

Project Name: de Kullen Retreat Development									
		Nature of Impact			Without Mitigation (Baseline)				
		Number	Aspect	Impact	Probability (Likelihood)	Extent (Frequency)	Magnitude (Intensity/Severity)	Receiving Environment (Consequence)	Without Mitigation Score (Baseline)
ENVIRONMENTAL RATING SIGNIFICANCE KEY									
		Final rating score / value range							
Positive Impacts	Very Significant	Very High			>11 to <16				
	Significant	High			7 to <11				
Negative Impacts	Significant	Medium			-3 to -4				
	Unacceptable	Low			-7 to -10				
Positive Impacts	Significant	High			-10 to -16				
	Increase Significance	Medium			-4 to <-10				
Negative Impacts	Significant	Low			-1 to <-4				
	Unacceptable	Line			<-1				
1	Soil	On site erosion due to poor management of construction during construction phase. Soil and materials and resources are susceptible to erosion.			-8	-2	-2	-8	-6
		Erosion and safety hazards associated with excavations that are left loose before being back-filled			-8	-2	-4	-8	-6
2	Watercourse	Sedimentation of on-site drainage lines due to poor stormwater management			-2	-2	-8	-16	-4
		Contamination of on-site drainage lines due to usage of construction chemicals			-2	-1	-8	-16	-7
3		-8			-4	-2	-8	-8	-7
		Temporary increase in waste and litter in the receiving environment			-8	-4	-2	-4	-6
4		Clearance of indigenous vegetation from proposed site			-8	-2	-8	-8	-6
		Protected & endangered plant species: Potential impact on flora or fauna			-9	-2	-8	-16	-8
5	Waste	Conservation priority: Potential impact on protected areas: CBAS, ESA's or Centra's of Endemism.			-16	-2	-4	-4	-7
		Landscape and cover: Potential impact on socio-economic activities			-4	-2	-2	-4	-4
6	Terrestrial biodiversity	Connectivity: Potential loss of ecological migration corridors			-4	-4	-2	-4	-4
		Encroachment of alien invasive vegetation in disturbed areas			-8	-2	-4	-4	-6
7		Wild fire risk: Potential risk of wild fires as a result of the activities			-4	-2	-2	-4	-4
		Cumulative impacts: Cumulative impact associated with proposed activity			-7	-2	-8	-8	-7
8		The 'No-Go' option: Potential impact associated with the No-Go decision			0	-4	-8	-8	-6
		Increased demand for services impacting current services capacity (i.e. increased demand for water, electricity, sewage disposal)			0	-2	-16	-8	-6
9		Increased demand for services impacting current services capacity (i.e. increased demand for water, electricity, sewage disposal)			-8	-2	-16	-8	-6
		Artifacts may be discovered and/or damaged during the construction phase.			-2	-4	-2	-4	-3
10	Archaeological and Heritage	Loss and/or damage to potential fossils and archaeological and historical sites			-2	-4	-2	-4	-3
		Creation of short-term employment opportunities during the construction phase.			8	2	2	4	4
11	Socio-economic	Dust will be generated during the construction of the proposed development which may impact drivers and commuters.			-8	-4	-2	-8	-5
		Site may become visually unappealing			-16	-2	-16	-4	-8
12	Visual	Noise will be generated by construction work			-8	-2	-2	-4	-4
		Encroachment of alien invasive vegetation throughout the site.			-4	-2	-4	-4	-5
13	Noise	Unauthorised sources of raw materials, such as gravel, sand, water etc. promoting illegal mining operations causing significant damage to the environment			-8	-4	-8	-8	-8
		Reduced travelling costs and more time with family for Applicant and farm employees. Convenience of residing and conducting church ministry activities all on proposed site.			-4	-2	-4	-8	-5
14	Services	The 'No-Go' option: Potential impact associated with the No-Go decision			0	-4	-8	-8	-6
		Increased demand for services impacting current services capacity (i.e. increased demand for water, electricity, sewage disposal)			0	-2	-16	-8	-6
15		Impact on Cultural Archaeological, Paleontological and Heritage			-2	-4	-2	-4	-3
		Loss and/or damage to potential fossils and archaeological and historical sites			-2	-4	-2	-4	-3
16		Creation of short-term employment opportunities during the construction phase.			8	2	2	4	8
		Dust will be generated during the construction of the proposed development which may impact drivers and commuters.			-8	-4	-2	-8	-5
17	Dust	Site may become visually unappealing			-16	-2	-16	-4	-8
		Noise will be generated by construction work			-8	-2	-2	-4	-4
18	Visual	Encroachment of alien invasive vegetation throughout the site.			-4	-2	-4	-4	-5
		Unauthorised sources of raw materials, such as gravel, sand, water etc. promoting illegal mining operations causing significant damage to the environment			-8	-4	-8	-8	-8
19	Noise	Reduced travelling costs and more time with family for Applicant and farm employees. Convenience of residing and conducting church ministry activities all on proposed site.			-4	-2	-4	-8	-5
		The 'No-Go' option: Potential impact associated with the No-Go decision			0	-4	-8	-8	-6
20	Services	Impact on Cultural Archaeological, Paleontological and Heritage			-2	-4	-2	-4	-3
		Loss and/or damage to potential fossils and archaeological and historical sites			-2	-4	-2	-4	-3
21	Socio-economic	Creation of short-term employment opportunities during the construction phase.			8	2	2	4	8
		Dust will be generated during the construction of the proposed development which may impact drivers and commuters.			-8	-4	-2	-8	-5
22	Visual	Site may become visually unappealing			-16	-2	-16	-4	-8
		Noise will be generated by construction work			-8	-2	-2	-4	-4
23	Noise	Encroachment of alien invasive vegetation throughout the site.			-4	-2	-4	-4	-5
		Unauthorised sources of raw materials, such as gravel, sand, water etc. promoting illegal mining operations causing significant damage to the environment			-8	-4	-8	-8	-8
24	Services	The 'No-Go' option: Potential impact associated with the No-Go decision			0	-4	-8	-8	-6
		Impact on Cultural Archaeological, Paleontological and Heritage			-2	-4	-2	-4	-3
25	Sewage Management	Loss and/or damage to potential fossils and archaeological and historical sites			-2	-4	-2	-4	-3
		Creation of short-term employment opportunities during the construction phase.			8	2	2	4	8
26	Water supply	Dust will be generated during the construction of the proposed development which may impact drivers and commuters.			-8	-4	-1	-8	-6
		Increased pressure on water source for water supply			-8	-4	-1	-8	-6
27	Botanical	Site may become visually unappealing			-16	-2	-16	-4	-8
		Noise will be generated by construction work			-8	-2	-2	-4	-4
28	Socio-economic	Unauthorised sources of raw materials, such as gravel, sand, water etc. promoting illegal mining operations causing significant damage to the environment			-4	-2	-4	-4	-5
		Reduced travelling costs and more time with family for Applicant and farm employees. Convenience of residing and conducting church ministry activities all on proposed site.			-4	-2	-4	-8	-5
29		The 'No-Go' option: Potential impact associated with the No-Go decision			0	-4	-8	-8	-6
		Impact on Cultural Archaeological, Paleontological and Heritage			-2	-4	-2	-4	-3

With Mitigation			With Mitigation Score (Impact Assessment)	Short Description of some Mitigation Measures / Enhancement Measures
Duration (Frequency)	Magnitude (Intensity/Severity)	Receiving Environment (Consequence)		
-2	-4	-2	-3	
-2	-8	-2	-3	
-4	-8	-2	-4	
-2	-2	-2	-2	
-2	-4	-2	-3	
-2	-2	-4	-3	
-2	-4	-4	-4	
-2	-2	-2	-3	
-2	-2	-2	-3	
-1	-4	-4	-3	
-2	-2	-4	-3	
-2	-2	-2	-3	
-2	-2	-4	-3	
-2	-4	-4	-4	
0	0	0	0	
-8	-2	-4	-4	
-2	-2	-2	-2	
-2	-2	-2	-2	
2	4	2	4	
-2	-4	-2	-3	
-2	-1	-2	-5	
-2	-2	-4	-3	
-4	-8	-4	-4	
Without Mitigation			Without Mitigation Score (Impact Assessment)	
-2	-4	-4	-4	
-1	-4	-4	-4	
-4	-4	-1	-3	
-4	-4	-1	-3	