The assessment recommends revegetation of the dam wall that must be implemented during the dry season and complete by the next wet season. It is doubtful whether this is possible. Furthermore and more importantly, vegetation of the dam wall will not runoff erosion which would pose a risk of sedimentation into the Olifant's river. A better method of erosion control that poses low risk of sedimentation of the Olifant's river needs to be presented. | 3.2 The rehabilitation / vegetation of the earthen dam wall must be overseen by a specialist in this field, of which there are several in our area. This is quite possible, especially with the help of suitable irrigation. I have referred the engineers to the books of Ken Coetzee (Caring for Natural Rangelands, 2005), where there are a number of ways to deal with exposed and disturbed places, of which an appropriate one or a combination can be selected. But then a rehabilitation specialist will know what to do. Please note that this is a low rainfall area, tantamount to semi-desert conditions and that major erosion is unlikely. There are many exposed walls and declines where vineyards have been levelled against the slopes around Trawal and along the river and these walls do not show signs of much erosion. | 3.2 Dr. Dirk von Driel (appointed freshwater specialist) |
| 3.3 The cumulative impact of the dam has not been assessed and must be assessed in the context of available data on illegal dams/water use, and indirect downstream effects resulting from abstraction. It is understood that the assessment has | 3.3 The proponent does not have the authority to implement this advice. The proponent can only make the means to abstract the amount of water that the proponent is lawfully allowed to abstract. It is only the National Department of Water and Sanitation that has the authority to investigate how much existing usage of water is lawful and how much is unlawful and decide whether over-allocation of ELUs exists or not and what necessary action to | 3.3 EnviroAfrica |

| | indicated that abstraction impact was not assessed due to the dam being for ELU. However, the current ecological water reserve as per DWS recent reserve study needs to be considered as current ELUs might be over- allocated and need to be reduced, which would impact the required dam size, or whether this development is feasible. | take in response to the findings. | |
|------------------------|--|---|--------------|
| DEA&DP (04/08/2022) | 2.1.1 Pre-application SR states that the existing cultivation fields on the farm will be expanded by approximately 5ha to approximately 8ha in extent. It is, however, this Department's understanding that the current pre-application process only pertains to the construction of the new dam and associated infrastructure. | 2.1.1 The proposed development only entails the establishment of a dam. It is only when the proposed dam is in existence at some point in the future that expanding farming operations by 5ha to 8ha will become a possibility that can be looked into. | EnviroAfrica |
| | 2.2 It is mentioned in the Pre-Application SR that the water to be stored in the proposed dam will augment the irrigation water supplied by the two water storage dams that currently exist on the farm. As such, please ensure that in terms of the need and desirability context, detailed information is provided, as to why the existing dams are insufficient in terms of storage capacity etc. | 2.2 Done | EnviroAfrica |
| | 2.3.1. Albeit that proof of the Water Use Licence Application ("WULA") submitted to the National Department of Water and Sanitation ("DWS") was provided as part of the preapplication SR&PoS, due to the nature of your proposed | 2.3.1 Noted | EnviroAfrica |

| development comment/input regarding development obtained as soor application and application proce | proposed must be n of the pre- formal EIA | Proof of existing water allocation attached to Draft Scoping Report as Appendix | EnviroAfrica |
|--|---|---|--------------------|
| 2.4 It is this De understanding the use rights enhanced/upgradersult of the properties of the properties of the properties of the water allocation of the water o | will be ded as cosed dam. ease be ride proof of tion of 477 by the Lower vater Users | 2K | |
| property. 2.5.2. You are reminded to ensiformal letter from | therefore ure that the | The letter of comment from Heritage Western Cape is attached hereto as Appendix 1D | EnviroAfrica |
| Western Cap- displays such of included wit submission of the report to this Dep | e, which comment is the your ne final EIA partment for | 2.6.2The proposed | 2 62 Enviro Africa |
| decision-making. 2.6.2. Notwithst | | 2.6.2The proposed development will not cause the farm to require any additional services | 2.62 EnviroAfrica |
| required in order the water to we required, then confidered in order than the required in order than t | y additional pply be er to pump where it is confirmation from the e provider d with your ne final EIA | nor additional electricity. | |
| report to this Dep decision-making. | | 2.82 The geotechnical report is attached hereto as Appendix 2M | 2.82 EnviroAfrica |
| 2.8.2. Geotechni Impacts | cal Related | | |
| Please ensure the phase of the process asset geotechnical impacts of the development, as mitigation measure be required in and that a reposition of the phase of | formal EIA sses the related proposed well as the ires that will this regard | | |

| | | |
|--|---|--------------------|
| such is submitted with the draft and final EIA Reports to this Department. | | |
| Please ensure that the SR is amended to fulfil all requirements of Appendix 2 of the EIA Regulations, 2014 (as amended), with specific reference to the following requirements that has not been met in the preapplication SR: | 2.9.1 Please refer to Appendix P and Appendix Q | 2.9.1 EnviroAfrica |
| 2.9.1. Section 2 (1)(a)(ii): the curriculum vitae of the EAP who prepared the report; | 2.9.2 The two parcels of land on which the proposed site is located have been specified throughout the pre-application Scoping Report. The SG 21 digit codes for the two parcels of land are specified in Section | 2.9.2 EnviroAfrica |
| 2.9.2 Section 2 (1)(b)(i): the location of the activity, including the 21-digit Surveyor General code of each cadastral land parcel; | 5.5 of Appendix 2H of the preapplication Scoping Report.2.9.3 Please refer to Section 8 of the Draft Scoping Report and | 2.9.3 EnviroAfrica |
| | Appendix 2H | |
| 2.9.3. Section 2 (1)(g)(v): the impacts and risks which have informed the identification of each alternative, including the nature, significance, consequence, extent, duration and probability of such identified impacts, including the degree to which these impacts— | | |
| (aa) can be reversed; (bb) may cause irreplaceable loss of resources; and (cc) can be avoided, managed or mitigated. | 2.94 Please refer to Section 8 of the Draft Scoping Report and Appendix 2H | 29.4 EnviroAfrica |
| 2.9.4 to 2.9.7 Section 2 (1)(g)(vii): positive and negative impacts that the | | |

proposed activity and alternatives will have on the 2.10 Please see revised Plan of environment and on the Study in Section 9 of the Draft 2.10 EnviroAfrica community that may be affected, focusing on the geographical, physical, biological, social, economic, and cultural heritage 2.11 Dr. Dirk von Driel aspects; 2.11 "Hello Maboee I (appointed freshwater understand your problem with specialist) 2.10 the DEA&DP because my reports are primarily directed at the Department of Water and Sanitation for the Water Use licence Application and not for the EIA. So you will have to look into the report to find the information. 2.11 On p34 is my Resume. It is attached as well. My address and SACNASP number is there. The date of my site visit is in there as well, but I looked it up from the SASS5 biomonitoring sheet in the appendix. The date was 20 September 2021 and I spent most of the day there. 6 hours in total. This was at the end of the rainy season, so it was a good time to look at the vegetation at its best in the winter rainfall area. There were no uncertainties. This is an offchannel dam on an old vineyard that will be filled with water out of an irrigation channel. This is water that has been officially allocated, an existing legal use, so there will be no additional impact on the Olifants River. No additional abstraction and no additional impact on the Ecological Reserve. would Ιt be beneficial if the DWS could publish their recent biomonitoring data for all of us to use so that we do not have to rely on only what we prescribed collect. The evaluations, such as the Risk Matrix, rests on the experience

and

knowledge of the

| | | specialist. Another specialist will allocate slightly different numbers, but the outcome will most likely be the same". | |
|------------------------|--|---|--------------|
| | | , | |
| DEA&DP (17/02/2023) | omment received on laps | ed application (16/3/3/2/F3/1 | 6/3006/23) |
| | 5.1 It is noted that the table checkbox on page 5 of the Application Form was not ticked to indicate whether or not the specified information has been appended to the Application Form. Furthermore, the details regarding the payment of the application fee on page 6 of the Application Form has not been completed. 5.2 You are required to submit an amended Application Form as a matter of urgency. Please ensure that all future Departmental templates are fully completed. | 5.1 and 5.2 Amended application form was submitted on 03/03/2023 and submitted again on 28/03/2023 | EnviroAfrica |
| | 7.2.3 This Department notes that proof of having submitted the required WULA to the National DWS was submitted together with the abovementioned Application Form. It is however recommended that in view of the abovementioned SOP, that the WULA process and the Scoping and EIA process be synchronised as far as possible, so that the decision in terms of the NWA can be issued at the same time or prior to the decision pending on your Scoping and EIA application. | 7.2.3 Noted | |
| | | 8.3 Noted | |

| 8.3 However, since you have lodged an official Scoping and EIA application to this Department, please notify HWC of the new application and request confirmation of whether the previous comment (dated 9 May 2022) remains valid. Furthermore, please be advised that should HWC indicate that in order to provide such confirmation, a new Notice of Intent to Develop ("NID") must be submitted to the said authority, then such requirement must be met. | 8.4 Noted | |
|---|---|--|
| 8.4 The comment/ confirmation on their previous comment provided by HWC must be appended to the final EIA Report submitted to this Department for decision- making. | 9.14 The SSV Report dated August 2022 was submitted together with the amended application form | |
| 9.1.4 Should the SSV Report (dated June 2022) and submitted together with the abovementioned Application Form have been submitted by error and the amended SSV Report (dated August 2022) that supersedes the SSV Report (dated June 2022) be the correct SSV Report for this proposed development, you are required to submit the amended SSV Report (dated August 2022 to this Department, as an appendix to the Application Form as a matter of urgency). In this instance, this Department's comments on the amended SSV Report (dated 8 September 2022), remains applicable. | 9.15 to 19 Noted 20. Please see Amended Application Form submitted on 28/03/2023. | |
| 9.15 to 19 | | |

| i | | | |
|------------------------|---|--|--------------|
| | 20. The Department notes that two (2) National Sector Classification categories were selected for this development proposal. Section D (National Sector Classification List) must be limited to the selection of one main sector for the proposed development, which will also be the same sector indicated in the DFFE Screening Tool. | 21. to 25. Noted | |
| | 21. to 25. | | |
| | | | |
| DEA&DP (14/03/2023) | 2.1.1 to 2.2.1 | 2.1.1 to 2.2.1 Noted | EnviroAfrica |
| | 2.2.2 please ensure that the cumulative impacts are addressed in the final SR as well as assessed during the EIA phase. | 2.2.2 Please refer to Section 8 of the Scoping Report | |
| | 2.3 and 2.4 | 2.3 and 2.4 Noted | |
| | 2.5.1 Please be reminded to label all photographs regarding the proof of Public Participation conducted and submitted together with the final SR. | 2.5.1 Noted | |
| | 2.5.2" Please be reminded to provide proof of all the Public Participation Processes undertaken to date". | 2.5.2 Noted | |
| | 2.5.3 Although the Comments and Response Report that was submitted together with the draft SR states that correspondence | 2.5.3 Please see comment from CapeNature in Appendix 1D2 of the Scoping Report | |

from CapeNature (dated 3 August 2022) was received, proof of such comment was not appended to the draft SR. Please be reminded to provide copies of all the comments obtained at the end of the Public Participation Processes.

2.5.4 In view of the nature of your proposed development, please ensure that comments are obtained from, *inter alia*, Western Cape Government: Agriculture and the National Department of Water and Sanitation (as also requested in this Department's previous correspondences dated 17 February 2023 and 3 March 2023).

2.5.4 Comment from the National Department of Water and Sanitation has been requested and the related documentation downloaded during the pre-application phase. The said Department has also been requested to comment on the Draft Scoping Report and the request for comment has been read and proof of this is provided in Appendix 1C2 of the Scoping Report. Regulation 3(4) should therefore be considered in this case.

The correspondence requesting comment that was sent using We Transfer to the Western Cape Government: Agriculture was never responded to and it has only been discovered recently that the Western Cape Government: Agriculture does not attend to requests for comment that are submitted via the internet. A copy of the Draft Scoping Report saved on a compact disc was ultimately delivered by hand to the Western Cape Government: Agriculture with a request for comment on 27/03/20223 and proof of this is attached is Appendix 1C2 of the Scoping Report.

2.6.1 Scoping Report

Please ensure that final SR is updated to meet all of the content requirements in terms of Appendix 2 of the EIA Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and particularly the following:

2.6.1.1 Noted

2.6.1.1 Section 2 (1)(g)(iii) - a summary of the issues

| | raised by Interested and Affected Parties, and an indication of the manner in which the issues were incorporated, or the reasons for not including them; and 2.6.1.2 Section 2 (1)(g)(xi) - a concluding statement indicating the preferred alternatives, including preferred location of the activity. | 2.6.1.2 Noted 2.6.2 Noted | |
|---|--|--|--------------|
| | Please be reminded that the Plan of Study must fulfil all the requirements of Appendix 2 of the EIA Regulations, 2014 (as amended). 2.7 Proof of Existing Water Use Rights Kindly be reminded that this Directorate requires proof of the existing lawful water use rights granted by the Lower Olifants River Water Users Association for the said property as a matter of urgency. 3. to 5. | 2.7 Please see Appendix 2K of the Scoping Report 3. To 5. Noted | |
| Matzikamma Local Municipality (24/03/2023) | It is noted that the entire footprint of the proposed dam will be located on existing agricultural land that has already been transformed by agricultural practices, therefore no significant disturbance of natural vegetation will occur during the construction of the dam. Recommendations on impact minimization for good environmental control is supported as mentioned below: | Noted | EnviroAfrica |

- "• The river and wetland areas to the north of the site must be regarded as no-go areas.
- A suitably qualified Environmental Control Officer must be appointed to monitor the construction phase and ensure the riparian zone is not impacted in any way by the construction of the proposed dam.
- Lay-down areas or construction sites must be located within already disturbed areas or areas of low ecological value and must be pre-approved by the ECO.
- An integrated waste management approach must be implemented during construction"

The Mitigation measures as set out in the Aquatic Biodiversity Impact Assessment is strongly advised to be implemented to keep the ecological status of the Olifants River from declining.

It is also noted that the Water Use Licence Application only entails the damming of water that is lawfully allocated to the proponent by the Lower Olifants River Water Users Association, i.e., the water currently being supplied to the farm via the Bulshoek Dam canal system and that No run-off from the surrounding catchment will be channelled into the proposed dam.

In the light of the above it is therefore understood that the New Wave Dam's addition to the cumulative impact on the flow modification of the Olifants River is negligible.

Dust generated from all phases of the proposed

| | activities must comply with the NEM: AQA, National Dust Control Regulations (Government Notice No. R. 827) of November 2013. | | |
|--|--|---|---------------|
| | "these regulations prohibit a person form conducting any activity in such a way as to give rise to dust in such quantities and concentrations that the dust, or dust fallout, has a detrimental effect on the environment, including human health." | | |
| Heritage Western Cape (24/03/2023) | "I can confirm that the NID response does not have a time limit, and the comment remains valid". | Noted | EnviroAfrica |
| SF Nieuwoudt (21/03/2023) | I, SF Nieuwoudt, hereby inform you that I have no comment on the proposed development". | Thank you | EnviroAfrica |
| | Application (| (16/3/3/2/F3/16/3020/23) | |
| National | 1 and 2: Item 9.3 and | 1 and 2: Corrected | EnviroAfrica |
| Department of Water and Sanitation (15/05/2023) | Table 3 page numbers not defined | T and 2. Corrected | LIIVIIOAIIICA |
| | 3: The location (coordinates) of the proposed New Wave Dam given in Table 1 (31° 52' 02.40"S; 18° 37' 48.0"E) differs from the co-ordinates of the proposed dam (31° 52' 05.40"S; 18° 37' 46.35"E) in the rest of the Scoping Report. The co-ordinates in Table 1 however agree with the co-ordinates given in Appendix 2B: Engineering Designs Report and Appendix 2C: Engineering Designs Report (With Layout Plans). The co-ordinates | 3: Those coordinates are all within the dam basin | EnviroAfrica |

| | of the common and dome | | I |
|-------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------|
| | of the proposed dam must | | |
| | be verified. | | |
| | | | |
| | | 4: Noted | EnviroAfrica |
| | 4: It must also be noted that the total scheduled allocation will only be available if there is adequate water in the system during a specific water year. LORWUA revise the annual allocations / quotas as per the water availability in the system. | 5: Noted | EnviroAfrica |
| | 5: The Department of | | |
| | Water and Sanitation (DWS) has issued the Water Use Licence for the | | |
| | proposed development on 15 March 2023 under <i>Licence No:</i> 01/E33G/BCI/12428 | | |
| | (Annexure A) and condition stipulated on the licence must be adhered to. | | |
| | 6. | | |
| CapeNature (18/04/2023) | 1: Thank you for the shapefiles as requested. | 1: Thanks for clarifying Ismat. | EnviroAfrica |
| | Regarding the website link. The website link was working but based on the letter sent on the 13 April 2023, I could not see any project listed on the website related to "proposed water storage dam on Portions 101 and 168 of the Farm Melkboom No. 384, Vanrhynsdorp" as indicated in the letter | Have a great day. | |

| | provided on 13 April 2023. | | |
|--------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| | I therefore requested the documents be sent to me or a link provided that would provide the documents. | | |
| | On 14 April 2023 you sent a notification that included New Wave Dam in the title. This was then easily found on your website. | | |
| | Kind regards, | | |
| | | | |
| Marc Caplan (15/05/2023) | Good day Maboee & Magret, | Dear M. Caplan, | EnviroAfrica |
| | According to the so called "Aquatic specialist report" The river is listed as a NFEPA. In terms of the Western Cape Biodiversity Spatial Plan, the Olifants River is listed as a CBA. Attached are my comments for both provincial and national government departments , Plus for your team to ask the several specialists to answer the questions in the comments. | Please note that the terrestrial ecology concerns that you have raised in connection with the proposed development have not been indicated as concerns at all by CapeNature and CapeNature is the legally mandated custodian for nature conservation in the Western Cape Province. Furthermore, the concerns that you have raised in connection with waterrelated matters and the Olifants River as an aquatic ecosystem have not been raised as concerns at all by the National Department of Water and Sanitation ("DWS") and the DWS is the legally mandated custodian for water issues in the country. Please find attached hereto for your convenience, a copy of the water use licence issued by the DWS for the proposed development. | |

| | | Should you still wish to participate in the public participation process for the application, you will be provided with further opportunities to participate during the Environmental Impact Reporting phase of the application. | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| Marc Caplan (05/05/2023) | Hello Maboee, We disagree with you/ environ on the relevance of the Public meeting. Farmers are not aware these days animals move from one are to the next based on scarcity of resources. I've looked at the reports and see that studies on the amount of water that evaporates from open storage dams in an arid region were not included. Does Sarel bester from ceres perhaps hold that information, on his files? | Dear M. Caplan, It is hereby accepted that you are of the view that a public meeting is required, despite the explanation previously given to you why a public meeting is not warranted for the ordinary proposed farm. I think the source of information that would be best for you to contact concerning evaporation rates from farm dams in arid regions is the National Department of Water and Sanitation. | EnviroAfrica |
| Marc Caplan (18/04/2023) | If the draft was already sent in to DFFE, And they are waiting for comments. Then why did your company advertise (in the paper) that I&Aparties have until 17th May to respond? There are issues with open storage dams. 1. Evaporation 2. They attract mammals to forage, waterfowl, waders & ducks may be welcome. But what about mongeese, otters, baboons, reptiles? Farmers must be prepared to live with those animals who are | Dear M. Caplan, The Draft Scoping Report was submitted on 14 April 2023 to the Western Cape Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning as the relevant competent authority and not to the National Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment. The relevant competent authority and everybody else has been given at least 30 days, starting from 14 April 2023 to provide comment on the Draft Scoping Report as is required by the law. Once all comments have been | EnviroAfrica |

| | attracted to the surface water. Animals are pulled away from less watered areas. Need protection tgere are posdibly dogs & hungry farm workers who would hunt small rare mammals. That is why the farmer needs to be questioned at a public meeting. | received, the final Scoping Report including comments received and responses made concerning the comments will be submitted to the relevant competent authority for a decision on whether the application can proceed to the Environmental Impact Reporting phase. Please read through the Terrestrial Biodiversity Compliance Statement Report and the Aquatic Biodiversity Assessment that form appendices to the Draft Scoping Report, as biodiversity issues are addressed there. The proposed farm dam will be quite like any other farm dam in the surrounding area. The issues that you have raised do not constitute grounds for a public meeting. | |
|------------------------|--|--|--|
| DEA&DP (17/05/2023) | 2.1 Proof of Existing Water Use Rights | 2.1 The proof of existing water use rights is attached to the Scoping Report as Appendix 2K | |
| | 2.2 Alignment with the Water Use License Application ("WULA") | 2.2 Noted | |
| | Kindly be reminded to ensure that all proof of the existing lawful water use rights granted by the Lower Olifants River Water Users Association for the said property is submitted to this Directorate as well as be included in all future reports that will be circulated during the Public Participation Process. | | |

Since a WULA is currently underway, it is Department's understanding that this process has been ongoing, as part of the previous lapsed application (Referenced: 16/3/3/2/F3/16/3006/23). You are therefore reminded about requirement to ensure that the processes for a WULA and for an EIA must aligned be integrated with respect to fixed the and synchronised timeframes, as prescribed in the EIA Regulations, 2014 amended), as well as the WULA Regulations 2017. Therefore, should the Department of Water and Sanitation ("DWS") issue a decision in due then this course, information must be submitted to this Department.

2.3 Heritage

Please be reminded that although Heritage Western Cape ("HWC") provided comments on 9 May 2022, i.e., during the previous lapsed application process (Referenced: 16/3/3/2/F3/16/3006/23), since you have lodged a application new comments must be from **HWC** obtained during the current application process. The comments obtained must addressed/responded to in any future Comments and Response Reports 2.3 Heritage Western Cape indicated previously that it is not believed that any heritage resources remain o the proposed site. Heritage Western Cape then confirmed in subsequent comment that the previous comment is without time limits. Heritage Western Cape was requested with other I&APs on 14/04/2023 to provide comment on the Draft Scoping Report for this application and no further comment from Heritage Western has been received.

that will be submitted to this Department.

2.4 The development proposal does not require any additional services

2.4 Services
Requirements

Please be reminded that any bulk services be required, e.g., additional electricity supply in order to pump the water to where it is required, then confirmation from the relevant service provider(s) must be included with your submission of the final Scoping Report and/or final EIA Report to this Department for decisionmaking.

2.6 The DEA&DP and relevant case officer thereof have been copied into all requests for comment on the application.

2.6

Please be reminded that although certain Public Participation **Processes** were undertaken during the previous lapsed application (Referenced: 16/3/3/2/F3/16/3006/23), since you have lodged a new application, all the required and relevant Public Participation Processes, as per Chapter 6 of the EIA Regulations, 2014 (as amended), must be undertaken and all proof thereof must be submitted to this Department, as part of your current application.

2.6.1 Please refer to the discussion of alternatives in Section 4 of the Scoping Report and also to the dam design diagrams attached to the Scoping Report as Appendices 2B and 2C for clarity on how the Preferred alternative was arrived at.

2.6.1 It is mentioned in the draft SR that "the proposed dam will remain within areas that have been transformed by ploughing over the generations and terracing". This Department supports this

approach, which is in accordance with the mitigation hierarchy. To this end, you are requested to illustrated and demonstrate throughout the EIA phase how the development proposal will remain within areas that have been transformed by ploughing, and how the development proposal adheres to the mitigation hierarchy by first avoiding negative environmental impacts.

2.6.2

Furthermore, according to the EIA Regulations, 2014 (as amended), cumulative impact, in relation to an activity, means "the past, current and reasonably foreseeable future impact of an activity, considered together with the impact of activities associated with that activity, that in itself may not be significant, but may become significant when added to the existing and reasonably foreseeable impacts eventuating from similar or diverse activities;" In view of the above, please ensure that the cumulative impacts are addressed in the final SR as well as assessed during the EIA phase.

2.7

2.7.1 Scoping Report 2.7.1.1 Section 2 (1)(i) an undertaking under oath or affirmation by the EAP in relation to –

2.7.1.2 Section 2 (1)(j) - an undertaking under

2.6.2

The proposed dam will be established on agricultural land that is regularly ploughed and the dam will store water abstracted in terms of existing water use rights. In addition, no additional electricity nor municipal services will be required. The cumulative impacts of the proposed dam will therefore be minimal. See Section 7.6 of the Scoping Report

2.7.1 Done

2.7.Done

| | oath or affirmation by the EAP in relation to the level of agreement between the EAP and interested and affected parties on the plan of study for undertaking the environmental impact assessment; and | Done | |
|------------------------|---|----------|--------------|
| | Please ensure that final SR is updated to meet all of the content requirements in terms of Appendix 2 of the EIA Regulations, 2014 (as amended), particularly the following provisions: (i) the correctness of the information provided in the report; (ii) the inclusion of comments and inputs from stakeholders and interested and affected parties; and (iii) any information provided by the EAP to interested and affected parties and any responses by the EAP to comments or inputs made by interested or affected parties; | Noted | |
| | 2.7.2 Plan of Study Please be reminded that the Plan of Study must fulfil all the requirements of Appendix 2 of the EIA Regulations, 2014 (as amended). | Noted | |
| | 3. General | | |
| DEA&DP (05/07/2023) | This letter serves to inform you that the abovementioned Final SR&PoS has | 1. Noted | EnviroAfrica |

| been accepted by this Department. | | |
|---|--|--|
| 2. This Department requires confirmation of whether there is peat present in the watercourse that may be affected by the proposed development. Should peat be present in the watercourse, you are required to confirm whether the proposed development will result in the removal of the peat from the watercourse. | 2. No peat or any other material will be removed from inside of the Olifants River. It is only close to the right bank of the river that material will be removed and moved when the proposed off-stream water storage dam is constructed. | |
| 4.1 You are hereby advised that the EIA Report must contain all information set out in Appendix 3 of the EIA Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and must also include the information requested in this letter. | Noted | |
| 5.1 An EMPr that contains all information set out in Appendix 4 of the EIA Regulations, 2014 (as amended), must be compiled to address the potential environmental impacts of the activity on the environment throughout project' life cycle, i.e., the EMPr must address impacts in respect of the planning and design, pre- | 5.1 Noted | |

construction and construction.

| activities, operation of the activity, rehabilitation of the environment and closure/decommissioning (where applicable). | | |
|--|-----------|--|
| 5.2 This Department would like to advise that in compiling the EMPr, this Department's Guideline for Environmental Management Programmes (June 2005) must be taken into account. | 5.2 Noted | |
| 6.2 It is reiterated that the Scoping and EIA phases of the EIA process are two distinctly separate phases, each having its own requirements and reports to be submitted. This Department will not accept Scoping and EIA Reports where the processes or information of the two phases were combined into a single process or report. Further, any preliminary specialist assessment/study that is submitted as part of the scoping phase, will have to be updated in the EIA phase to address all the issues and concerns identified during the scoping phase. As such, the submission of any specialist study is not encouraged/supported, unless determined otherwise or deemed necessary by the Competent Authority. | 6.2 Noted | |

| 6.3 Note that all specialist reports must be appended to the EIA Report. Please be reminded that specialist reports must comply with the requirements of the "Procedures for the Assessment and Minimum Criteria for Reporting on identified Environmental Themes in terms of Sections 24(5)(a) and (h) and 44 of the NEMA, when applying for Environmental Authorisation" ("the Protocols") (Government Notice No. 320 as published in Government Gazette No. 43110 on 20 March 2020), which came into effect on 9 May 2020. | 6.3 Noted | |
|---|-----------|--|
| 6.4 All other specialist studies, where no specific Environmental Theme in terms of the abovementioned Protocols exist, must meet the requirements of Appendix 6 of the EIA Regulations, 2014 (as amended). | 6.4 Noted | |
| 7.1 The Environmental Assessment Practitioner ("EAP") must submit a copy of the draft EIA Report and EMPr to the Department for a commenting period of thirty (30) days. The draft EIA Report and EMPr must simultaneously be made available to all relevant State Departments/Organs of State that administer laws relating to a matter affecting the environment, for a | 7.1 Noted | |

commenting period of thirty (30) days. The EAP must notify the Department, in writing, of the date the draft EIA Report and EMPr were submitted to the relevant State Departments/Organs of State and clearly indicate whether or not such State Departments/Organs of State were notified of the opportunity to comment in terms of Section 240 of the NEMA.

7.2 Noted

7.2 It is imperative that State
Departments/Organs of State be in possession of the draft Reports when the EAP issues them with the notice in terms of Section 24O of the NEMA. Please note that the EAP is responsible for such consultation.

Therefore, it is requested that the EAP include proof of such notification to the relevant State Departments/Organs of State in terms of Section 24O(2) and 24O(3) of the NEMA in the draft EIA Report, where appropriate.

7.3 The EAP must record and respond to all comments received. The comments and responses must be captured in a Comments and Responses Report and must include a description of the PPP followed. The Comments and Responses Report must be included in the

7.3 Noted

| Public Participation information to be attached to the final EIA Report for decisionmaking. | | |
|--|-----------|--|
| 7.4 Please ensure that comments from all the relevant Organs of State, including the comments from this Department, are submitted with the final EIA Report for decision-making. | 7.4 Noted | |
| 8.4 If the EIA Report and EMPr are not submitted within the prescribed timeframe, the application will lapse in terms of Regulation 45 of the EIA Regulations, 2014 (as amended) and your file will be closed. Should you wish to pursue the application again, a new application process would have to be initiated. A new Application Form must be submitted, and the prescribed application fee will have to be paid again. | 8.4 Noted | |
| 8.5 Your attention is drawn to Circular 0027 of 2021 regarding the electronic administration of EIA applications. The Directorate: Development Management (Region 1 and 2) will continue with the electronic submission of correspondence and has for this reason established a dedicated e-mail address for the submission of all | 8.5 Noted | |

| correspondence to the Directorates. For the Cape Town office, the e-mail address is DEADPEIAAdmin@westerncape.gov.za . | | |
|--|-----------|--|
| 8.6 Please ensure that all submissions relevant to your application, including the submission of the draft and final EIA Reports, are submitted electronically via the aforementioned email address as well as to the relevant case officer. | 8.6 Noted | |
| | | |